


INFO WARS

THE MAGAZINE

VOL. 1 ISSUE 11 | JULY 2013 | GLOBAL EDITION

NEWS, REVIEWS, EVENTS, INTERVIEWS, TRUTH.

YOU ARE THE TARGET
OF GOVERNMENT SPYING



start page

the world's most private search engine



STARTPAGE.COM



GRAVITY FED. NO ELECTRICITY REQUIRED.

New water innovation from ProPur:
ProOne water & fluoride filter in one element!



**IDEAL FOR USE WITH JUST ABOUT ANY WATER SOURCE INCLUDING
LAKES, RIVERS, STREAMS, PONDS, AND RAIN WATER.**

The new ProOne filter element does it all - water, fluoride, and other heavy metals. Only ProOne water & fluoride filter element helps reduce or remove pesticides, herbicides chloramines, hydrofluorosilicic acid, and sodium hexa fluorosilicate.

➞ **10% OFF PROMO CODE: WATER** 1-888-253-3193 • INFOWARSSTORE.COM

Caption Contest



"ANYONE FOR PIG IN THE MIDDLE?"

Debbe McCartney
Bovey, Minnesota

BILLY-BOB...?
THESE BOYS MAY BE ALRIGHT...
MAYBE WE SHOULD HAVE
BROUGHT A CUTTIN' TORCH...

Jason Isbell

"BETWEEN IRAQ AND A SMART
PLACE."

Drew Johnson
Austin, Texas
(Barton Springs pool right now to be exact)

AMERICANS DRIVE YOUR
HYBRIDS TO SAVE THE
EARTH ,WE'LL DRIVE V8'S
WITH GASOLINE AND DIE-
SEL GUZZLING ENGINES TO
CREATE THE ILLUSION OF
CONTROL.

Amanda Marble
Ohio

BLOCKED THE POLICE IN?
SO THAT'S WHY THEY CALL
THEM "SMART CARS"!!!

Darrick Curtis,
Angola, Indiana

Dialogue



Quote of the Month

"THE TOTAL INFLUENCE -
ECONOMIC, POLITICAL, EVEN
SPIRITUAL - IS FELT IN EVERY
CITY, EVERY STATE HOUSE,
EVERY OFFICE OF THE FEDER-
AL GOVERNMENT. WE MUST
BE ALERT TO THE ... DANGER
THAT PUBLIC POLICY COULD
ITSELF BECOME THE CAPTIVE
OF A SCIENTIFIC TECHNOLOG-
ICAL ELITE."

President Dwight D. Eisenhower
Farewell Address
January 17, 1961

**WRITE OUR NEXT MEME, SEND YOUR
PUNCHLINE TO LETTERS@INFOWARS.COM
AND WATCH [@INFOWARSMAG](https://twitter.com/INFOWARSMAG) ON TWITTER
TO SEE THE BEST RESPONSES.**





NSA: Spying, Intimidation, Censorship

10



March Against Monsanto

29


10	NSA: Spying, Intimidation, Censorship	29	What's Really in Vaccines?
12	New Polls Show Americans Oppose NSA Spying	37	Bilderberg's 60-Year Trajectory Exposed
14	A World Without Worms	41	Government Spying: Should We Be Shocked?
18	Obama Knows What's Best for You and Your Family	42	The History of the Income Tax
22	DHS-Funded Exercise Portrays "Free America Citizens" as Terrorist Cell	46	American Big Brother Spying Methods Disgusts the World
23	Video Game Casts Tea Party and Patriot Groups as Enemy Terrorists	48	Quotes From Edward Snowden
24	Microsoft Moves to Spy On Gamers Inside Their Homes	48	2013: The Year Bilderberg Collapsed



8

Illustration of the Month

About The Cover



A look at how the Government uses the pretext of terrorism to invade our privacy. Is wire tapping your conversations, surveilling your every move, and taking your rights to privacy really to keep us from safe, or do they have other plans?

Letters to the Editor

Editors Note: The Alex Jones Show can be heard on station WNVJ 1160AM in the greater New York City area. Our affiliates can be viewed at this link: <http://www.infowars.com/about-alex-jones/>. Listeners can also hear the show streamed 24 hours a day from the Alex Jones Show smartphone application and online for free.

Mr Jones and all at the Magazine hope this letter reaches you all. I have a computer, but damn I would love one to follow you folks. Today is a great day. Just got my hands on your magazine at my local liquor store (Don't Drink, Get cigs) I have heard Mr. Jones many times on Coast to Coast am and always agreed with the message he brings. Now the Magazine, spot on folks! Great! Keep it up and the pressure on! My father served the US of A as did I. I live some 50 miles south of Austin in Converse, Tx. If there is anything I can do to help you folks, please let me. I have a lot to offer, 50 years old but my aim is true.

Thanks to all
Glen Gamble

Dear Alex,

First a little about me. I have already fallen off the fiscal cliff. I have lost everything my house, my truck, & my mind... My laptop died but I was able to get it fixed; that was a REAL scare with my Artwork. It's a HARD fall! I have worked for over 33 years and only been on unemployment for a short time back in 1987. I have NEVER been on Food Stamps (SNAP) before; now I depend on it & the good will of others to survive. I am more MAD than scared of what is happening. I have done everything in my power to wake people up but most are

forever lost. I now have little means to do anything.

So I send you my artwork to use as you see fit. I have been doing serious stuff since Oct 2010; about the same time I found your show. I always knew something was going on since the MSM only tells part of the story.

Food Stamps are buying less & less every month. The Food Banks are stocking less & less and what they do have is Government CRAP I can't eat because of all the TOXINS. I have chemical sensitivity and have noticed for quite some time the TOXINS in foods.

I've already fallen off the cliff and will be waiting at the bottom for the rest of you. I'll do what I can. I know we all want a peaceful end to this but THEY will NOT let that happen. This is going to get BAD NASTY!

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Thompson

There is something creepy about how the church is silent on war. 1 million dead Iraqis as if it never happened. 13 Walgreens in Lincoln, Nebraska. Why? Banks give loans to Walmart and Walgreens makes it impossible to compete. Gov't gives tax cuts to tycoons Billionaires, millionaires creates a class.

To me the Bush Tax cuts that Obama extends is the last straw. How the poor

were grossly disenfranchised and ostracized (made to look like cattle/animals) tats and piercings! Seward, Nebraska has smart meters=no privacy! If the masses have hobby weapons it is impossible to overthrow the govt. But if the Gov't bans weapons...You are sold into slavery!

Truth is kept from our public eyes
Corporations make billions off many lies
Parents lose children, don't know why
Can't we stop this madness, can't stop trying

For instance,
Documents reveal it was a sham
That prime time horror show Vietnam Gulf of Tonkin was a "false flag" operation
Attacking our own set off the escalation
Gears of war keeping palms greased
Homeland Insecurities planned release
Down grannies pants go our Federal Police

Looking for what they know isn't there
To show who is boss they go in anywhere
Protest it everywhere, even at home
While the internet is still a free speech zone
Perpetual harassment for security
Will end when we all demand Liberty

REEL IT IN by GW PIRRO [busdrivenyc]

REAL NEWS, REAL ISSUES,
LIVE AND ON DEMAND

INFO WARS
NIGHTLY NEWS

INFO WARS
THE ALEX JONES SHOW

INFO WARS
SPECIAL REPORTS

PRISON PLANET TV SIGN UP TODAY AND GET UNLIMITED ON DEMAND ACCESS TO
SPECIAL REPORTS, NIGHTLY NEWS, THE ALEX JONES SHOW, RANTS, EBOOKS, MOVIES



Illustration by:
Chen Compton

Infowars Sites

www.infowars.com

Home of the #1 Internet news show in the world.

www.prisonplanet.tv

Get access to all the channels: The Alex Jones Show, Nightly News, Exclusive Video Reports, 60+ Movies and Documentaries, Books and more.

www.infowarsshop.com

Check out Infowars gear, exclusive Alex Jones Movies, Infowars Magazine, health and wellness, preparedness products and more.

www.prisonplanet.com

Reporters on the scene, articles and special coverage.

www.planetinfowars.com

Instantly connect to what's most important to you. Follow your friends, experts, favorite celebrities and breaking news.

Social Sites:

Facebook.com/AlexanderEmerickJones
Twitter: @RealAlexJones
Youtube.com/TheAlexJonesChannel

Publisher Managing Editor Art Director

Alex Jones
John McEntire
Carter Watkins

Graphics & Photography

Molly Rogers
Molly Maroney
Rob Reeger
Carter Watkins
Paul J. Watson

Contributing Writers

Alex Jones
Paul J. Watson
Adan Salazar
Jurriaan Maessen

Contributing Artists

Paul J. Watson
Deidre O'Tierney

Advertising

Will Jones
Derek Einkauf

Project Manager

Tim Fruge



Blue Fluoride

WAS \$19.95
NOW \$14.00

NEW!


MONTHLY MAIL ORDER SPECIALS

INFOWARS
- THE MAGAZINE -



GI Infowars

WAS \$19.95
NOW \$12.00



Bloodlines Of The Illuminati

WAS \$49.95
NOW \$35.00

PAYMENT INFORMATION

☐ CHECK ☐ MONEY ORDER
☐ VISA ☐ MASTER CARD
☐ AMEX ☐ DISCOVER

MUST BE POSTMARKED BY JULY 31

MAIL ORDER FORM & PAYMENT* TO:
 (Make checks payable to Infowars)
INFOWARS, P.O. BOX 19549
AUSTIN, TX, 78760



Infowars.com Hunter Orange

WAS \$19.95
NOW \$10.00



Behold A Pale Horse

WAS \$19.95
NOW \$12.00

DEAL

☐ **Blue Fluoride**
S M L XL XXL 3XL 4XL
Qty. _____ **\$14.00**

☐ **GI Infowars**
S M L XL XXL 3XL 4XL
Qty. _____ **\$12.00**

☐ **Bloodlines Of The Illuminati** BOOK
Qty. _____ **\$35.00**

☐ **Infowars.com Hunter Orange Hat**
Qty. _____ **\$10.00**

☐ **Behold A Pale Horse** DVD
Qty. _____ **\$12.00**

☐ **Fall Of The Republic And Dark Secrets** DVD
Qty. _____ **\$14.95**



Order of Death And Dark Secrets

WAS \$24.95
NOW \$14.95

TERMS

Not valid with other offers.
 Exclusive Infowars Magazine offer.
 Not valid via infowarsstore.com
 nor by telephone.

US MAIL

CARD NUMBER _____

EXPIRATION DATE _____

NAME _____

ADDRESS _____

CITY _____ **STATE** _____

ZIP CODE _____ **COUNTRY** _____

EMAIL _____

PHONE _____

TOTAL _____

TEXAS RESIDENTS ONLY **TAX +8.25%**

SHIPPING +\$5.95
add \$1 for each additional item

INTL. SHIPPING +\$15.00
add \$5 for each additional item

AWARENESS IS THE FIRST STEP IN RESISTANCE



IF YOU'VE BEEN WANTING AN INTERESTING, CLEARLY WRITTEN, HOW-TO MANUAL FOR IDENTIFYING AND FIGHTING U.N. AGENDA 21, HERE IT IS.

BEST SELLER

WWW.INFOWARSTORE.COM

1.888.253.3139

WWW.INFOWARSTORE.COM 888.253.3139

STRATEGIC RELOCATION

NORTH AMERICAN GUIDE TO SAFE PLACES



YOUR ULTIMATE BUG OUT GUIDE!

ALEX JONES' NEWEST DVD

+

BOOK BY RENOWNED PREPAREDNESS EXPERT JOEL SKOUSEN




BOOK + DVD COMBO



NSA: Spying, Intimidation, Censorship

Blanket Surveillance is About
Covering up Government
Corruption and Chilling Free
Speech, Not Catching Terrorists

written by: Alex Jones & Paul Joseph Watson



The National Security Agency's vast wiretapping and surveillance operation, in addition to the agency's attempts to intimidate the media and whistleblowers from releasing information about programs such as PRISM, has virtually nothing to do with catching terrorists. It has everything to do with creating a chilling effect that dissuades the free press from exposing government corruption while making Americans fearful of engaging in political free speech.

The myth that blanket NSA spying is primarily concerned with catching terrorists, or that terrorists will be aided by people like Edward Snowden blowing the whistle on the PRISM program, has been debunked by numerous experts.

The threat posed to Americans by terrorism is grossly exaggerated and overhyped. Americans are more likely to be killed by toddlers than terrorists. Intestinal illnesses, allergic reactions to peanuts, bee stings, drowning in the bath, or accident-causing deer all individually pose a greater threat to Americans than terrorists. The whole debate about sacrificing privacy for security is a total fraud to begin with.

As the former head of the NSA's global digital data gathering program William Binney confirmed, the witch hunt targeting Edward Snowden is not about preventing terrorists from discovering how they are being tracked by the NSA, it is about preventing the American people from finding out about the unconstitutional actions of the NSA.

"The terrorists have already known that we've been doing this for years, so there's no surprise there. They're not going to change the way they operate just because it comes out in the U.S. press. I mean, the point is, they already knew it, and they were operating the way they would operate anyway. So, the point is that ... the government here is not trying to protect it from the terrorists; it's trying to protect it, that knowledge of that program, from the citizens of the United States," said Binney.

This sentiment was echoed by top counter-terrorism czar Richard Clarke, who remarked, "The argument that this sweeping search must be kept secret from the terrorists is laughable. Terrorists already assume this sort of thing is being done. Only law-abiding

American citizens were blissfully ignorant of what their government was doing."

Innumerable lawmakers on both sides of the aisle who have called for Ed Snowden to be arrested have done so under the justification that Snowden is aiding terrorists by tipping them off to the fact that the NSA is spying on them, and therefore harming national security. Yet as Clarke and Binney highlight, this is a moot point — it was already a known practice

to the terrorists. Therefore, there must be a different reason for the persecution of Snowden and his ilk.

The reason for the persecution of whistleblowers and media outlets who leak evidence of government wrongdoing is to intimidate the free press and make them less likely to publish information about government corruption for fear of legal reprisals. This is an easily understood consequence of the persecution of Edward Snowden, and yet it has barely been touched upon in the aftermath of the PRISM scandal.

As William Binney explained, government officials are only mad at Snowden because his revelations expose their wrongdoing. This has nothing to do with genuine concerns about national security or terrorism. Data is being obtained by the NSA unencrypted so that no probable cause is needed for the agency to access that data, meaning the system has been set up for political and not practical purposes.

As Thomas Drake, former senior NSA executive and a decorated Air Force and Navy veteran remarks, the government is incensed at Snowden and the media outlets who carried his story because Snowden exposed how the NSA is acting in "direct violation of the Fourth Amendment" and "subverting the constitution."

Despite the facts that whistleblowers are helping to expose wrongdoing in government and that polls show they are supported by the majority of the American people, the Obama administration has prosecuted more whistleblowers than all other presidents combined.

As the experts agree, this isn't being done to protect America from terrorists. It is being done to intimidate insiders from coming forward and speaking out against government corruption in the fear that they will end up like Bradley Manning: locked away in solitary confinement for years.

Telephones belonging to AP reporters are being tapped to discourage other news organizations from reporting on government misdeeds. Individual reporters like James Rosen are also being targeted by the Obama administration, making potential sources who have information on government wrongdoing less likely to approach journalists.

The NSA's huge illegal dragnet has the additional consequence of implanting a seed of doubt in the minds of average Americans seeking to exercise their first amendment right to criticize the government. Could they become a target of blanket surveillance and wiretapping? Could their private life be exposed by a resentful NSA official if they dare to become a nuisance to the feds? Could they be accidentally mistaken for a terrorist if they send an email to another person who is under suspicion?

The Obama administration's war on whistleblowers and the NSA and other federal agency's role in spying on reporters and average Americans has nothing to do with stopping terrorists and everything to do with intimidating the media, creating a chilling effect that makes insiders who have clear evidence of government corruption far less likely to go public, and making Americans think twice before they criticize the government or exercise their constitutional rights.

**IMPLANTING A SEED
OF DOUBT IN THE
MINDS OF AVERAGE
AMERICANS SEEKING
TO EXERCISE THEIR
FIRST AMENDMENT
RIGHT TO CRITICIZE
THE GOVERNMENT.**

New Polls Show Americans Oppose NSA Spying

Surveys contradict previous Pew poll

Contradicting a previous Pew poll which found that a majority of Americans support the NSA spying on telephone records, new surveys find that most Americans decry NSA snooping and view whistleblower Edward Snowden as a patriot for exposing the existence of PRISM.

Many reacted with shock to the Pew Research Center poll which found that 56% of Americans supported the NSA "tracking the telephone records of millions of Americans," suggesting that NSA whistleblower Edward Snowden, who sacrificed his freedom to expose the existence of PRISM, a program under which the NSA is pulling private data "directly from the servers" of major US service providers such as Google and Facebook, had acted in vain.

However, a new Gallup poll conducted this week finds that "more Americans disapprove (53%) than approve (37%) of the federal government agency program that, as part of its efforts to investigate terrorism, obtained records from U.S. telephone and Internet companies to 'compile telephone call logs and Internet communications.'"

"What can one deduce from this discrepancy?" wrote Zero Hedge on the day of the poll results. "Perhaps it is nothing more complex than polling bias, most recently observed during the 2012 presidential campaign, and which shows that sometimes it is more important who is doing the polling than who is being polled and what questions are being asked."

Just as in the Pew poll, more Democrats than

Republicans (49% to 32%) are likely to approve NSA snooping, underscoring once again how leftists abandon their concern for privacy and civil liberties when a Democrat is in the White House.

The Gallup poll correlates with a separate CBS News poll which found that 58% of Americans disapprove of the government "collecting phone records of ordinary Americans." The Gallup poll also shows that 35% of Americans would be "very concerned" about violations of their own privacy rights if the government had computerized logs of their telephone calls or Internet communications, while 22% said they would be "somewhat concerned." A slight majority (44% to 42%) believe Edward Snowden was right to leak the information to the press.

In addition, following Snowden's quote to the South China Morning Post, when he remarked, "I'm neither traitor nor hero. I'm an American," a Reuters/Ipsos poll confirms that most Americans look favorably on the whistleblower's actions. 23% of those surveyed viewed Snowden as a traitor, while 31% said he was a patriot. 46% said they don't know, suggesting that the narrative behind the story has a way to go before it fully unfurls. In addition, 35% said Snowden should face no charges while 25% think he should be charged to the full extent of the law.

Only 6% of those surveyed had no objections to the PRISM surveillance program, while 37% said it is completely unacceptable. A majority of 45% said PRISM was acceptable under some circumstances.



PEW RESEARCH
CENTER POLL
»»» **56%**
Americans support NSA tracking



GALLUP POLL
»»» **53%**
more Americans disapprove
than approve (37%) of the
federal agency program



PEW RESEARCH
CENTER POLL
»»» **49%**
Democrats (49% to 32%) are
likely to approve NSA
snooping



GALLUP POLL
»»» **58%**
Americans disapprove of the
government "collecting phone
records of ordinary Ameri-
cans"



REUTERS POLL
»»» **45%**

A majority of Americans said
the PRISM program was
acceptable under some
circumstances



REUTERS POLL
»»» **37%**

Americans said the PRISM
surveillance program was
completely unacceptable



GALLUP POLL
»»» **22%**

Americans said they would be
"somewhat concerned." A
slight majority (44% to 42%)
believe Edward Snowden was
right to leak the information in
the press



GALLUP POLL
»»» **35%**

Americans would be "very
concerned" about violations of
their own privacy rights if the
government had computerized
logs of their telephone calls or
Internet communications

LET NO MAN DECEIVE YOU

GET YOUR
DISCOUNT
DVD AT

INFOWARSSTORE.COM

A FILM BY PAUL WITTENBERGER

AFTER THE TRIBULATION

12.21.12

WWW.INFOWARSTORE.COM

LIVE COMPOSTING WORMS SOLD HERE

ARE GMO'S, CONVENTIONAL FERTILIZERS AND
PESTICIDES KILLING OUR WORMS?

A WORLD WITHOUT WORMS

written by:
Gordon R. Wybo



"As the canary is to the coal miner, the worm is to agriculture," claims Larry Martin of VermiTechnology Unlimited, one of the country's leading vermiculturists. Having been in the vermiculture (worm-farming) industry since 1974, Larry has seen trends and cycles brought on by consumer demand, the environment and changes in agriculture. He explains that worms are one of the first indicators of soil health.

Recently, many commercial worm growers, including myself, are losing worms. We're not talking about misplacing-your-keys lost, but lost as in a large-scale niche business is being killed! In the last 2 or 3 months producers have lost Red Wigglers (*Eisenia Foetida*) at staggering rates. Although the scientific data has not been fully compiled, the consensus among vermiculturists is that GMO's, conventional fertilizers and pesticides are killing our worms.

THIS IS A WAKE UP CALL!

Larry stated that last month he lost over 3,000 pounds of worms alone. This is significant because his sales are an estimated 16,000 pounds annually. Another producer, Mark Purser of The Worm Farm in Durham, CA, has indicated his losses are in the 8,000 to 10,000 pound range, compared to his annual output of 12,000 pounds. Larry is anticipating on having worms available in July, but Mark said he'll have to wait until September before he is able to start selling Red

Sustainacyle's Sustainable
Living Center

www.sustainacylekyle.com

Agriculture

"AS THE CANARY IS TO THE COAL MINER, THE WORM IS TO AGRICULTURE"



"RECENTLY MANY COMMERCIAL WORM GROWERS, INCLUDING MYSELF ARE LOSING WORMS. WE'RE NOT TALKING ABOUT MISPLACING YOUR KEYS, BUT A LARGE SCALE NICHE BUSINESS IS BEING KILLED. IN THE LAST 2 OR 3 MONTHS PRODUCERS ARE LOSING RED WIGGLERS (EISENIA FOETIDA) AT STAGGERING RATES."



"THIS IS A NATIONWIDE CRISIS. BECAUSE THE INFORMATION IS POINTING TO GMO'S AND CONVENTIONAL CHEMICALS IN THEIR FEED, IT IS A CLEAR INDICATOR THAT NOT ONLY IS OUR SOIL IN DANGER, BUT WE ARE TOO. CONVENTIONAL AGRICULTURE IS A KILLER! THESE TYPES OF LOSSES HAVE NEVER BEEN SEEN IN VERMICULTURE."

Wigglers again, under the assumption that their cocoons are in fact viable.

Since worms act as our canaries, something is drastically wrong. Normally when vermiculturists have a die-off, it is limited and traceable, usually happening in localized areas or areas with a linked food supply. Because worms are not susceptible to viruses or infections, predators are the usual culprit, but that is not the case here. They are just dying without even leaving their usual stinkin' corpses behind. The worms are drying up and turning to dust, disappearing completely. These types of losses have never been seen before in vermiculture, which could lead to a nationwide crisis.

SO WHAT DO WE DO?

Without our subterranean friends doing their usual job, our soil will indeed suffer. They are the workforce that breaks down our soil and releases the vital nutrients and minerals for plant growth. They aerate the root zone and increase the soil's ability to hold water in times of drought and restrictions. Their work can increase yields and crop resistance to disease and pests, in addition to aiding in composting. It has been said that 90 percent or more of worldwide food production is dependent upon just the top 12 inches of soil, which is exactly where the worms live. If our soil is in danger, then we are too.

WHAT DOES THIS MEAN TO YOU AND ME?

As supplies become available, raise your own worms. Start a compost pile or a worm farm where you can control their food sources. This will ensure that supplies do not become unavailable. Currently, European Night Crawlers are available as a substitute to Red Wigglers. Their traits are very similar to the Reds, with the main

exception being that they are much more vibration sensitive, making it hard to use in indoor farms or bins.

Use worm castings (manure) as an amendment and fertilizer. This has been shown to increase yield and reduce plant diseases and pest problems.

Choose to become active in the fight to stop GMO production. Don't wait for someone else to get involved. Be the example and make the difference. This affects all of the food sources, from the food we eat to livestock stock feed to your pet's food to the worms in the earth.

Next, when selecting crops to grow in your garden, grow organic, open-pollinated, heirloom varieties. These have a long track record and have not been tampered with by a guy in a lab coat to make them resistant to herbicides.

Use alternatives to conventional chemicals. The active ingredient in Roundup, glyphosate, has been linked to tumors, disease and mutations. It is also being investigated as a suspect in cattle miscarriage and weak birth. Do you really want this in your meat?

THE VERDICT:

To maintain our survivability we all must be proactive. Years in the making, this disaster can be mitigated. It is the responsibility of everyone to stop "drinking the Kool-Aid" that Washington and Conventional Big Agri-Chemical keep serving us. It's tainted with greed and poison.

SOURCES

Larry Martin
VermiTechnologyUnlimited
www.vermitechnology.com

Mark Purser
The Worm Farm
www.thewormfarm.net

.....
Learn more at
www.sustainacylekyle.com

photos taken by Molly Rogers

An INFOWARS exclusive ground breaking documentary on mind control


STATE of MIND

THE PSYCHOLOGY OF CONTROL

ARE WE BEING
CONTROLLED?

—DOCUMENTARY BY—
FREE MIND FILMS

AVAILABLE NOW
ONLY @ INFOWARSSTORE.COM
1.888.253.3139





PICTURE SNAP

UK SHADOW CHANCELLOR
ED BALLS CONFRONTED
ABOUT BREAKING THE
MINISTERIAL CODE BY
ATTENDING BILDERBERG
INSIDE BBC STUDIO.



**make
yourself
kissable
again^{with}
LeCig**





electronic cigarette D1

LECIG.COM • 866.713.9584

MUST BE 18 YEARS OR OLDER TO PURCHASE. THIS IS NOT A SMOKING CESSATION TOOL.
PROP 65 WARNING: NICOTINE IS A CHEMICAL KNOWN TO THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA TO
CAUSE BIRTH DEFECTS OR OTHER REPRODUCTIVE HARM.

THE AMERICAN DREAM



"THE AMERICAN DREAM" WILL SHOW YOU HOW YOU'VE BEEN SCAMMED BY THE LEADERS OF THIS NATION AND THE GLOBAL BANKING SYSTEM.

FIND THIS AND OTHER AMAZING EYE OPENING VIDEOS ONLY AT INFOWARSSTORE.COM

Donna Anderson

Obama Knows What's Best for You and Your Family



**And he has
no problem
forcing you
to pay for it!**

AT FIRST GLANCE, THEY LOOK LIKE A REAL BARGAIN, BUT UNLESS YOU'RE A 26-YEAR OLD MALE WHO DOESN'T SMOKE, OR DRINK, OR EVER VISIT A DOCTOR IN YOUR LIFE, YOU'RE NOT GOING TO QUALIFY FOR THAT \$95 PACKAGE.

As states gear up to create the health insurance exchanges demanded under President Obama's Affordable Healthcare Act, they're finding out that private policies for individuals aren't going to be quite as affordable as legislators promised. In fact, in California, individual healthcare premiums will increase by as much as 64 to 146 percent.

In May, Peter Lee, executive director of California's healthcare exchange, said that California's version of the healthcare exchange would actually reduce premiums. "These rates are

way below the worst-case gloom-and-doom scenarios we have heard," boasted Lee. But according to Forbes contributor, Avik Roy, Lee was comparing apples to oranges. An increase is inevitable because most of the current individual policies do not meet the mandates set forth by Obamacare.

"He was comparing apples, the plans that Californians buy today for themselves in a robust individual market, and oranges, the highly regulated plans that small employers purchase for their workers as a group. The difference is critical."

One of the key mandates that will effect Obamacare premiums is the requirement that health insurers must provide coverage for people with pre-existing medical conditions. As Roy explains, "Forcing insurers to cover everyone with pre-existing conditions drives premiums upward. If you know you can buy insurance after you're sick,

you have every incentive to drop out of the system now and wait until you're sick to buy insurance."

Another important mandate requires insurers to work with everyone, restricting their ability to exclude certain doctors and hospitals from their networks. "If insurers have to work with everyone, they lose some of their negotiating leverage with hospitals to keep prices down."

Increases will vary from state to state based on the individual state mandates. For example, some states require all plans to cover acupuncturists and chiropractors. Others force insurers to cover substance abuse treatment and smoking cessation programs. If you have need of these services, it's great because you're covered under your policy, but if you don't, then it's just an additional expense, and, as Roy points out, "it's another reason for you not to bother buying insurance."

You're going to be forced to pay – one way or another.


Under Obamacare, every American must provide proof each year of a comprehensive insurance plan that meets the federally mandated coverage requirements when filing for income tax return. If not, Obama has granted the IRS the power to issue penalties.

In 2014, when the plan first kicks in, that penalty is no more than \$285 or 1 percent of income per family. In 2015, it increases to \$975 or 2 percent of income. By 2016, the penalty increases to \$2085 per family or 2.5 percent of income, whichever is greater.

If you already have your own private policy, think again. As early as this fall, providers will start automatically canceling policies that don't meet Obamacare's minimum coverage requirements.

Ostensibly, the federal minimum insurance requirements are meant to protect consumers with a limit of \$6,400 for co-payments and out-of-pocket expenses. If your current policy doesn't provide this coverage, then your provider will be expected to change your policy so it's compliant — never mind the fact that you might not be able to afford the additional coverage.

"You're going to be forcibly upgraded," said Bob Laszewski, a healthcare industry consultant. "It's like showing up at the airline counter and being told, 'You have no choice. \$300 please. You're getting a first-class ticket. Why are you complaining?'"



Obamacare's two options for healthcare:

OPTION 1

Comprehensive healthcare provided by your employer: Working for a company that provides healthcare coverage might sound like the best solution, however, employer plans must also meet all of Obamacare's mandates and requirements. Many employers will have to increase their employee coverage, and, in today's economy, that's just not possible. They'll either have to raise the employee's contribution or decrease their workforce. Either way, it's the employee who pays.

OPTION 2

State's healthcare exchanges: States are currently creating healthcare exchanges to offer a variety of packages from insurance providers that will meet Obamacare mandates. At first glance, they look like a real bargain, but unless you're a 26-year old male who doesn't smoke, or drink, or ever visit a doctor in your life, you're not going to qualify for that \$95 package. Providers are using that "pre-existing condition" mandate to their advantage, not yours. Even with any federal subsidies that might be available, you're never going to be able to afford healthcare — and if your state is one that requires providers to cover everything from hangnails to headaches to hemorrhoids, forget it.



**NO MATTER
WHAT HAPPENS
OR WHERE YOU
GO, YOU WILL
HAVE INSTANT
ACCESS TO
SAFE DRINKING
WATER**

- Filters 264 gallons (1,000 liters) to 0.2 microns
- Removes 99.9999% of waterborne bacteria (>LOG 6 reduction)
- Removes 99.9% of waterborne protozoan parasites (>LOG 3 reduction)
- Very lightweight 2 oz (57grams)
Easy to use, contains no chemicals, and has no moving parts.
- Used in the harshest conditions in developing countries since 2005.



INFOWARSSTORE.COM • 1.888.253.3139

WWW.INFOWARSTORE.COM
888.253.3139



NATURAL PRODUCTS FOR THE MIND, BODY, AND SOUL



DHS-Funded Exercise Portrays “Free America Citizens” as Terrorist Cell



A Homeland Security-funded training exercise in Boston dubbed “Operation Urban Shield” that was delayed due to April’s marathon bombing revolved around a terrorist cell dubbed “Free America Citizens,” another indication of how the DHS is characterizing liberty-loving Americans as domestic extremists.

“Months of painstaking planning had gone into the exercise, dubbed “Operation Urban Shield,” meant to train dozens of detectives in the Greater Boston area to work together to thwart a terrorist threat. The hypothetical terrorist group was even given a name: Free America Citizens, a home-grown cadre of militiamen whose logo would be a metal skull wearing an Uncle Sam hat and a furious expression,” reports the Boston Globe.

The drill, which was funded with the aid of a \$200,000 Department of Homeland Security grant and was set to involve DHS officials along with other federal authorities, centered on the scenario of domestic terrorists leaving explosive-filled backpacks at locations around the city. The exercise was postponed in response to the Boston bombings and is now expected to go ahead early next year.

The exercise, many details of which remain confidential, has been crafted to test the investigative skills of detectives involved who will be tasked with finding out the motivation behind the “Free America” terrorists.

The drill was planned before the Boston bombings and officials from a dozen agencies have been meeting for months to plan the scenario. The exercise bore “eerie similarities” to the real attack in that police were tasked with identifying terrorists from street surveillance camera footage.

Over the course of the last five years, the DHS has been criticized for its involvement in numerous training exercises and the production of material which demonizes conservatives and libertarians as domestic extremists, putting them in the same league as violent terrorists.

In March, Arkansas State Fusion Center Director Richard Davis admitted that the federal agency spies on Americans deemed to be “anti-government,” noting that the DHS concentrates on, “domestic terrorism and certain groups that are anti-government. We want to kind of take a look at that and receive that information,” so-called threats which included people, “putting political stickers in public bathrooms or participating in movements against the death penalty.”

Last year, a DHS-funded study produced by the National Consortium for the Study of Terrorism and Responses to Terrorism at the University of Maryland characterized Americans who are “suspicious of centralized federal authority,” and “reverent of individual liberty” as “extreme right-wing” terrorists.

The DHS also stoked controversy in 2011 when it released a series of videos to promote the “See Something”, Say Something campaign in which almost all of the terrorists portrayed in the PSAs were white Americans.

A mock DHS news report which emerged last month depicted gun owning Americans as dangerous terrorists.

A DHS-funded exercise in Seattle last month portrayed “angry” parents who homeschooled their children as terrorists.

The 2009 MIAC report, published by the Missouri Information Analysis Center and first revealed by Infowars, also framed Ron Paul supporters, libertarians, people who display bumper stickers, people who own gold, or even people who fly a U.S. flag, as potential terrorists.

Video Game Casts Tea Party and Patriot Groups as Enemy Terrorists

The latest installment of the popular Rainbow Six video game franchise casts Americans fed up with government corruption and banker domination as domestic terrorists intent on carrying out killings and bombings — yet another startling indication of how media is influencing the public to accept protesters and people generally upset with the way their government is being run as the new terrorist threat.

“In Tom Clancy’s ‘Rainbow 6 Patriots,’ Team Rainbow faces a new and very real threat called the ‘True Patriots,’ a highly-trained, well-organized revolutionary group that claim the American government is irrevocably corrupted by greedy politicians and corporate special interests. The True Patriots will do whatever it takes to reclaim their country,” Ubisoft’s description of the game states.

The description goes on to label members of the fictitious movement as the “new breed of terrorists,” stating, “...players will face critical scenarios that will require them to make tough ethical decisions in order to stop this new breed of terrorists.”

Game Informer Magazine, published by national video game retail chain Game Stop, claimed in their December 2011 issue that a tumultuous political atmosphere was the motivation for Ubisoft to pit American citizens against federal agents.

“Americans are angry, and why shouldn’t they be? With an exponentially expanding national debt, crippling foreclosures, corporate bailouts, degrading infrastructure, dwindling job market and widening income gap between the haves and have-nots, it’s getting harder to believe politicians when they speak of American exceptionalism as if it were a fundamental truth.

“In response to gradual erosion of our beloved nation, resentful citizens of all kinds of political backgrounds are rising up in the form of new political movements like the Tea Party and Occupy Wall Street.”

Reading further in the magazine’s short introduction to the game, we find the real motivation behind the game’s inception:

“In 2009, the Southern Poverty Law Center reported a massive resurgence in anti-government paramilitary groups, which have jumped from 43 militias in 2007 to nearly 300 in 2010. The sudden surge has captured the attention of the Department of Homeland Security, NSA, CIA and FBI, who all view these groups as a real threat to the stability of the nation. Moreover, many of these disenfranchised groups frequently put their members through intense military training exercises — for what, no one knows.”

In their final paragraph, the Game Informer writers ask, “Do you have what it takes to pull the trigger on a fellow citizen?”



Microsoft Moves to Spy on Gamers Inside Their Homes

Millions of people worldwide are preparing to grant Microsoft's latest trendy gaming console near ubiquitous access within their homes this fall as the electronics giant gets set to launch Xbox One, a video game system for the Internet-age whose invasive spy-like features are startlingly on par with "telescreens" right out of George Orwell's 1984.

But Microsoft's connection to the recently unearthed National Security Administration PRISM spy grid, which in June 2013 linked tech companies Microsoft, Yahoo, Google, Facebook, AOL, Skype and Apple, among others, to a secret program that allowed the NSA to access those firms' servers, leaves concerned gamers wondering just how much privacy they'll have to trade in to possess what's being hyped as the coolest, most anticipated gadget.

New Xbox will Require 24/7 Internet Access

Earlier this year, Microsoft announced an approximate launch date of fall 2013 for the Xbox One, the third installment of their popular Xbox game console franchise, which they describe as an "all-in-one entertainment system for a new generation" that "responds naturally to your voice, movements, and gestures."

"The console will be ready instantly when users want to play, and will always maintain a network connection so that software and games are always current," the Xbox One development team explained via screen shots obtained by the website VGLeaks.com. "With this 'Always On, Always Connected' design, users will quickly and easily enjoy their connected entertainment experiences, with no waiting for the console to restart or install updates."

"Yes, if you want to play a single adventure, you would have to be online," VGLeaks speculated back in March. Microsoft has since clarified that "No, it does not have to be

always connected, but Xbox One does require a connection to the Internet," meaning if you plan on owning an Xbox One, you're going to need the Internet.

Likewise, the new system reportedly will not "operate" unless "a new high-fidelity Kinect Sensor" is attached, which will be sold in conjunction with the console, granting the new Xbox eerie Big Brother-like access within peoples' homes.

Microsoft Approached Big Brother by Baby Steps

Xbox One's most questionable advancement is not the "Always On, Always Connected" design, which is somewhat to be expected in modern times where just about everything from mobile phones to dishwashers are continuously online, but its requisite attachment of a high-definition, motion-sensing camera complete with extra sensitive microphones, so advanced that they can detect your eye movements and

even your heartbeat.

The Kinect input device, developed for Xbox One's predecessor, Xbox 360, paved the way for users to familiarize themselves with an interactive web-cam, which translated body gestures into a virtual controller, enabling players to engage in what was deemed "controller-free gaming."

Kinect was notably Xbox 360's hottest addition and earned a Guinness World Record for "fastest selling consumer electronics device," selling "through an average of 133,333 units per day, for a total of 8 million units in its first 60 days on sale from 4 November 2010 to 3 January 2011," surpassing even iPhone and iPad sales, according to Guinness World Records.

Since the Kinect first hit the market, concerns over privacy were already being raised due to numerous Microsoft patents which seemed destined to target Kinect consumers.

In November 2012, high-tech news site Beta Beat reported, "Back in 2011, Microsoft applied for a patent that would allow cameras and sensors, much like the ones embedded in the Kinect, to track how many people



are in a room. Developed by Microsoft's 'incubation team,' which is where they test new approaches to hardware, the patent was recently made public. They're calling the invention a 'consumer detector,' and it's just as frightening as it sounds."

Beta Beat went on, "Once it has identified how many people are there — and even who is there — the device will charge for content accordingly. If you pay for one content license but then more viewers join the room, it could halt playback and request that you pay for a different license. That means if you plan to have a movie-marathon sleepover, Microsoft would like to charge you extra copyright money for the pleasure of snuggling and watching horror movies."

As concerns grew over Kinect's potential spying capabilities, Microsoft was pressured to issue a statement, which read, "Xbox 360 and Xbox LIVE do not use any information captured by Kinect for advertising targeting purposes. Microsoft has a strong track record of implementing some of the best privacy protection measures in the industry. We place great importance on the privacy of our

customers' information and the safety of their experiences." However, if you notice, their wording specifically excludes Xbox One.

Since the Xbox 360's current Kinect device is already fully equipped with spy gear, we can expect Kinect 2's technology to be exponentially more intricate.

New Kinect will be a Spy Device, Plain and Simple

For the previous Xbox, the Kinect was not an essential device; it was only required for some games. For Xbox One, however, the new Kinect sensor is required for the system to even operate.

Kinect 2, as it's being called, can do some pretty weird things.

At the 2013 Electronic Entertainment Expo's Xbox One reveal, Microsoft's Corporate Vice President and Chief Product Officer Marc Whitten described the Kinect 2's spy tech as "rocket science-level stuff." He said it will

boast a 1080p HD RGB camera capable of capturing 30 frames per second, and "it can read your heartbeat."

"Wait a minute. How can a game console monitor my heartbeat?" you're probably asking. Kinect 2 will analyze your heart rate using an Active IR (infrared) sensor and by examining your face's skin color and transparency — nothing creepy about that. "And why does it want to take my pulse?" Microsoft has somehow convinced gamers that this is a good thing and will enhance game play, though most likely it will be combined with readings from other sensors to create a profile of your likes and dislikes — definitely nothing creepy about that.

Kinect's cameras and sensors are also powerful enough to see in the dark, spot wrinkles in your clothing and detect every detail of your face, whether frowning, smiling or indifferent.

It will also track your eye movements to see what part of the screen you're watching to make sure you're "engaged," in other words, to see whether you're actually watching a game, movie or an advertisement.

In fact, Xbox plans to reward viewers who attentively watch advertisements and TV shows with meaningless “virtual awards” like avatars and outfits for those avatars which other gamers will see, “thus creating an incentive for each user to increase his or her score,” according to a new patent published in May.

Kinect will also always be listening to what’s going on. Microsoft claims Kinect 2 waits to hear audible instructions like, “Xbox on,” which would power up the console, but it can also distinguish your voice among your friends.

As innovative as these new features may sound, the fact of the matter is the Kinect 2 will be required to be attached, will be able to hear and see, and is connected to the Internet where data flies freely. “Of course, that’s not necessarily a gloom-and-doom situation, and listening doesn’t mean recording,” technology news site The Verge conceded. “Still, compared to privacy concerns over a device like Google Glass, which doesn’t actively listen to its surroundings at all times, the new Xbox could pose greater privacy implications — especially if the system, which many users will connect to the internet, is compromised remotely by a malicious actor.”

Due to mounting privacy concerns, Microsoft Corporate Vice President Phil Harrison told Eurogamer, the largest independent gaming website in Europe, that, “We aren’t using Kinect to snoop on anybody at all. We listen for the word ‘Xbox on’ and then switch on the machine, but we don’t transmit personal data in any way, shape or form that could be personally identifiable to you, unless you explicitly opt into that.”

We’re apparently not the only ones worried about being covertly, or not-so-covertly, spied on.

According to the UK’s Daily Mail, the head of Civil Liberties Australia, Tim Vines, told the website GamesFix, “Microsoft’s new Xbox meets the definition of a surveillance device under some Australian laws, so they need to be upfront and tell customers whether anyone else can intercept their information or remotely access their device.

“The Xbox One continuously records all sorts of personal information about me ... My reaction rates, my learning or emotional states ... These are then processed on an external server, and possibly even passed on to third parties. The fact that Microsoft could potentially spy on my living room is merely a twisted nightmare.”

As much as we’d like to take Microsoft’s word that we won’t be spied on, information pertaining to secret backroom deals with the NSA give us additional pause for concern.

Microsoft connected to NSA PRISM scandal

As if the new console’s oddly invasive features weren’t weird enough, anxieties over privacy are made all the worse due to Microsoft’s alleged involvement in the NSA PRISM spy grid scandal.

In early June, 29 year-old Edward Snowden, an employee of Virginia-based intelligence consulting firm Booz Allen Hamilton, leaked sensitive information exposing the NSA’s PRISM program. PRISM was a top-secret system wherein the NSA had direct access to servers of Internet giants like Microsoft, Google, Facebook, YouTube, Yahoo!, AOL, Skype and others, allowing them to gather the search histories, email contents, file transfers and live chats of millions of Americans that used those services.

“With this program, the NSA is able to reach directly into the servers of the participating companies and obtain both stored communications as well as perform real-time collection on targeted users,” the London Guardian reported.

According to the Daily Caller, “Many critics have asserted that Microsoft will follow the lead of other Silicon Valley companies and use their console to gather data about its users, particularly through the Kinect, and collect it through the online connection users can’t avoid.”

Opting Out of Xbox One

Just like Google Glass, the new Xbox isn’t shy about its determination to meld man with machine. Alleged efforts to “engage” consumers also do little to disguise the aggressive spy tech inherent in Xbox One and actually accelerate the trend towards transhumanism, a goal long sought by the scientific controllers and technocratic elite. While on the surface all these new features may seem cool and fun, in the long-run, this is one console many I’ve spoken to don’t plan on buying.

"All natural pure soap products"



**CAL BEN
FIVE STAR
SOAP** PRODUCTS

1.800.340.7091
www.calbenpuresoap.com

04 LOUNGE

512.444.7788

3808 S. CONGRESS

OPEN
NOON - 2 AM
7 DAYS A WEEK

MON-\$1 PBR

TUES-\$1 HIGHLIFE
\$2 WELLS

THURS-LADIES NIGHT
\$3 MARTINIS

SUN-NFL TICKET
ALL GAMES



HAPPY HOUR NOON- 8 PM EVERYDAY


MODIFILAN

NATURAL DIETARY SUPPLEMENT



**PURE BROWN SEAWEED EXTRACT
BOOSTS THE IMMUNE SYSTEM
PROMOTES A HEALTHY THYROID GLAND**

INFOWARSSTORE.COM / 1.888.253.3139



VACCINE EPIDEMIC

**HOW CORPORATE GREED, BIASED SCIENCE, AND
COERCIVE GOVERNMENT THREATEN OUR HUMAN
RIGHTS, OUR HEALTH, AND OUR CHILDREN.**

Featuring more than twenty-five experts from the fields of ethics, law, science, medicine, business, and history, Vaccine Epidemic urgently calls for reform. It is the essential handbook for the vaccination choice movement and required reading for all people contemplating vaccination for themselves and their children.

WWW.INFOWARSTORE.COM • 1.888.253.3139

**FEEL THAT
HEADACHE
AFTER A
VACCINE?
THAT'S THE
FEELING OF
CHEMICALS
EATING
YOUR BRAIN.**



What's Really in Vaccines?

Proof of MSG, formaldehyde, aluminum and mercury

visit this CDC vaccine additives web page, which openly admits to these chemicals being used in vaccines right now. It's not a conspiracy theory, it turns out. It's the status quo of modern-day vaccine manufacturing

Have you ever wondered what's really in vaccines? According to the U.S. Centers for Disease Control's vaccine additives page, all the following ingredients are routinely used as vaccine additives:

- **Aluminum:** A light metal that causes dementia and Alzheimer's disease. You should never inject yourself with aluminum.
- **Antibiotics:** Chemicals that promote superbugs, which are deadly antibiotic-resistant strains of bacteria that are killing tens of thousands of Americans every year.
- **Formaldehyde:** A "pickling" chemical used to preserve cadavers. It's highly toxic to the nervous system, causing blindness, brain damage and seizures. The U.S. Department of Health and Human Services openly admits that formaldehyde causes cancer. You can see this for yourself on the National Toxicology Program's 12th Report on Carcinogens, featured on its website. There, the Formaldehyde Fact Sheet completely neglects to mention formaldehyde in vaccines. This is the dirty little secret of government and the vaccine industry. It does state, however, that "formaldehyde causes myeloid leukemia, and rare cancers including sinonasal and nasopharyngeal cancer."
- **Monosodium Glutamate (MSG):** A neurotoxic chemical called an "excitotoxin." It causes brain neurons to be overexcited to the point of death. MSG is toxic even when consumed in foods, where it causes migraine headaches and endocrine system damage. You should never inject MSG into your body, but that's what health workers do when they inject you with vaccines.
- **Thimerosal:** A methylmercury compound that causes severe, permanent nervous system

damage. Mercury is highly toxic to the brain. You should never touch, swallow or inject mercury at any dose. There is no safe dose of mercury! Doctors and vaccine pushers lie to you and say there is no mercury in vaccines, but the CDC readily admits vaccines still contain thimerosal, which is a form of mercury.

In addition, National Toxicology Program admits in its own documents that:

- Vaccinations "may produce small, but measurable increases in blood levels of mercury."
- "Thimerosal was found to cross the blood-brain and placenta barriers."
- The "hazards of thimerosal include neurotoxicity and nephrotoxicity," meaning brain and kidney toxicity.
- "Similar toxicological profiles between ethylmercury and methylmercury raise the possibility that neurotoxicity may also occur at low doses of thimerosal."
- "There are no existing guidelines for safe exposure to ethylmercury, the metabolite of thimerosal."
- "The assessment determined that the use of thimerosal as a preservative in vaccines might result in the intake of mercury during the first 6 months of life that exceeded recommended guidelines from the Environmental Protection Agency..."
- "In the U.S., thimerosal is still present as a preservative in some vaccines given to young children,

as well as certain biological products recommended during pregnancy. Thimerosal remains a preservative in some vaccines administered to adolescents and adults. In addition, thimerosal continues to be used internationally as a vaccine preservative."

The report then goes on to say that the FDA studied thimerosal and somehow found it to be perfectly safe. It also states that vaccine manufacturers are "working" to remove thimerosal from vaccines, but in reality, it's still being manufactured right into the vaccines.

This report also reveals that the FDA requires preservatives like thimerosal only in so-called "multi-dose" vaccines — vials that contain more than one dose of the vaccine. Drug companies could, if they wanted to, produce "clean" single-dose vaccines without any mercury or thimerosal, but they choose not to because it's more profitable to produce mercury-containing, multi-dose vaccines. As the report admits, "Preservatives are not required for products formulated in single-dose vials. Multi-dose vials are preferred by some physicians and health clinics because they are often less expensive per vaccine dose and require less storage space."

I've been told by numerous skeptics and doctors that there is no such thing as mercury in vaccines, and that any such suggestion is nothing more than a wild conspiracy theory, which just goes to show how ignorant the skeptics and some doctors are. All they have to do is visit the CDC vaccine additive website, which openly admits to these chemicals being used in vaccines right now. It's not a conspiracy theory, it turns out. It is the status quo of modern-day vaccine manufacturing.



VACCINES ARE DESIGNED WITH CHEMICAL ADDITIVES TO POISON THE POPULATION, NOT TO PROTECT THE POPULATION”

**Feel that headache
after a vaccine? That's
the feeling of chemi-
cals eating your brain.**

The most common side effect of a vaccine injection is a headache. The CDC admits that over 30 percent of those receiving vaccines experience headaches or migraines. Think about it: what could possibly be in vaccines that would cause headaches, migraines and brain damage?

How about the mercury, the formaldehyde, the aluminum and the MSG?

Even if you believe in the theory of vaccines as a helpful way to train the immune system to recognize pathogens, why would anyone — especially a doctor — think it's okay to inject human beings with mercury, MSG, formaldehyde and aluminum?

The argument of the vaccine pushers is that each vaccine only contains a tiny dose of these highly toxic substances, and therefore it's okay to be injected with them. But this argument makes a fatal error: U.S. children are now receiving over 20 vaccines by the time they're 6 years old. What's the cumulative effect of all these vaccines, plus the mercury from dental fillings and dietary sources? What's the effect of injected mercury on an immune-suppressed child living in a state of chronic nutritional deficiency?

Scientists don't know that answer because such studies have never been conducted, so they pretend that nothing bad will happen and keep pushing more and more vaccines on infants, children and even expectant mothers. They're playing Russian Roulette with our children, when each injection could cause a seizure, coma, autism or death.

Why doesn't the vaccine industry offer “clean” vaccines free from all toxic additives?

If vaccines are supposed to be good for you, why do they contain so many additives that are bad for you? You wouldn't want to eat mercury in your tuna fish; you wouldn't want MSG in your sandwich; and you certainly wouldn't want formaldehyde in your soda, so why would you allow yourself to be injected with these deadly substances?

More importantly, why wouldn't the vaccine industry offer clean vaccines? Without any brain-damaging additives? Absurdly, the vaccine industry says these toxic ingredients are intentionally added to vaccines to make them work better. Mercury makes vaccines work better, they insist, granting children “improved behavior and mental performance.”

Hold on a second though, I thought the theory behind vaccines was that weakened viruses would give the immune system a rehearsal so that it would build up antibodies to the real thing. Where does mercury, MSG or formaldehyde fit anywhere in that theory? Does your body benefit in any way from exposure to formaldehyde? Of course not. The very idea is ludicrous.


Are there such things as clean vaccines? I challenge you to try to find one. They simply don't exist for the population at large. Nearly all vaccines for the masses are deliberately formulated with neurotoxic chemicals that have absolutely nothing to do with the science of vaccinations, but have everything to do with autism, Alzheimer's disease, early-onset dementia, immune suppression and the mass dumbing down of brain function.

.....
Mike Adams' article first appeared on naturalnews.com and reprinted with permission. The article has been edited for length.

POWERFUL

UNDERGROUND

FILMS



ORDER NOW
INFOWARS.SHOP



MARCH AGAINST MONSANTO

written by **Kit Daniels**

Across the well-kept grass and checkered sidewalks of the Texas State Capitol, hundreds of individuals stood with each other. Storm clouds rained on their protest signs, leaving water drops and running ink. The protest signs varied in style but were united in theme, exposing genetically modified organisms and the company best known for this manipulation of natural order.

This was Austin's March against Monsanto.

Austin was not the only city hosting a March against Monsanto that day, but rather one of the 436 cities across the world holding marches against the bio-tech giant. Tami Canal, a stay-at-home mother in Utah, organized these international marches from her home computer, reaching like-minds through social media. Canal was disgusted by the corporate subsidies and political favoritism furnished to Monsanto as it dominates the world's food supply. She ignited support through her Facebook page, calling for a rally against Monsanto and ensuring the marches to come. When the day of the marches came in May, a total of two million people in 52 countries participated, trans-

forming virtual support into real activism.

Existing for over 100 years, Monsanto is a global, agricultural bio-tech company which has developed products affecting the lives of many. John Francis Queeny started the Monsanto Company in the fall of 1901 with \$5,000 and the maiden name of his wife, Olga Mendez Monsanto. Queeny established Monsanto to produce the artificial sweetener saccharin, available only in Germany at the time, for the U.S. Market, more particularly for the Coca-Cola company. Over 70 years later, studies linked saccharin to cancer in lab rats, which lead to the U.S. Food and Drug Administration requiring warning labels on products containing saccharin. The FDA reversed its position in 2001 after further studies showed that the human body processes saccharin differently than rodents and does not form cancer in the same way. Although saccharin may have had a negligible effect on humans, other Monsanto products have had a much larger impact.

During the Vietnam War, Monsanto and Dow Chemical produced Agent Orange, perhaps the most toxic chemical weapon ever known to humanity. The U.S. Military sprayed nearly 20 million gallons of Agent Orange over the for-



photos taken by Molly Rogers

The protest signs varied in style but united in theme, exposing genetically modified organisms and the company best known for this natural order manipulation. Austin was not the only city hosting a March on Monsanto that day, but rather one of the 436 cities across the world holding marches against the bio-tech giant.

ests and crops of South Vietnam in an effort to deprive the Vietcong of food and vegetative cover. According to studies, Agent Orange killed or disfigured over 400,000 people, and over a half-million babies were born with birth defects, including the children of returning American veterans and the children of Vietnamese never involved in the conflict.

Two decades later, in the 1980s, Monsanto began to dominate the U.S. food supply with the aid of a U.S. Supreme Court decision. Prior to 1980, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office refused patents on organisms, believing them to be too complex for the office to categorize. But in *Diamond v. Chakrabarty*, the U.S. Supreme Court declared living things to be patentable. After this case, Monsanto started development on GMOs, using patents for unprecedented control over the commercial use of its GMOs, particularly crop seeds bought by farmers.

In 1996, Monsanto introduced Roundup Ready soybean seeds, which are genetically modified to survive exposure to Roundup, Monsanto's weed-killing herbicide. Monsanto sells the seeds under a licensing agreement permitting farmers to plant the seeds in one, and only one, growing season. Farmers are not allowed to save any of the harvested seeds



"MONSANTO STARTED DEVELOPMENT ON GMOS, USING PATENTS FOR UNPRECEDENTED CONTROL OVER THE COMMERCIAL USE OF ITS GMOS, PARTICULARLY CROP SEEDS BOUGHT BY FARMERS"

for replanting. If they do, Monsanto will sue for patent infringement, which is exactly what happened to Vernon Bowman, an Indiana farmer.

Bowman purchased Monsanto's Roundup Ready soybean seeds from a grain elevator for his first crop of the season. For the second crop of the season, which is riskier due to weather, Bowman bought less expensive Monsanto seeds harvested by local farmers in prior seasons. Upon discovering this, Monsanto accused Bowman of violating the company's patents. The U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit agreed and ordered Bowman to pay nearly \$85,000 in damages.

Bowman appealed, saying that because the seeds replicate themselves, he was not violating any patents by planting the seeds. The U.S. Supreme Court disagreed, ruling that farmers must pay Monsanto the full premium price each time they plant any of the company's genetically modified seeds. With this decision, the Supreme Court protected Monsanto's licensing agreement which eliminates the farming tradition of saving and replanting seeds. For thousands of years, farmers have planted seeds in the spring and harvested crops in the fall, reclaiming the seeds over the winter for replanting the following spring.



But by forcing farmers to pay a premium for new seeds every year, Monsanto has created a new era of serfdom, which has been further bolstered by the company's ability to control seed supply.

Monsanto has gained a dominant market position in the seed industry, thereby controlling seed access to farmers. In the past 15 years, Monsanto bought the majority of over 200 previously independent seed companies. Monsanto's genetically modified soybean seeds now account for at least 90% of U.S. soybean production. Farmers have little choice but to use Monsanto patented, genetically modified seeds as alternatives disappear. Monsanto's licensing agreements, enforced through patent law, along with the company's domination of domestic seed supply, has allowed Monsanto to control farmers

and ultimately control the U.S. food supply. Monsanto, however, will not stop there, as the company continues similar tactics around the world to dominate the world's food supply.


It is a common problem for individuals to feel hopeless in resisting a global entity such as Monsanto. They feel "awake" yet unable to counter the control forced upon them, but individuals gain knowledge through resistance, which leads to a higher quality of life, such as learning what food bolsters mental and physical health or even learning how to grow food from heirloom seeds. By merely resisting, individuals can reclaim control over the prosperity of their own lives.

Even when storm clouds rain on individuals, liberty can flourish.

"BY THE MERE ACT OF PROTESTING, INDIVIDUALS ARE GAINING MORE CONTROL OVER THEIR OWN LIVES; THEY ARE GAINING LIBERTY. LIBERTY ALLOWS FREEDOM FOR INDIVIDUALS TO MAKE DECISIONS THAT CAN IMPROVE THE QUALITY OF THEIR OWN LIVES, AS LONG AS THESE DECISIONS DO NOT INFRINGE UPON THE FREEDOM OF OTHERS TO DO THE SAME"



EVEN WHEN THE STORMS SHOWER DOWN ON INDIVIDUALS, LIBERTY CAN FLOURISH.



FOR WHEN THINGS GET OUT OF HAND

Each packet provides 20, 65mg tablets
 Adult Dose = 2 tablets daily, 10 day protection
 Child Dose = 1 tablet (3+ yrs), 20+ day protection
 No prescription required - OTC
 FDA Expiration date: July 2015
 Detailed Dosing Instructions Included

infowarsstore.com

888.253.3139



**GET THE
NEWEST INFOWARS
2ND AMENDMENT
T-SHIRT
TODAY!**

Only
\$19.95
infowarsstore.com
888.253.3139



HISTORY BEFORE IT HAPPENS®

THE TRENDS RESEARCH INSTITUTE • FORECASTING WORLDWIDE SINCE 1980



Forecasting worldwide since 1980, **NO ONE** has been so right, on so many issues, so often! Gerald Celente's track record speaks for itself. There is nothing in the world like *the Trends Journal*. **Read History Before it happens!**



Where's The Economy Headed? Which Business Will Thrive? Which Will Dive? Will There Be A War? What Else Is In Store?

ORDER TODAY! @ TRENDSJOURNAL.COM or Call 845.331.3500

Bilderberg's 60-Year Trajectory Exposed

Incrementalism key to Bilderberg's long-term agenda.

written by:
Jurriaan Maessen

Some commenters in mainstream media are trying to downplay Bilderberg's historical significance by suggesting the annual confabulation is a mostly reactionary body contemplating current events, but never steering them. The few reports that have managed to slip past the censors in the course of the previous decades show both the European Union and the European single currency have been nurtured and guided by the far-reaching hands of Bilderberg. In the leaked transcript from the 1955 Bilderberg meeting, chaired by Prince Bernhard of the Netherlands, participants speak of the "pressing need to bring the German people, together with the other peoples of Europe, into a common market," and the desire is expressed to "arrive in the shortest possible time at the highest degree of integration, beginning with a common European market."

In March of 2009, the EUobserver online newspaper quotes Viscount Étienne Davignon, former Bilderberg chairman and current participant, as bragging that the creation of the Euro was helped by the Bilderberg Group. "A meeting in June in Europe of the Bilderberg Group — an informal club of leading politicians, businessmen and thinkers chaired by Mr. Davignon — could also 'improve understanding' on future action, in the same way it helped create the Euro in the 1990s, he said."

...TOTAL MONETARY INDEPENDENCE SHOULD BE TRANSFERRED FROM THE ELECTED POLITICIANS TO THE UNELECTED NETWORK OF CENTRAL BANKS THROUGHOUT THE EUROPEAN CONTINENT.

Back in 1970, the same Étienne Davignon published a report in which the ministers of Foreign Affairs of six European nations pledged to further the European agenda through mostly informal gatherings. Furthermore, the report — to which the ministers of Foreign Affairs of all major European nations pledged allegiance — admits that the further integration of nations must follow a gradual, incremental path in carefully pre-planned "successive stages."

The report, named the Davignon Report, attempts to project the illusion of democratic oversight by stating that "public opinion and its spokesmen must be associated with the construction of the political union ... These meetings will be informal, to ensure that the parliamentarians and Ministers can express their views freely."

This description of informal meetings as a way to unwind elected officials into expressing themselves without the usual reserve is the exact same given by the Bilderberg group from the moment of its creation in 1954, namely that the "private nature" of the meetings allows for the participants to disregard "the conventions of office."



PRINCE BERNHARD

"[It is a] pressing need to bring the German people, together with the other peoples of Europe ... [and to] arrive in the shortest possible time at the highest degree of integration, beginning with a common European market."



"Public opinion and its spokesmen must be associated with the construction of the political union ... These meetings will be informal, to ensure that the parliamentarians and Ministers can express their views freely."

VISCOUNT ÉTIENNE DAVIGNON



Informal and private means the members may deliberate outside the reach of journalists, allowing them to share thoughts without some irritating news person running around recording their exchanges. In an attempt to downplay Bilderberg's importance in setting policy, Davignon told the BBC in 2005: "It is unavoidable and it doesn't matter. There will always be people who believe in conspiracies but things happen in a much more incoherent fashion."

Well, not so according to the Davignon commission which he chaired back in the early 1970s, and the subsequent Davignon report which he co-wrote. In the report, Davignon and his co-conspirators outline that all these informal meetings are anything but "incoherent."

On September 7, 1992, two term President of the European Commission Jacques Delors gave a speech to the Royal Institute of International Affairs titled "The European Community and the New World Order." Invoking the famous New World Order-speech of George H.W. Bush in 1991, Delors took its meaning one step further, speaking of "world government," "transferring sovereignty" and a "worldwide single market."

The speech is especially interesting when we take into account that Delors took part in the Bilderberg meeting just four months earlier held in Évian-les-Bains, France — the same conference in which Henry Kissinger delivered his famous speech concerning people's willingness to relinquish their individual rights "for the guarantee of their well-being

granted to them by their world government."

"The interdependence of the world's nations seems somehow inevitable," the European Commission chairman continued, "though it must evolve in an orderly fashion. The reality is there, but we have not yet grasped it fully enough to devise the principles and rules of the new international game ... Today many economists speak of the transition to a new stage — a quantum leap to a worldwide single market." Jacques Delors has proven himself to be sort of a prophet — the borders are eroding worldwide while the central banks are consolidating power.

After he elaborates further on the fact that globalization is often counteracted by grass roots movements attempting to preserve national sovereignty, Delors muses:

"I would add — and I will not go into detail — that economic integration, unless it is backed by a strong political will, will not in itself produce stronger international institutions or help create world government. This is why, although the need for a New World Order is self-evident, our era is one of trial and error, or, as the harsher critics among us would have it, of impotence, unable to take on world challenges."

Speaking on the role of the European Commission in the creation of the New World Order, Delors mentions the plans of the Anglo-American establishment for a North American Union:

"Our trading partners are gradually being won over to the idea that regional integration has a

dynamic impact on all, and the European model is an inspiration for others — witness the recent agreements concluded by the United States, Canada and Mexico."

Indeed, the merger of the three nations into one North-American community ranks very high on Bilderberg's list of objectives.

Delors goes on to emphasize: "The contribution that the community as such can make to the New World Order can, to use an image of the plant world, be considered something of a hybrid, what is produced by crossing a world power with an international organisation."

One of Delors' main "accomplishments" was writing the so-called Delors Report, a document in which he outlined how a European economic and monetary union could be established as quickly and effectively as possible.

The main thread of his report is that total monetary independence should be transferred from the elected politicians to the unelected network of central banks throughout the European continent. In addition, this "independence" must over time be widened with the help of a single currency. As the cascading events unfolding in the "eurozone" over the last few years point out, the proposed "stages" are coming to pass with staggering accuracy.

Before, and since, all hell broke loose in the financial markets, European political heads of state have called for more power to be transferred to the European Central Bank to buy up bonds and inject additional financial life into the corpse that



◀ Prince Bernhard

JACQUES DELORS

“The contribution that the community as such can make to the New World Order can, to use an image of the plant world, be considered something of a hybrid, what is produced by crossing a world power with an international organisation.”



“In the economic and financial sphere, I will propose that global governance comprehends not only the constellation of supranational institutions — including the international financial institutions — but also the informal groupings that have progressively emerged at the global level.”

JEAN-CLAUDE TRICHET



is Europe. In a speech delivered in 2000, member of the executive board of the European Central Bank Sirkka Härmäläinen referred to a prediction made by Bilderberg regular Paul Volcker:

“He might be right, and we might one day have a single world currency. Maybe European integration, in the same way as any other regional integration, could be seen as a step towards the ideal situation of a fully integrated world. If and when this world will see the light of day is impossible to say. However, what I can say is that this vision seems as impossible now to most of us as a European monetary union seemed 50 years ago, when the process of European integration started.”

But, as it turns out, 50 years ago, that process was far from impossible to imagine. In fact, as the Bilderberg memos of 1955 reveal, plans for a fully integrated European central government were well beyond the stage of geopolitical daydreaming. On another occasion, Härmäläinen repeated her wish for a global economic integration:

“As a longer-term vision, one should see European integration as a step towards improving global co-operation and securing peaceful and balanced development in the whole world.”

Global government, in other words, must replace the sovereignty of the nation-state of old. “Peaceful,” for once potential rivals are eliminated, there is no longer conflict — a consolidation of power, in other words, by the central banks of the world.

Former ECB executive and Bilderberg participant Jurgen Stark pressed the point at the International

Conference of Central Bankers and Economic Educators in 2006 that only an independent central bank should be given the instruments of setting interest rates, maintaining price stability and overseeing the economy as a whole, because “the independence of a central bank, once granted, is respected by the government in question and not undermined by political interference.”

Both the European Central Bank and the Federal Reserve have claimed that political oversight and transparency will be somehow disastrous for their operations and, subsequently, the world economy.

Jean-Claude Trichet, the former president of the ECB and Bilderberg Steering Committee member, at the Council on Foreign Relations headquarters in New York on April 26th 2010, outlined the chosen path toward global governance as envisioned by the central banks:

“The significant transformation of global governance that we are engineering today is illustrated by three examples. First, the emergence of the G20 as the prime group for global economic governance at the level of ministers, governors and heads of state or government; second, the establishment of the Global Economy Meeting of central bank governors under the auspices of the BIS as the prime group for the governance of central bank cooperation; and third, the extension of Financial Stability Board membership to include all the systemic emerging market economies.”

Trichet’s definition of global governance? Just so you know who exactly the money-changers are

who are now screaming for one world government at the top of their lungs, here it is:

“In the economic and financial sphere, I will propose that global governance comprehends not only the constellation of supranational institutions — including the international financial institutions — but also the informal groupings that have progressively emerged at the global level. Those informal forums (G7, G10, G20, etc.) are key in improving global coordination in all the areas where decision making processes remain national — whether in helping to work out agreed prudential standards and codes or to facilitate where appropriate, the coordination of economic macro-policies.”

From these statements and writings, a clear picture emerges. The plans for further economic, political, and military integration, pre-scripted for decades, is designed to expand from regional to global in successive stages, following a meticulous consensus presided over by the world’s aristocratic elites, as well as big economic and political players. Prime Bilderberg kingpins have, as we have seen, described this incremental process in detail, lauding the unchecked meetings through which this process is fine-tuned. Contrary to what many mainstream media figureheads will make you believe, Bilderberg is one of these key informal gatherings.

Jurriaan Maessen’s article first appeared at ExplosiveReports.com and reprinted with permission at Infowars.com. The article has been edited for length.

tired of looking over your shoulders?

Browse anonymously

Private Internet Access VPN service encrypts your connection and provides you with an anonymous IP to protect your privacy.



privateinternetaccess™
for safe browsing, always use protection.™
<https://www.privateinternetaccess.com/>



Government Spying: Should We Be Shocked?

Last month, we saw dramatic new evidence of illegal government surveillance of our telephone calls, and of the National Security Agency's deep penetration into American companies such as Facebook and Microsoft to spy on us. The media seemed shocked.

MANY OF US ARE NOT SO SURPRISED.

Some of us were arguing back in 2001 that the introduction of the so-called PATRIOT Act would pave the way for massive U.S. government surveillance not targeting terrorists, but rather aimed against American citizens. We were told we must accept this temporary measure to provide government the tools to catch those responsible for 9/11. That was nearly 12 years and at least 4 wars ago.

We should know by now that when it comes to government power-grabs, we never go back to the status quo even when the "crisis" has passed. That part of our freedom and civil liberties once lost is never regained. How many times did the PATRIOT Act need renewal? How many times did FISA authority need expansion? Why did we have to pass a law to grant immunity to companies who hand over our personal information to the government?

It was all a build-up of the government's capacity to monitor us.

The reaction of some in Congress and the Administration to last month's leak was predictable. Knee-jerk defenders of the police state such as Senator Lindsey Graham declared that he was "glad" the government was collecting Verizon phone records — including his own — because the government needs to know what the enemy is up to. Those who take an oath to defend the Constitution from its enemies, both foreign and domestic, should worry about such statements.

House Intelligence Committee Chairman Mike Rogers tells us of the tremendous benefits of this Big Brother-like program. He promises us that domestic terrorism plots were thwarted, but he cannot tell us about them because they are classified. I am a bit skeptical, however. In April, the New York Times reported that most of these

domestic plots were actually elaborate sting operations developed and pushed by the FBI. According to the Times report, "of the 22 most frightening plans for attacks since 9/11 on American soil, 14 were developed in sting operations."

Even if Chairman Rogers is right though, and the program caught someone up to no good, we have to ask ourselves whether even such a result justifies trashing the Constitution. Here is what I said on the floor of the House when the PATRIOT Act was up for renewal back in 2011:

"If you want to be perfectly safe from child abuse and wife beating, the government could put a camera in every one of our houses and our bedrooms, and maybe there would be somebody made safer this way, but what would you be giving up? Perfect safety is not the purpose of government. What we want from government is to enforce the law to protect our liberties."

What most undermines the claims of the Administration and its defenders about this surveillance program is the process itself. First, the government listens in on all of our telephone calls without a warrant, and then if it finds something, it goes to a FISA court and gets illegal approval for what it has already done! This turns the rule of law and due process on its head.

The government does not need to know more about what we are doing. We need to know more about what the government is doing. We need to turn the cameras on the police and on the government, not the other way around. We should be thankful for writers like Glenn Greenwald, who broke last month's NSA story, for taking risks to let us know what the government is doing. There are calls for the persecution of Greenwald and the other whistle-blowers and reporters. They should be defended, as their work defends our freedom.

"WE SHOULD KNOW BY NOW THAT WHEN IT COMES TO GOVERNMENT POWER-GRABS, WE NEVER GO BACK TO THE STATUS QUO EVEN WHEN THE 'CRISIS' HAS PASSED."

Making a Living on Fear

The History of Income Taxes and the Internal Revenue Service

In tales of old, the tax collector was often depicted as a corrupt, loathsome individual who struck fear into the hearts of the populace, but he was controllable because he, in turn, feared his own master, the government or ruling class who employed his services. Today in the U.S., our tax collector fears no one, not even its own master — whoever that may be. The Internal Revenue Service (IRS) is one of the most powerful, feared, and despised entities in our country. How and when did this once small agency of the government become so powerful, arrogant, and corrupt?

The History of the Income Tax

Article 1, Section 8 of the United States Constitution gave Congress the power to lay and collect taxes for the “common defense” or “general welfare” of the United States and to pay the debts of the United States. It also granted Congress the authority to coin money, borrow money on the credit of the United States and regulate commerce, both with foreign nations and among the states.

In several places, the Constitution’s specific language limited Congress’s taxing power. Article 1, Section 9, Clause 4 states that “No Capitation, or other direct Tax, shall be laid, unless in Proportion to the Census or Enumeration herein before directed to be taken.”

Before 1895, direct taxes were understood to be limited to “poll taxes,” basically a head-count tax, and “taxes on lands and buildings, and general assessments, whether on the whole property of individuals or on their whole, real or personal estate.”

The apportionment rule meant that these taxes must be imposed among the states in direct proportion to each state’s population compared to the total population of the U.S. So, if 10 percent of the total population of the U.S. lived in California and Congress wanted to impose a direct tax, then California taxpayers would be responsible for 10 percent of the total. The only way California could collect their portion of the tax was to pass it on

proportionally to the citizens of the state, via a poll tax or sales tax of some type.

However, state lawmakers were often hesitant to use poll taxes because they kept people away from the polls and could be used to influence election outcomes. Since the states weren’t subject to any legal action for non-payment of federal taxes, Congress had a hard time raising the money necessary to run the government.

THE REVOLUTIONARY WAR

The American Revolution, lasting 1775 to 1783, was costly. The total accumulated wealth of the colonies in 1775 was only about \$12 million in gold, which wasn’t enough to cover the existing needs of the nation, never mind a full-blown war. By comparison, Britain spent approximately £100 million on the war and France was on the verge of bankruptcy.

Each state had, at best, a haphazard system for collecting its own taxes or tariffs, and what little money was collected was used to benefit the state. Congress repeatedly asked for a portion of the money from each state but the states didn’t have to comply. By 1780, Congress had resorted to asking states to supply specific needs — corn, beef, pork, and other necessities — just to keep the army alive.

Congress relied heavily on donations from patriotic citizens and volunteer support from militiamen. They delayed payments to soldiers and suppliers, paying them with depreciated currency,

which they replaced with land grants after the war. Still, it wasn't nearly enough.

In 1781, Robert Morris was named Superintendent of Finance of the United States. As the central civilian in the government, it was said that Morris was, next to General George Washington, "the most powerful man in America."

In 1782, Morris negotiated a French loan to set up the private Bank of North America to finance the war. In order to improve efficiency and repay the French, Morris established a bidding system for government contracts, tightened accounting procedures, and although Morris was only an employee — not an elected official, and certainly not someone with any Constitutionally-endowed authority — he demanded the federal government's full share of money and supplies from the states, clearing the French loans by 1790.

THE CIVIL WAR

In 1860, the United States Treasury was a still small operation, not the monster it is today. Money was raised through low import tariffs and land sales. Again, revenues were just a drop in the bucket compared to the cost of war. By the end of the Civil War, the United States needed \$3.1 billion to pay for the armies, weapons and fleets used to fight the war. Tariffs and sales taxes were increased, bonds were issued, but still it wasn't enough.

A year into the war, President Abraham Lincoln

and Congress created the office of Commissioner of Internal Revenue and enacted the first income tax in the United States of America. The controversial tax was temporary, but the position still exists today.

The Revenue Act had an initial flat tax rate of 3 percent on income over \$800. At that time, the average American could only dream of making \$800, so most wage-earners were already exempt from the tax. A year later, tax brackets were established with rates relative to income to increase revenue, but by the end of the Civil War, only 10 percent of Union households had paid some form of income tax and the Union had only raised 21 percent of its war revenue.

The Civil War Income Tax was repealed in 1872, but over the next few years the idea of income taxes continued to evolve.

THE TURN OF THE CENTURY

The Wilson-Gorman Tariff Act of 1894 required for a 5-year period, any "gains, profits and incomes" in excess of \$4,000 would be taxed at 2 percent, but in a lawsuit that went to the Supreme Court, this new tax was ruled unconstitutional. However, it wasn't the income tax itself that was declared unconstitutional, it was the fact that there was no provision for its apportionment as a direct tax.

On April 8, 1895, Chief Justice Melville Fuller, delivered the Court's opinion:

"The tax imposed...inclusive, of the Act of 1894,

so far as it falls on the income of real estate, and of personal property, being a direct tax, within the meaning of the constitution, and therefore unconstitutional and void ..."

However, Justice John Marshall Harlan's dissenting opinion sounded more like a challenge:

"When, therefore, this court adjudges ... that Congress cannot impose a duty or tax upon personal property, or upon income...it practically decides that, without an amendment of the Constitution ... such property and incomes can never be made to contribute to the support of the national government."

So that is exactly what Congress did, they changed the Constitution to support their tax plans, successfully adding the Sixteenth Amendment.

THE SIXTEENTH AMENDMENT

"The Congress shall have power to lay and collect taxes on incomes, from whatever source derived, without apportionment among the several States, and without regard to any census or enumeration."

With the Sixteenth Amendment, ratified in February of 1913, Congress could now tax just about everything — wages, income and property — and there wasn't a darn thing the American people could do about it. This amendment made it abundantly clear that Congress was going to start collecting income taxes, and that any money made in any way was going to be considered "income."



This captivating shirt features the notorious image of Obama in the famous Joker make-up. It has the phrase "Tyrant" along with "Infowars.com" at the top. The back includes the Second Amendment as a gun image with the phrase "Come And Take It" and Infowars.com.



Spread the message of liberty with this amazing t-shirt. Features an updated image of Paul Revere with a bullhorn. Says Spirit of 1776 along with Infowars.com. Our liberties are under attack, get your shirt today and help sound the alarm.



Stand up for your 2nd Amendment rights with this powerful t-shirt. Design features an image of a gun created by using the words of the 2nd Amendment plus the famous phrase "Come And Take It." The back features the Infowars.com logo.

GET THE GEAR

INFOWARSSTORE.COM • 1.888.253.3139

THE SOCIAL SECURITY ACT

Originally, the income tax laws did not tax wages and salaries earned in exchange for labor or products derived from one's labor. The purpose of the income tax laws was to tax unlabored incomes, such as interest earned on loans, rents collected from properties, and profits and gains from corporate trusts. Wages, earned at the expense of blood, sweat and tears, whether in the form of money or goods, were tangible property, therefore nontaxable.

Over the next generation, as tax rates and allowable deductions changed, more and more people became subject to the income tax. As the ranks grew, they began demanding all sorts of deductions, which led Congress to continually muddy the definitions of "wages" and "income" in order to maintain a steady flow of tax dollars.

August 14, 1935, President Franklin Delano Roosevelt signed into effect the Social Security Act, designed as a cushion to help those in need. As a result, we have unemployment insurance and a host of public services. However, as it was originally written, the Act was deemed unconstitutional, and had to be rewritten with specific wording to allow the lawmakers to skirt existing law. Then all they'd have to do was put the money into the general treasury and disburse the funds through the legislative budgeting process. The Supreme Court Justices agreed that as long as the money was laundered through the general treasury they'd approve the legislation.

To further seal the deal, in 1939 legislators enacted the first Internal Revenue Code. They took all of the previous tax acts and combined them into one large obfuscated volume of text. Most people would now use the tax code for reference instead of going back to the original statutes, without any way of knowing if the original statutes had been transcribed correctly.

THE PUBLIC SALARY TAX ACT

By 1939, the number of federal, state and local government employees had grown to 3,800,000 and their combined salaries and compensations equaled more than \$5.5 billion annually, approximately 9 percent of the national income.

On the downside, 90 percent of these federal, state and local employees made less than \$2,500 per year, which, if they were married, meant they were not subject to federal income tax, leaving approximately \$5 billion worth of tax-free income floating around out there. Congress was not happy.

To alleviate the situation, Congress passed the Public Salary Tax Act of 1939, subjecting all federal and state employees to the federal income tax.

CONGRESSMAN REED OF NEW YORK SAID:

"The purpose [of this legislation] is to bring congressional pressure upon the Supreme Court to destroy the fundamental principle that one sovereign power shall not destroy the functions of another sovereign power through the power of taxation, which is the power to destroy ... We know the evil at which the Sixteenth Amendment was directed. The Court had held that you could not impose a tax upon the income from real estate or from personal property without apportionment ... making it possible for the Federal Government to tax the incomes from these two sources without apportionment. That is all in the world the Sixteenth Amendment did."

With the ratification of the Sixteenth Amendment and the enactment of the Public Salary Tax, Congress had now completely removed any limitations on income taxes. Now it was just a matter of tweaking laws to lower exemption levels and increase tax rates.

THE NATIONAL DEFENSE TAX BILL

The National Defense Tax Bill lowered exemptions from \$1,000 for singles and \$2,500 for marrieds to \$800 and \$2,000 respectively. This allowed legislators to pull in an additional 7 million taxpayers and \$322 million. A year later, exemption rates were lowered to \$750 for single and \$1,500 for married, and a year after that, in 1942, they were lowered even more. The new reduced amounts were \$500 and \$1,200 respectively and the exemption for dependents was lowered from \$400 to \$350.

USHERING IN THE WITHHOLDING TAX

In 1942, legislators enacted the Victory Tax which imposed a 5 percent tax levied on gross income of \$624 or higher. Now "gross income" was defined as total wages received for the year for wage earners, including all profits and gains from every other entity.

The Victory Tax increased the number of eligible taxpayers by approximately 18.5 million people, and so clouded the issue of wage taxation, that legislators were also able to include provisions for mandatory withholding without the public even batting an eye. After all, faced with such large tax bills now, psychologically, most people would prefer to pay their debt in installments rather than one large, lump sum.

Congress was now pulling out tax money in advance. Under the new system, it would be up to the taxpayer to wade through the confusing tax

code and file the appropriate deductions if they wanted their money back. Until 1943, the U.S. Supreme Court resolved tax dispute cases with "legislative grace," meaning that there were firm guidelines used to judge each case and the taxpayer fell on either one side of the law or the other.

In 1943, with no explanation and no warning, the Court tacked on a disclaimer in the *Interstate Transit Lines v. Commissioner* case — "the now familiar rule that an income tax deduction is a matter of legislative grace and that the burden of clearly showing the right to the claimed deduction is on the taxpayer."

Some experts believe the change was made because the United States was involved in World War II and needed money to fund the war machine. Whatever the reason though, suddenly, in the court's eyes the taxpayer was considered guilty until he could prove himself innocent that his deductions were allowable under the law.

By the time World War II ended, the American people had been confronted with so many convoluted tax laws that there was no longer any argument from the masses. Better to just keep your mouth shut and pay your taxes than have to answer to the Tax Man.

The Tax Code, as it stands today, is a quagmire of legislation as a result of countless attempts by nearly every special interest group imaginable to manipulate the code to its advantage or use it to the detriment of others. It contains more than 3.4 million words and it grows a little more each year. It currently fills more than 7,500 letter-size pages. The 1040 form of 1913 looks much the same as today's 1040 form. In fact, they're almost identical. It's only the tax code that has become so convoluted.

THE ROLE OF THE IRS

Over the years the IRS has accumulated quite a reputation of being overly aggressive and abusive toward taxpayers. Once the income tax expanded to include nearly every wage-earner and corporation in the country, tyrants in office recognized it's true potential as a weapon with virtually unlimited power to control the citizens and destroy opponents.

On July 22, 1998, Congress passed the Taxpayer Bill of Rights which somewhat counteracted their 1943 ruling that the full burden of proof was on the taxpayer. The new bill shifted the burden back to the IRS, but only in certain limited circumstances. The IRS, however, still has the authority to enforce liens and seize assets without a court order.

Franklin Roosevelt used the IRS to silence his opponents by sending agents out to trump up charges against anyone who stood against him. Roosevelt's own son, Elliot, said of his father, "He may have been the originator of the concept of employing the IRS as a weapon of political retribution."

In the 1950s, Mississippi newspaper publisher

Over the years the IRS has accumulated quite a reputation of being overly aggressive and abusive toward taxpayers. Once the income tax expanded to include nearly every wage-earner and corporation in the country, tyrants in office recognized it's true potential as a weapon with virtually unlimited power to control the citizens and destroy opponents.

Hodding Carter was audited repeatedly because he decided to support the Supreme Court's desegregation rulings. Dr. Martin Luther King was also repeatedly audited during the '50s and '60s. In the mid-1960s, Missouri Senator Edward Long held hearings on the corruption within the IRS, and the IRS retaliated by leaking information to an editor at Life magazine about Long's ties to Jimmy Hoffa.

When making his choice for the next leader of the IRS, President Richard Nixon said, "I want to be sure he is a ruthless son of a bitch, that he will do what he's told, that every income-tax return I want to see I see, that he will go after our enemies and not our friends. Now, it's as simple as that. If he isn't, he doesn't get the job."

In 1996, Senator William Roth began a comprehensive Senate Finance Committee investigation into the fear tactics used by the IRS. In his book, *The Power To Destroy*, published in 1999, Roth relates tales of abuse so shocking they make your hair curl, including stories of average, law-abiding citizens who were driven to suicide as a result of the IRS's harassment.

Over the last two years there have been more than 17,000 complaints filed with the inspector general's office that involve IRS agents engaging in "bribery, theft, impersonation, taxpayer abuses, and false statements."

Currently, the IRS employs 98,000 people, three times the personnel of the FBI. These 98,000 employees have detailed personal files on every taxpayer in America (which will only grow when Obamacare comes into effect in 2014), and more power than any other agency in the United States — and they answer to no one.

The recent scandal involving the IRS targeting Tea Party and Conservative group applications for tax-exempt status illustrates this perfectly. Steven Miller, the acting Commissioner, claims he had no knowledge of his agents' abuse of power, and President Obama claims he has no knowledge of anything that's going on at the IRS, either. So who does the IRS answer to? Apparently, no one.

When Obamacare goes into effect in January 2014, taxpayers will have to provide more private information than ever before, including the name and health insurance identification number of the taxpayer and everyone in the family, the number of months you've been covered under this plan, where the plan was purchased and how much you paid for it. You'll also be required to reveal personal health information. Miss filling in one of the blanks and you'll be forced to pay a non-compliance tax penalty.

Our most basic Constitutional right to our private property has gradually been eroded to the point of non-existence. Our privacy rights have been trampled into dust, and it's because we've been manipulated into giving the IRS, Congress and our tyrannical leaders extraordinary and unlimited powers — powers they're now using to target American citizens.

JIM TUCKER AND ALEX JONES

EXPOSE THE HISTORY OF THE GLOBAL ELITE'S BLOODY RISE TO POWER AND REVEAL THEIR BLUEPRINT FOR GLOBAL ENSLAVEMENT

ENDGAME

INFOWARSSTORE.COM
1.888.253.3139

American Big Brother Spying Methods Disgusts the World

Yes, even Russia...

Thanks to Edward Snowden, the rest of the globe now understands the extent to which the U.S. Government has been spying on them. Outrage has been pouring in from all over the planet. Instead of stepping back and reevaluating our Big Brother spying methods now that they have been revealed, Barack Obama and other leading members of Congress are defiantly declaring that there is nothing wrong with these methods and that no changes will be made. The U.S. Government is going to continue to invade the privacy as much as it possibly can, regardless of consequences, whether domestic or international. Make no mistake — the goal of the U.S. intelligence community is to know everything about everyone.

CIA Chief Technology Officer Gus Hunt made the shocking admission back in March, “We fundamentally try to collect everything and hang onto it forever ... It is really very nearly within our grasp to be able to compute on all human-generated information.” They want it all, and they nearly have the capacity to gather it already.

In Germany (a country that knows a thing or two about Big Brother tactics), prominent politicians are publicly denouncing the surveillance that the U.S. Government has been doing on their citizens. In fact, Marcus Ferber, a German politician who serves on the European Parliament, went as far as to accuse the U.S. of employing “American-style Stasi methods.”

In a June 11 guest editorial for Spiegel Online, Justice Minister Sabine Leutheusser-Schnarrenberger wrote reports that the United

States could access and track virtually all forms of Internet communications were “deeply disconcerting” and potentially dangerous. “The more a society monitors, controls and observes its citizens, the less free it is,” she said. “The suspicion of excessive surveillance of communication is so alarming that it cannot be ignored. For that reason, openness and clarification by the U.S. administration itself is paramount at this point. All facts must be put on the table.”

In Italy, the government official in charge of data protection, Antonello Soro, said the surveillance that the NSA is doing “would not be legal in Italy” and would be “contrary to the principles of our legislation and would represent a very serious violation.”

In Russia (another country with a long history of using Big Brother tactics), President Vladimir Putin has expressed significant concern about the NSA spying program and there are even rumors that Russia will be offering political asylum to Edward Snowden.

Alexey Pushkov, head of the Duma International Affairs Committee and a vocal U.S. critic, said on Twitter, “By promising asylum to Snowden, Moscow has taken upon itself the protection of those persecuted for political reasons. There will be hysterics in the U.S. They only recognise this right for themselves.” He continued, “Listening to telephones and tracking the internet, the U.S. special services broke the laws of their country. In this case, Snowden, like Assange, is a human rights activist.”

Even more important than what foreign politicians think about the NSA spying scandal is what

the people think. This scandal is causing millions of average people all over the planet to look at the United States with disgust and disdain. How can we hold ourselves out as the “defenders of freedom” to the rest of the globe when we are openly telling them that we are going to spy on them as much as we possibly can?

With the current and past Directors of National Intelligence at the Omni Shoreham to honor former CIA and National Security Agency chief Michael Hayden, Director of National Intelligence James Clapper told the black-tie crowd of more than 700 he would “address the elephant in the room” and proceeded, to applause, to denounce “the unauthorized leaks as reprehensible and egregious.” Clapper characterized the program as completely legal, reauthorized by Congress under strict oversight and by court order “to make our nation safe and secure.”

He then cracked a few jokes. “Some of you expressed surprise that I showed up — so many emails to read!” Clapper said. Greeting fellow banqueter John Pistole, the administrator of the Transportation Security Administration who recently reversed a planned policy to permit air travelers to carry certain knives on planes, Clapper said, “John, can I borrow your pocket knife?”

This is how arrogant the U.S. intelligence community has become. They feel like they can do whatever they want and get away with it. Back in March, Clapper lied to the U.S. Congress about the surveillance the NSA is doing. When he was asked by Oregon Senator Ron Wyden if the NSA was collecting any information on the American people, Clapper completely denied it.

**WAR IS PEACE
IGNORANCE
IS STRENGTH
FREEDOM
IS SLAVERY**

The following is from a transcript of that exchange.

“Does the NSA collect any type of data at all on millions or hundreds of millions of Americans?” Senator Wyden asked Clapper at the March 12 hearing.

“No, sir,” Clapper responded.

“It does not?” Wyden pressed.

Clapper recanted and said: “Not wittingly. There are cases where they could, inadvertently perhaps, collect — but not wittingly.”

Apparently Clapper must have “forgotten” that the government is forcing all of the big telephone companies to turn over all of their call records to the NSA every single month.

The government is not just collecting metadata about our phone calls. The content of our calls is being recorded and stored as well.

As the Blaze reported in 2008, Hollywood actor Shia LaBeouf detailed how he learned phone calls were allegedly being recorded during an appearance on the Tonight Show with Jay Leno. Promoting the film “Eagle Eye,” which according to IMDb shows how “technology of everyday life [is used] to track and control,” LaBeouf told Leno that an FBI consultant for the movie said 1 in 5 phone calls made are recorded and logged.

“I laughed at him,” LaBeouf said, “and then he played back a phone conversation I’d had two years prior to joining the picture.” Both Leno and LaBeouf concluded it was “extremely creepy.”

The American people, along with the people of

the entire planet, deserve the truth about this.

Unfortunately, Barack Obama is certainly not going to tell us the truth, and there will probably only be a half-hearted effort by some members of Congress to get to the bottom of things. It is going to be important to take this to court, and thankfully a couple of lawsuits are already in the works.

According to U.S. News & World Report, one of these lawsuits is being filed by former Justice Department prosecutor Larry Klayman. Klayman amended an existing lawsuit against Verizon and a slew of Obama administration officials to make it the first class-action lawsuit in response to the publication of a secret court order instructing Verizon to hand over phone records of millions of American customers on an “ongoing, daily basis.”

Klayman told U.S. News he will file a second class-action lawsuit in the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia targeting government officials and each of the 9 companies listed in the leaked National Security Agency slideshow as participants in the government’s PRISM program.

According to USA Today, National Security Agency surveillance programs came under more scrutiny as the American Civil Liberties Union filed a lawsuit and as both a prominent senator and Internet giant Google called on the Obama administration to disclose more information.

In its lawsuit, the ACLU said an NSA program that harvests phone calls violates the rights of all Americans. “The program goes far beyond even the permissive limits set by the Patriot Act and represents a gross infringement of the freedom of association and the right to privacy,” said Jameel Jaffer, the ACLU’s deputy legal director.

Hopefully, these lawsuits will reveal even more details about the spying that has been taking place.

There is also a “bipartisan coalition” of 86 Internet companies and civil liberties organizations that have sent a letter to Congress demanding action on these issues. Some of the organizations involved include the Electronic Frontier Foundation, Reddit, Mozilla, FreedomWorks, and the Center for Digital Democracy.

Will Congress listen to them? Probably not, but at least they are trying to do something about this.

The key will be to get the American people outraged enough about all of this that they won’t forget about it in a week or two — not an easy thing to do. In the end, our politicians don’t really care too much about what the general public thinks anyway. They are just going to continue to do what they have been doing and the rest of the world will continue to become even more disgusted with us.

We are recklessly destroying our global reputation. Someday America will need friends, and when that day arrives, we may find that we don’t have too many left.

27 Edward Snowden Quotes that Should Send a Chill Down Your Spine

Thanks to Edward Snowden, we now know that the U.S. government has been spying on us to a degree that most people would have never even dared to imagine. Up until now, the general public has known very little about the U.S. government spy grid that knows almost everything about us. Hopefully the great sacrifice that Edward Snowden has made will not be in vain. The following 27 quotes from his recent interview with Glenn Greewald blowing the whistle on NSA spying and PRISM should send a chill up your spine.

“The majority of people in developed countries spend at least some time interacting with the Internet, and Governments are abusing that necessity in secret to extend their powers beyond what is necessary and appropriate.”

“I believe that at this point in history, the greatest danger to our freedom and way of life comes from the reasonable fear of omniscient State powers kept in check by nothing more than policy documents.”

“The government has granted itself power it is not entitled to. There is no public oversight. The result is people like myself have the latitude to go further than they are allowed to.”

“I can’t in good conscience allow the US government to destroy privacy, internet freedom and basic liberties for people around the world with this massive surveillance machine they’re secretly building.”

“The NSA has built an infrastructure that allows it to intercept almost everything.”

“I had been looking for leaders, but I realized that leadership is about being the first to act.”

“With this capability, the vast majority of human communications are automatically ingested without targeting. If I wanted to see your e-mails or your wife’s phone, all I have to do is use intercepts. I can get your e-mails, passwords, phone records, credit cards.”



ONLY PROONE WATER & FLUORIDE FILTER ELEMENTS HELP REDUCE OR REMOVE PESTICIDES, HERBICIDES, CHLORAMINES (AMMONIA WITH CHLORINE), HYDROFLUOROSILICIC ACID (THE LATEST FORM OF FLUORIDE NOT COVERED BY OTHER FLUORIDE FILTER BRANDS) AND SODIUM HEXA FLUOROSILICATE ALL IN ONE FILTER ELEMENT.

ProOne

INFOWARSSTORE.COM 888.253.3139

EndlessFoodSystems.com
Backyard Eco-System

1

FISH PRODUCE WASTE

WORMS AND MICROBES

2

MICROBES & WORMS CONVERT WASTE TO FERTILIZER FOR PLANTS

3

PLANTS FILTER WATER THAT RETURNS TO THE FISH

PLANTS

THE AQUAPONICS CYCLE

GROW ALL YOUR FOOD IN THE BACKYARD

- FISH PROVIDE WASTE/NUTRIENTS FOR THE PLANTS AND THE PLANTS PURIFY THE WATER FOR THE FISH
- VERY SIMPLE PLUG-&-PLAY KIT SHIPS TO YOUR DOOR
- KITS INCLUDE EVERYTHING YOU NEED (EXCEPT FISH)
- GROW FISH & VEGETABLES PERPETUALLY
- VARIETY OF SIZES AND COLORS
- ORGANIC. NO CHEMICALS. NON-GMO.

www.EndlessFoodSystems.com

“Any analyst at any time can target anyone. Any selector, anywhere ... I, sitting at my desk, certainly had the authorities to wiretap anyone, from you or your accountant, to a federal judge, to even the President.”

“To do that, the NSA specifically targets the communications of everyone. It ingests them by default. It collects them in its system, and it filters them, and it analyzes them, and it measures them, and it stores them for periods of time simply because that's the easiest, most efficient and most valuable way to achieve these ends. So while they may be intending to target someone associated with a foreign government, or someone that they suspect of terrorism, they are collecting your communications to do so.”

“They are intent on making every conversation and every form of behavior in the world known to them.”

“Allowing the U.S. government to intimidate its people with threats of retaliation for revealing wrongdoing is contrary to the public interest.”

“Even if you're not doing anything wrong, you're being watched and recorded ... it's getting to the point where you don't have to have done anything wrong. You simply have to eventually fall under suspicion from somebody, even by a wrong call, and then they can use this system to go back in time and scrutinize every decision you've ever made, every friend you've ever discussed something with, and attack you on that basis, to sort of derive suspicion from an innocent life.”

“I believe that when [senator Ron] Wyden and [senator Mark] Udall asked about the scale of this, [the NSA] said it did not have the tools to provide an answer. We do have the tools and I have maps showing where people have been scrutinized most. We collect more digital communications from America than we do from the Russians.”

“Everyone everywhere now understands how bad things have gotten, and they're talking about it. They have the power to decide for themselves whether they are willing to sacrifice their privacy to the surveillance state.”

“I do not want to live in a world where everything I do and say is recorded. That is not something I am willing to support or live under.”

“There are more important things than money. If I were motivated by money, I could have sold these documents to any number of countries and gotten very rich.”

“There's n

“I don't want to live in a world where there's no privacy, and therefore no room for intellectual exploration and creativity.”

“I will be satisfied if the federation of secret law, unequal pardon and irresistible executive powers that rule the world that I love are revealed even for an instant.”

“You can't come up against the world's most powerful intelligence agencies and not accept the risk.”

“I know the media likes to personalize political debates, and I know the government will demonize me.”

“We have got a CIA station just up the road – the consulate here in Hong Kong – and I am sure they are going to be busy for the next week, and that is a concern I will live with for the rest of my life, however long that happens to be.”

“I understand that I will be made to suffer for my actions, and that the return of this information to the public marks my end.”

“I do not expect to see home again.”

“The great fear that I have regarding the outcome for America of these disclosures is that nothing will change, [that people] won't be willing to take the risks necessary to stand up and fight to change things ... and in the months ahead, the years ahead, it's only going to get worse. [The NSA will] say that ... because of the crisis, the dangers that we face in the world, some new and unpredicted threat, we need more authority, we need more power, and there will be nothing the people can do at that point to oppose it, and it will be turnkey tyranny.”

o saving me.”

“The only thing I fear is the harmful effects on my family, who I won't be able to help any more. That's what keeps me up at night.”

“I have no intention of hiding who I am because I know I have done nothing wrong.”

**Cell
Blaster®**
UV Cell Phone Sanitizer


www.ElSolTexas.com

«**INFOWARS.COM**»

CHECK OUT OUR EVENTS
BRAVENEWBOOKSTORE.COM
512.480.2503
1904 GUADALUPE ST [DOWNSTAIRS]

**AUSTIN'S
PREMIERE
SUPPLIER
OF TANGY
TANGERINE,
ONE WORLD
WHEY, AND
CLEARLY
FILTERED >>>**

**BRAVE
NEW
BOOKS**



HIGH QUALITY PARTS & ACCESSORIES

**AR-15 PARTS
M-14 PARTS
AK-47 PARTS
SHOTGUN PARTS
MUZZLE BRAKES
STOCK KITS**



WWW.NOKICK.COM
850-217-3021

give one to your friend, put them on your private property i.e. mailbox, barn, house, laptop, bookbag, briefcase, notebook, bike, cars, guitar case, etc.

post these stickers in legal and lawful areas.

ATTENTION: PATRIOTIC AMERICANS AND FREEDOM LOVERS EVERYWHERE

JUST AS THE FRENCH
RESISTANCE TAGGED V
FOR VICTORY ALL OVER
FRANCE TO SHOW THAT
THE NAZIS WEREN'T IN
CONTROL, WE ARE GOING
TO POST INFOWARS ON
OUR PROPERTY.

YOUR
MISSION
SHOULD
YOU
CHOOSE TO
ACCEPT IT.

WWW.INFOWARS.COM
SAVE THE U.S. CONSTITUTION

SLAVERY
STILL
EXISTS

DIE
HONEST



WWW.INFOWARSSTORE.COM • 1.888.253.3139

EMAIL US PICTURES OF INFOWARS BUMPERSTICKERS FROM MAY'S ISSUE TO LETTERS@INFOWARS.COM



written by: **Alex Jones**

2013: THE YEAR BILDERBERG COLLAPSED

“THE GROUP IS ABSOLUTELY SHAKEN UP BY THIS AND OUR TIRELESS CAMPAIGN TO BRING THE TRUTH TO LIGHT. THE UNPRECEDENTED MEDIA COVERAGE IS FORCING PEOPLE TO REALIZE THAT SOME OF THE TOPICS THEY ONCE DEEMED TO BE ‘CONSPIRACIES’ ARE IN FACT REALITY.”

The intrepid Westbrook Pegler, a highly respected journalist and writer, exposed the Bilderberg Group for the first time in 1957. He witnessed world leaders and recognizable businessmen meeting together in private, under tight security, in the state of Georgia and decided to report on it, despite being told not to do so.

The name of the group was still unknown until Jim Tucker came out with details he discovered about the yearly meetings, from the name Bilderberg to the CIA-Nazi connection to the planned economic takeover of Europe via the European Union. 2013 marks the first year since Tucker's death, as well as the year that thousands of protesters showed up over the four days of the conference. It also marks the first year that over a hundred media outlets from around the world reported on the Bilderberg Meeting, when in the past, some of the same media outlets denied Bilderberg's existence.

With the extra attention pressing the tight-lipped confab to reveal themselves and their agenda, some attendees, such as British Member of Parliament Ken Clarke, had to speak on their participation publicly. Clarke was required to admit to Parliament that he had indeed broken his ministerial oath by attending the meeting. I was invited onto the BBC Sunday Politics program, the British equivalent of Meet the Press, where I was able to confront Ed Balls, Deputy

Secretary of the Treasury for the United Kingdom, between interviews. As I exploded with passion for freedom from the globalists, the BBC host tried to discredit my sincerity by claiming “it was all an act.” Viewers of the show must have disagreed, because the video went viral, just like the verbal thrashing of fellow Englishman and left-wing pundit Piers Morgan.

One of the most amazing parts of the trip for the Infowars crew and me was being visited days before the Bilderberg conference by the Deputy Police Chief of the county, as well as an MI5 operative, who both shared information that the Bilderberg Group was under tremendous pressure, and that one or two members of the group were going to go public about the meeting on the BBC, which, as we reported, was completely accurate.

Throughout the conference, the police were being ordered by security to arrest the crew and refused to do so, even though we rented a barge and broke through the security perimeters using the waterways. Not only did the police not arrest us, they knew that the people inside were the real criminals, and they appreciated what the protesters and the Infowars team were doing. The head police officer even went so far as to ask me for a hug after listening to my speech to the group of nearly 2,000 people. Another 1,000 had been turned away by security.

To go from Jim Tucker first covering Bilderberg 36 years ago to the New York Times mocking me 5 years ago, saying I was imagining the meeting at the Chantilly, Virginia, Marriot Westfields



THE POLICE WERE BEING ORDERED BY SECURITY TO ARREST THE CREW AND REFUSED TO DO SO ... NOT ONLY DID THE POLICE NOT ARREST US, THEY KNEW THAT THE PEOPLE INSIDE WERE THE REAL CRIMINALS, AND THEY APPRECIATED WHAT THE PROTESTERS AND THE INFOWARS TEAM WERE DOING.



Washington Dulles Hotel, to the crowd and coverage at this years event is an astounding victory. The power of the Bilderberg Group is lessened by this media attention, and anyone who attends as a government official can no longer get away with saying it doesn't exist. The British Parliament is even leading an investigation into Bilderberg and its activities.

The group is absolutely shaken up by this and our tireless campaign to bring the truth to light. The unprecedented media coverage is forcing people to realize that some of the topics they once deemed to be "conspiracies" are in fact reality. Once people get through that door and accept that some of these things are dangerously real, the whole picture starts to come into view, and that is when significant changes can be made, both here at home and across the world.



FOR VIDEOS, INTERVIEWS AND MORE FROM BILDERBERG GO TO: **INFOWARS.COM/B**



www.MyFamilyEDCGear.com



Our mission at **My Family Every Day Carry Gear** is to help you "Live Today, Prepare for Tomorrow" and sustain life's unexpected events such as emergencies and natural disasters. Most of us do not think to prepare for these situations, unless we have been face to face with them, or when it's too late. We want to provide you with the necessary resources and equipment to ensure that you and your family can be ready when it matters!

4TH OF JULY CELEBRATION

Made in the USA
Suntactics.com makes the only genuine solar-powered USB charger, no internal batteries required (unlike most chargers). The sCharger-5 can charge anything with a USB male connection, & the sCharger-12 can charge 2 items at a time.

Visit Suntactics.com today



SOLAR POWER YOUR DEVICES



SUNTACTICS.COM

Bilderberg

Castries, Henri de, FRA
Chairman and CEO, AXA Group

Achleitner, Paul M., DEU
Chairman of the Supervisory
Board, Deutsche Bank AG

Ackermann, Josef, DEU
Chairman of the Board,
Zurich Insurance Group Ltd

Agius, Marcus, GBR
Former Chairman, Barclays plc



Barroso, José M. Durão, INT
President, European Commission

Bell, John, GBR
Regius Professor of Medicine,
University of Oxford

Bezos, Jeff, USA
Founder and CEO, Amazon.com

Carrington, Peter, GBR
Former Honorary Chairman,
Bilderberg Meetings



Clark, W. Edmund, CAN
President and CEO, TD Bank Group

Dudley, Robert, GBR
Group Chief Executive, BP plc



Evans, J. Michael, USA
Vice Chairman, Goldman
Sachs & Co.

Feldstein, Martin S., USA
Professor of Economics, Harvard
University; President Emeritus, NBER

Fillon, François, FRA
Former Prime Minister

Geithner, Timothy F., USA
Former Secretary of the Treasury



Class of 2013



Kissinger, Henry A., USA
Chairman, Kissinger Associates, Inc.



Löfven, Stefan, SWE
Party Leader, Social Democratic Party (SAP)



Micklethwait, John, GBR
Editor-in-Chief, The Economist



Monti, Mario, ITA
Former Prime Minister



Mundie, Craig J., USA
Senior Advisor to the CEO, Microsoft Corporation




Petraeus, David H., USA
General, U.S. Army (Retired)



Rutte, Mark, NLD
Prime Minister



Schmidt, Eric E., USA
Executive Chairman, Google Inc.



Sutherland, Peter D., IRL
Chairman, Goldman Sachs International



Thiam, Tidjane, INT
Group CEO, Prudential plc



Voser, Peter R., GBR
CEO, Royal Dutch Shell plc



Wall, Brad, CAN
Premier of Saskatchewan




Williams of Crosby, Shirley, GBR
Member, House of Lords



Wright, David, GBR
Vice Chairman, Barclays plc

SALES OF GEORGE ORWELL'S 1984 SKYROCKET



In the wake of a slew of scandals that eerily resembles the seminal sci-fi prediction Orwell put to paper in 1948, sales of his book 1984 have flown through the roof. CNN Money reported that as of June 12 one edition's sales on Amazon has jumped nearly 10,000 percent in a matter of days. It is now ranked 123 in overall sales, up from 11,855. USA Today lists the sales of various editions of the book rising 287 to 5,800 percent. In an article titled "So Are We Living in 1984?" published by The New Yorker, Ian Crouch

asks the questions we are all now wondering: "Is Obama Big Brother, at once omnipresent and opaque? And are we doomed to either submit to the safety of unthinking orthodoxy or endure re-education and face what horrors lie within the dreaded Room 101?" As citizens of the Land of the Free, we are not subjugated to the destiny of dystopian rule, but as Eisenhower warns, "History does not long entrust freedom to the weak or the timid." Wake up; read books; educate yourself. There is a war on for your mind.

purchase the film at infowarsshop.com

STRATEGIC RELOCATION

Alex Jones Interviews Joel Skousen on Safe Places and How to Secure Your Home.

SPECIAL BONUS!
PREPARING
YOUR HOME
FOR
SURVIVAL

GLOBALIST SNEAK ATTACK PLAN an Alex Jones Film

The most powerful mineral, vitamin, & herbal supplement on the market.

SATISFACTION 100% GUARANTEED

intraMAX

All Natural Whey Protein

Give Me Liberty & Give Me Health

UTOPIA SILVER

The Leading Source of Natural Healing Using Colloidal Silver, Colloidal Gold, & Other Minerals, Vitamins, & Herbs

Utopia Silver Products:
Colloidal Silver
Colloidal Gold
Plant Minerals
Zeolite
Iodine

Leading Source of Natural Healing

www.utopiasilver.com 1.888.213.4338

"Silver, mankind's oldest natural anti-biotic."

50% Off First time customer discount



BE SMART.
BE PREPARED.
BE READY.



 **FOOD
SECURITY**

FOR WHATEVER LIFE
THROWS YOUR WAY



**ALEX JONES SPECIAL:
GET 6 MEALS FREE!**





**"I use eFoodsDirect
for my family's food
supply"**

-Alex Jones

FREEFOOD.EFOODSDIRECT.COM  **800-337-8455**

2 SILVER DOLLARS AT COST FOR \$72

A LOSS LEADER TO INTRODUCE YOU TO GOLD AND SILVER

WITH THIS OFFER YOU WILL RECEIVE A **FREE** COPY OF THE AMERICAN DREAM FILM ON DVD, THE OBAMA DECEPTION FILM ON DVD, AND DISHONEST MONEY, THE BOOK.




FREE
WITH OFFER



THE AMERICAN DREAM FILM

AN AMAZING HOLLYWOOD QUALITY ANIMATED FILM THAT BREAKS DOWN THE HISTORY OF THE FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM AND HOW IT IS THREATENING THE AMERICAN DREAM


FREE
WITH OFFER



THE OBAMA DECEPTION FILM

A HARD HITTING FILM THAT REVEALS THE OBAMA PHENOMENON, A CAREFULLY CRAFTED HOAX TO SELL THE POPULATION ON A TOTAL SHIFT TO TYRANNY

FREE
WITH OFFER



DISHONEST MONEY, THE BOOK

THE EMERGING TRUTH THAT HAS WAITED DECADES TO BE DISCOVERED ABOUT THE PRIVATE FEDERAL RESERVE



OVER \$160 VALUE! SHIPPING INCLUDED

MIDAS RESOURCES • 1.800.686.2237 • MIDASRESOURCES.COM