

JavaScript Malware for a

Gray Goo Tomorrow!

Billy Hoffman (bhoffman@spidynamics.com)

Lead Researcher, SPI Labs

A Moment of Clarity

JavaScript – (noun) A client side computer programming language, largely misunderstood by the general public, that can be used to create malicious, cross platform, and self-replicating software.

Gray Goo – (noun) A hypothetical end-of-the-world scenario involving nanotechnology in which out-of-control, self-replicating robots consume all matter on Earth, destroying life as we know it.





JavaScript Nastiness Circa 1999





SPI DYNAMICS

JavaScript Nastiness Circa 2006







Why JavaScript, why now?

- Why didn't Web 2.0 happen in 2000?
 - Lack of standards compliant browsers
 - JavaScript implementations all different
 - DOM manipulation/Eventing all different
 - CSS support lacking
 - Lower connection speeds/processing power

Ajax succeeds because it's cross browser!





This site is best viewed with Internet Explorer 5.0

or higher. The optimal screen size is 1024X768 dpi.





Now is the time for JavaScript malware

- Homogenous platform
 - Same browsers
 - Different devices (PC, Sidekick, iPhone, embedded)
- JavaScript is much more powerful
 - OO, extendable: String.prototype.foo = function() {...}
 - Dynamic code execution
 - RegExs
 - Very rich interface to/from browser/plugins
 - If JavaScript can't do it, Flash/Java can...
 - Large number of "networking" functions





Current State-of-the-Art JavaScript Malware





Cross Site Scripting (XSS) And Ajax

- Cross Site Scripting (XSS) is injection of a script (Javascript or VBScript) into the page that is returned to the user's browser
- These scripts gets executed by the user's browser, exposing them to a variety of threats
 - Session hijacking
 - Information leakage
 - Content manipulation
 - Keylogging/Screen scraping
- With Ajax, XSS can make requests hidden HTTP requests!

Why does this matter?





HTTP Requests

- HTTP requests made by Ajax look identical to requests made by user
 - Headers
 - Statekeeping/Authentication tokens
- Server cannot discern Ajax requests from browser requests!

```
GET /mt?n=404&v=w2.7&x=472&y=794&zoom=6 HTTP/1.1\r\n
GET / HTTP/1.1\r\n
                                                   Host: mt2.google.com\r\n
Host: maps.google.com\r\n
                                                   User-Agent: Mozilla/5.0 (X11; U; Linux i686; en-US; rv:
User-Agent: Mozilla/5.0 (X11; U; Linux i686; en-USAccept: image/png,*/*;q=0.5\r\n
Accept: text/xml,application/xml,application/xhtmlAccept-Language: en-us,en;q=0.5\r\n
Accept-Language: en-us, en; q=0.5\r\n
                                                   Accept-Encoding: gzip,deflate\r\n
Accept-Encoding: gzip, deflate\r\n
                                                   Accept-Charset: ISO-8859-1, utf-8; q=0.7, *; q=0.7\r\n
Accept-Charset: ISO-8859-1,utf-8;q=0.7,*;q=0.7\r\nKeep-Alive: 300\r\n
Keep-Alive: 300\r\n
                                                   Connection: keep-alive\r\n
Connection: keep-alive\r\n
                                                   Referer: http://maps.google.com/\r\n
Cookie: PREF=ID=86e9ce4c2b9dd60f:FF=4:LD=en:NR=10:Cookie: PREF=ID=86e9ce4c2b9dd60f:FF=4:LD=en:NR=10:TM=11
```





Ajax Amplifies XSS Attacks

- In other words
 - XSS can make requests for resources
 - Request is hidden from user
 - Happens in background while you are using the computer
 - Browser automatically adds authentication information
 - XSS can read response, send derived requests
 - Server thinks you initiated the request





Ajax Amplifies XSS



Self Propagating XSS

- XSS payload can now autonomously inject itself into pages
- Easily re-inject same host with more XSS
- Can do all this seamlessly (no hard refresh)
- Can send multiple requests using complex HTTP methods to accomplish propagation





Analysis of MySpace.com Virus

- Web virus
- October 2005: Infected 5th largest domain on the Internet
- JavaScript with Ajax
- Attack vector: XSS exploit allowed <SCRIPT> into user's profile
- Propagation:
 - Used Ajax to inject virus into the user profile of anyone who viewed an infected page
- Payload:
 - Used Ajax to force viewing user to add user "Samy" to their friends list
 - Used Ajax to append "Samy is my hero" to victim's profile





XSS+Ajax on a Bank



Port Scanning in JavaScript

- JavaScript can make HTTP connections to arbitrary hosts
 - Cannot see the response (Ajax restriction)
 - Not always true... Images, iFrames
 - Can detect if successful
 - Can detect if there was an error
 - Can set timers and see if any event fired
- JavaScript can use load events, error events and timeouts to detect the presence of HTTP servers on arbitrary hosts and ports!
 ... even on intranets





Step 1: Implementing Ping with JavaScript

- Use Image object with onLoad() and onError() events and a timer
- Setting *src* on Image causes an HTTP GET
- Start timer
- If host exists, onError() or onLoad() will fire
- If host doesn't exist, timer fires



timeout() Fires!







HTTP/1.1 200 OK Content-type: text/html



GET / HTTP1.1







Step 2: Detecting HTTP content in JavaScript

- Image's onError() fires if its HTTP traffic or not
- To confirm HTTP traffic, use iFrame with onLoad() event and a timer
- Set *src* on iFrame
- Start timer
- If host is HTTP server, onLoad() fires
- If host is not HTTP server, timer fires



GET / HTTP1.1











Step 3: Fingerprinting Web Servers in JS

- Fingerprint by requesting images unique to a web server or application
- Use Image object with onLoad()
- Send requests for known images
- If image exists, check the dimensions
- If dimensions match, found fingerprint successful
- If not, move to next image
- Can also check for existence of style sheets or JavaScript files







- In the beginning, visited links looked different than unvisited
- This styling was performed by the user agent <u>Visited</u> <u>Unvisited</u>
- With Cascading Style Sheets (CSS), users could style links
- With JavaScript it is possible to determine the Visited Unvisited style of any DOM element on the page, including links
- JavaScript + CSS = theft of URL history!





From the W3C Cascading Style Sheet Standard:

Note. It is possible for style sheet authors to abuse the :link and :visited pseudo-classes to determine which sites a user has visited without the user's consent.

(http://www.w3.org/TR/CSS21/selector.html#link-pseudo-classes)





- How it's done
 - Use JavaScript to dynamically create a new link to any URL
 - Apply a style attribute to the link, defining different styles for :link and :visited
 - Browser automatically renders link with appropriate style
 - Use JavaScript to check style on the link





- Browser history = giant hash table
 - Cannot enumerate through it
 - Can ask it yes/no questions
 - Can perform thousands of look ups a second!
 - Just have to know what questions to ask it... more on this in a minute.
- JavaScript can now detect very specific URLs
- Sometimes URLs are different for everyone
 - In URL session state/authentication tokens

t/register.php?PHPSESSION=54183aeacfa6ddf37ab3f59173f41b32





What else can we do?





- Has the user been to the results URL of a search engine?
- Hmmm... Can we steal search engine queries?
- Research shows there are a few problems





Problem 1: Results page for search query can have different URLs



SPI DYNAMICS



• Problem 2: search query letter case produces different URLs



• Problem 3: word order of query produces different URLs





SPI LABS



What if we solve all the problems by brute force?

Given query Q with x number of words:

There are 2^x combinations where first letter is upper or lowercase

There are x! ways to order search words

If there are y number of unique result URLs

Num URLs =
$$(2^{x} * x!) * y$$

To see if user searched Google for some variation of "secure handshake Diffie Hellman"

 $(2^4 * 4!) * 3 = 1152$ URLs!

...and what if they don't use Google?





- Don't Panic!
- We can do thousands of look ups a second!
- SearchTheft.js
 - Detects what search engines are used
 - Tries all combinations of letter case and word order
 - Reports if user has searched for a term

Demo of SearchTheft.js

http://www.spidynamics.com





What Queries to Check for?

- How do you know what queries to check for?
- User supplied
 - *billysbooks.com* can see if you also searched for something on a competitor's site
- Precomputed List
 - FBI can check for common kiddie porn queries, JavaScript automatically reports you!
 - DoubleClick could use a list of terms relevant to the topics for each site that uses their ads





Future JavaScript Malware

- Cross Domain XSS Web worms
 - MySpace.com and Yamanner never hopped hosts
 - Google's AJAX Search API
 - Create a SCRIPT Tag with the SRC pointing to Google
 - The query string of SCR contains your search query
 - Google returns JavaScript containing the results of query
 - XSS can now call Google to find other vulnerable hosts
 - XSS can then use blind GETs and POSTs to infect these new hosts





Future JavaScript Malware



Steps towards a JavaScript web crawler

- HTML can open content from Site2.com
- JavaScript from Site1.com cannot access the content!
- This is the Same Origin Policy!
 - Basis of entire JavaScript security model
- Prohibited from accessing each others content







Google Translate to the rescue!

- Google Translate (GT) can fetch pages from anywhere (ie, proxy)
- Content is in GT's domain
- Allows content from separates sites to be in the same domain!



Jikto: JavaScript Web Vuln Scanner

- Written entirely in JavaScript (~875 lines)
- Can crawl and audit third party site
- Results can be displayed or sent to a different user
- Based heavily on the work of **pdp's** crawler (http://gnucitizen.org)
 - He used iframes, cross iframe communication
 - Nifty proof of concept but not viewed as realistic
 - Slow! (timers + iframe onloads = bottleneck)
- Ajax >>>= iframes
 - Can we stop this silly "Ajax doesn't change security bit"





iFrames vs. XmlHttpRequest

Gene

- Both iFrames and XmlHttpRequest can be used to fetch content
- iFrames are a dirty hack!
- Hooks onload event
- iFrame's onload doesn't fire until entire page has loaded.
- Normally an order of magnitude slower.

age Info			
ral Eorms Links Media Dependencies Security			
Ressources			
http://www.cnn.com/ (165)			
🖶 www.cnn.com (4)			
🖮 html (4)	23.16 KB		
http://www.cnn.com/	19.29 KB 👘		
http://www.cnn.com/.element/ssi/www/breaking_news/1.5/banner.exclude.html?domId=cnnBreakin	563 Bytes		
http://www.cnn.com/.element/ssi/auto/1.4/pipeline_mp/live.mhtml?cacheID=24	2.05 KB		
-http://www.cnn.com/.element/ssi/www/sect/1.3/misc/contextual/MAIN-EMPTY.html?domId=mainCL5	1.27 KB		
• about (1)			
🗈 cl.cnn.com (2)			
🗄 cnn.dyn.cnn.com (2)			
🖃 i.a.cnn.net (127)			
🗈 Stylesheet (2)			
🕀 Script (7)			
Background (18)			
🖮 Image (100)	136.74 KB		
-http://i.a.cnn.net/cnn/.element/img/1.5/ceiling/logo_cnn.gif	3.9 KB		
http://i.a.cnn.net/cnn/.element/img/1.5/ceiling/shows/am.gif	843 Bytes		
-http://i.a.cnn.net/cnn/.element/img/1.5/ceiling/shows/sr.gif	806 Bytes		
-http://i.a.cnn.net/cnn/.element/img/1.5/ceiling/shows/ld.gif	635 Bytes		
http://i.a.cnn.net/cnn/.element/img/1.5/ceiling/shows/pz.gif	812 Bytes		
http://i.a.cnn.net/cnn/.element/img/1.5/ceiling/shows/lkl.gif	781 Bytes		
-http://i.a.cnn.net/cnn/.element/img/1.5/ceiling/shows/ac.gif	918 Bytes		
-http://i.a.cnn.net/cnn/.element/img/1.5/ceiling/shows/ng.gif	724 Bytes		
-http://i.a.cnn.net/cnn/.element/img/1.5/ceiling/shows/sched.gif	470 Bytes		
-http://i.a.cnn.net/cnn/.element/img/1.5/ceiling/cnnCeildivider.gif	43 Bytes 😱		
LELLIN - III IIIIII HIIIIIN HIIIIN HIIIIII KAANAA IIIIIILA IIIIII	041 D		





How Jikto works

- Our JavaScript needs to be in same domain as website we are scanning
- We load an iframe to Google Translate (GT), and point GT to site with Jikto code
- Jikto code is now in GT's domain, so it can use Ajax to tell GT to get any public page from any site. Ajax *much* faster than iFrames here!
- Jikto can analyze response, send derived requests, make attacks, etc.





Jikto Pros and Cons

Pros

- Very, very fast
- No application install required
- Cross browser
- Cross platform
- For attackers:
 - Now can find exploits!
 - Weaponizable/XSS-able
 - XSS + Jikto + Social
 Networking = Botnets

Cons

- Proxy can limit you
 - Does it forward HTTP headers?
 - Cookies?
 - Thru POSTs or lame?
 - Rate limiting?
- *XmlHttpRequest* auto follow 3xx with no input





More About Jikto

- Requests a page from Request Queue
- Processes response
 - Scraps out hyperlinks
 - Creates Requests from FORM tags
 - If Requests was an attack...
 - Score attack according to RegEx
 - Pass Response to attack library
 - Generates new attack requests for the Request
 - Currently some Backup file checks and XSS/SQL checks





More About Jikto

- ~875 lines of JavaScript (heavily commented)
 - ~500 lines of parsing code
 - Url parsing, resolving relative links, extracting, etc
 - Form parsing for inputs, HTTP methods, etc
 - ~220 HTTP glue code
 - XmlHttpRequest, proxy management
 - Request and Response objects
 - ~20 lines GUI interface
 - ~40 lines attack library
 - Misc stuff: debugging, rot13, global variables





GET	http://zero.webappsecurity.com:80/admin/help.cgi.bak		
GET	http://zero.webappsecurity.com:80/aspnet.aspx		
GET	http://zero.webappsecurity.com:80/aspnet.aspx.bak		
GET	http://zero.webappsecurity.com:80/cookietest/		
GET	http://zero.webappsecurity.com:80/pindex.asp		
GET	http://zero.webappsecurity.com:80/pindex.asp.bak		
GET	http://zero.webappsecurity.com:80/		
POST	http://zero.webappsecurity.com:80/login1.asp		
POST	http://zero.webappsecurity.com:80/rootlogin.asp		
POST	http://zero.webappsecurity.com:80/pcomboindex.asp		
POST	http://zero.webappsecurity.com:80/acctxferconfirm.asp		
Vulnerab	Inerability Severity Url		
Cross Site Scripting	e	100	http://zero.webappsecurity.com:80/plink.asp?a=%3Cscript%3Ealert%28%27xss%27%29%3C/script%3E&c=%3Cscript%3Ealert
Cross Site Scripting	e	100	http://zero.webappsecurity.com:80/pformresults.asp?txtHidden=%3Cscript%3Ealert%28%27xss%27%29%3C/script%3E&dbCor
Backup F Detected!	ile !	50	http://zero.webappsecurity.com:80/default.asp.bak
Backup F Detected!	'ile	50	http://zero.webappsecurity.com:80/linking/link1/link2/index.htm.bak
Backup File Detected! 50		50	http://zero.webappsecurity.com:80/admin/help.cgi.bak
Backup F Detected	lie	50	http://zero.webappsecurity.com:80/aspnet.aspx.bak

Jikto Architecture

==Reporting/UI== receives events, UI, stores for later analysis,

- Abstracted into 4 parts
 - Add new proxies
 - Add new attacks
- Not all 4 parts on same machine!
 - Controller and Reporting can be on remote host
 - Allows distributed
 Requestors and Analyzers
 controlled by central system

==Controller== decides what to do new attacks, fuzzing, etc

> ==Analyzer== parses links/forms scores attacks

==HTTP Requestor== Handles Proxy Ajax/Requests



Future Advances for Jikto

- Exploitation
 - Check XSS attack execution with browser's JavaScript interpreter!
 - Wormable?
 - Yep
 - I can now find and confirm XSS vulns in other sites

Microsoft OLE DB Provider for ODBC Drivers error '80040e07'

[Microsoft][ODBC SQL Server Driver][SQL Server]Syntax error converting the nvarchar value "tbl_products' to a column of data type int. /product_detail.asp, line 170







JavaScript Malware for a Gray Goo Tomorrow!

Billy Hoffman (bhoffman@spidynamics.com)

Lead Researcher, SPI Labs