Windows Kernel Internals Overview

David B. Probert, Ph.D. Windows Kernel Development Microsoft Corporation

Contributors

Neill Clift Adrian Marinescu Nar Ganapathy Jake Oshins Andrew Ritz Jonathan Schwartz Mark Lucovsky Samer Arafeh Dan Lovinger

Landy Wang **David Solomon Ben** Leis Brian Andrew **Jason Zions** Gerardo Bermudez **Dragos Sambotin** Arun Kishan Adrian Oney

Windows History

- Team formed in November 1988
- Less than 20 people
- Build from the ground up
 - Advanced Operating System
 - Designed for desktops and servers
 - Secure, scalable SMP design
 - All new code
- Rigorous discipline developers wrote very detailed design docs, reviewed/discussed each others docs and wrote unit tests

Goals of the NT System

- Reliability Nothing should be able to crash the OS. Anything that crashes the OS is a bug and we won't ship until it is fixed
- Security Built into the design from day one
- Portability Support more than one processor, avoid assembler, abstract HW dependencies.
- Extensibility Ability to extend the OS over time
- Compatibility Apps must run
- Performance All of the above are more important than raw speed!

Windows Server 2003 Architecture



Windows Executive

- Upper layers of the operating system
- Provides "generic operating system" functions ("services")
 - Creating and deleting processes and threads
 - Memory management
 - I/O initiation and completion
 - Interprocess communication
 - Security
- Almost completely portable C code
- Runs in kernel ("privileged", ring 0) mode
- Many interfaces to executive services not documented

Windows Kernel

- Lower layers of the operating system
 - Implements processor-dependent functions (x86 vs. Alpha vs. etc.)
 - Also implements many processor-independent functions that are closely associated with processor-dependent functions
- Main services
 - Thread waiting, scheduling & context switching
 - Exception and interrupt dispatching
 - Operating system synchronization primitives (different for MP vs. UP)
 - A few of these are exposed to user mode
- Not a classic "microkernel"
 - shares address space with rest of kernel components

HAL - Hardware Abstraction Layer

- Subroutine library for the kernel & device drivers
 - Isolates Kernel and Executive from platform-specific details
 - Presents uniform model of I/O hardware interface to drivers
- HAL abstracts:
 - System timers, Cache coherency & flushing
 - SMP support, Hardware interrupt priorities
 - HAL also implements some functions that appear to be in the Executive and Kernel

Kernel Mode Execution

Code is run in kernel mode for one of three reasons:

- 1. Requests from user mode (system calls)
 - Via the system service dispatch mechanism
 - Kernel-mode code runs in the context of the requesting thread
- 2. Interrupts from external devices
 - Interrupts (like all traps) are handled in kernel mode
 - NT-supplied interrupt dispatcher invokes the interrupt service routine
 - ISR runs in the context of the interrupted thread (so-called "arbitrary thread context")
 - ISR often requests the execution of a "DPC routine", which also runs in kernel mode
- 3. Dedicated kernel-mode threads
 - Some threads in the system stay in kernel mode at all times (mostly in the "System" process)
 - Scheduled, preempted, etc., like any other threads

Processes & Threads



Each process has its own...

- Virtual address space (including program global storage, heap storage, threads' stacks)
 - processes cannot corrupt each other's address space by mistake
- Working set (physical memory "owned" by the process)
- Access token (includes security identifiers)
- Handle table for Win32 kernel objects
- These are common to all threads in the process, but separate and protected between processes
 © Microsoft Corporation

Each thread has its own...

- Stack (automatic storage, call frames, etc.)
- Instance of a top-level function
- Scheduling state (Wait, Ready, Running, etc.) and priority
- Current access mode (user mode or kernel mode)
- Saved CPU state if it isn't Running
- Access token (optional -- overrides process's if present)

Windows Past, Present, Future

- **PAST:** Personal computer, 16->32 bits, MSDOS, Windows 9x code base, desktop focus
 - Features, usability, compatibility, platform
 - Windows 98
- **PRESENT:** Enterprise computing, 32/64 bits, NT code base, solid desktop, datacenter
 - Reliability, performance, IT Features
 - Windows XP, Windows Server 2003
- FUTURE: Managed code (.NET Framework)
 - Productivity, innovation, empowerment
 - Longhorn

.Net: Making it Simple

Windows API

HWND hwndMain = CreateWindowEx(0, "MainWClass", "Main Window", WS_OVERLAPPEDWINDOW | WS_HSCROLL | WS_VSCROLL, CW_USEDEFAULT, CW_USEDEFAULT, CW_USEDEFAULT, CW_USEDEFAULT, (HWND)NULL, (HMENU)NULL, hInstance, NULL); ShowWindow(hwndMain, SW_SHOWDEFAULT); UpdateWindow(hwndMain);

.Net Framework

Window w = new Window(); w.Text = "Main Window"; w.Show();



.Net: API Organization



.Net: Languages

The Managed Platform is Language Neutral
All languages are first class players
You can leverage your existing skills
Common Language Specification
Set of features guaranteed to be in all languages
C# enforcement: [assembly:CLSCompliant(true)]
We are providing
VB, C++, C#, J#, JScript

□ Third-parties are building

APL, COBOL, Pascal, Eiffel, Haskell, ML, Oberon, Perl, Python, Scheme, Smalltalk...

Unmanaged vs. Managed

Unmanaged Code	Managed Code
Binary standard	Type standard
Type libraries	Assemblies
Immutable	Resilient bind
Reference counting	Garbage collection
Type unsafe	Type safe
Interface based	Object based
HRESULTs	Exceptions
GUIDs	Strong names

University of Tokyo Windows Kernel Internals

<u>Lectures</u>

- Object Manager
- Virtual Memory
- Thread Scheduling
- Synchronization
- I/O Manager
- I/O Security
- Power Management
- NT File System
- Registry
- Lightweight Proc Calls

- Windows Services
- System Bootstrap
- Traps / Ints / Exceptions
- Processes
- Adv. Virtual Memory
- Cache Manager
- User-mode heap
- Win32k.sys
- WoW64
- Common Errors

University of Tokyo Windows Kernel Internals <u>Projects</u>

Device Drivers and Registry Hooking

Dragos Sambotin – Polytech. Inst. of Bucharest

Using LPC to build native client/server apps

Adrian Marinescu – University of Bucharest

Threads and Fibers

Arun Kishan – Stanford University

Doing virtual memory experiments from user-mode

Arun Kishan – Stanford University © Microsoft Corporation

Discussion