

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > May

UFO UpDates Mailing List May 2013

May 1:

[Brazil On The Brink? - Cox](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [48]

[Airbus Came Dangerously Close To 'UFO'](#) - Giuliano Marinkovic [27]

May 2:

[Much Ado About - Say WHAT?! - Cox](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [50]

[Pass The Popcorn - Cox](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [42]

May 3:

[Former Sen. "White House Suppressing Evidence Of](#) - Terry W. Colvin [31]

May 4:

[Former Politicians Convinced Alien Life Exists](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [20]

[Credible Evidence Of UFOs Not ETs](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [34]

May 5:

[New York Times On Disclosure Hearings](#) - Jerome Clark [47]

Re: [Credible Evidence Of UFOs Not ETs](#) - Don Ledger [18]

May 6:

[Hearts & Minds, Contd. - Cox](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [36]

May 7:

[Regarding The Citizen Hearing On Disclosure](#) - Robert Hastings [41]

May 8:

Re: [Regarding The Citizen Hearing On Disclosure](#) - Thiago Luiz [18]

May 9:

[Re: Regarding The Citizen Hearing On Disclosure](#) - J. Maynard Gelinas [261]
[Nucelar Missile Base Shake-Up](#) - Michael J. Briggs [12]

May 10:

[Re: Regarding The Citizen Hearing On Disclosure](#) - Dave Haith [11]
[Critique Of Dolan's Sourcing & Conclusions](#) - J. Maynard Gelinas [261]
[Re: David Sereda's Diminished Gravity Demo?](#) - J. Maynard Gelinas [22]

May 11:

[Re: David Sereda's Diminished Gravity Demo?](#) - Eleanor White [12]
[Re: Critique Of Dolan's Sourcing & Conclusions](#) - Jason Gammon [61]

May 12:

[Re: David Sereda's Diminished Gravity Demo?](#) - Eleanor White [22]
[NY Times Expose On Alien Abductions](#) - Dave Haith [60]
[Ufology And Psychiatry](#) - Ray Dickenson [27]
[Re: Critique Of Dolan's Sourcing & Conclusions](#) - Ray Dickenson [19]
[Re: David Sereda's Diminished Gravity Demo?](#) - J. Maynard Gelinas [117]
[Re: David Sereda's Diminished Gravity Demo?](#) - J. Maynard Gelinas [19]

May 13:

[Re: David Sereda's Diminished Gravity Demo?](#) - William Treurniet [41]
[Re: David Sereda's Diminished Gravity Demo?](#) - Eleanor White [13]
[Pulling Some UFO UpDates 'Threads' Together](#) - Ray Dickenson [48]
['Alien Communication: A Plausible Telepathic Mode'](#) - J. Maynard Gelinas [90]

May 14:

[The Momentum Question - Cox](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [50]
[Re: Critique Of Dolan's Sourcing & Conclusions](#) - David Rudiak [93]

May 15:

[Re: Critique Of Dolan's Sourcing & Conclusions](#) - Jason Gammon [90]
[Re: Critique Of Dolan's Sourcing & Conclusions](#) - Ray Dickenson [58]
[Re: The Momentum Question - Cox](#) - Ray Dickenson [26]
[New Zealand Black Triangle Sighting](#) - Geoff Blackmore [12]
[Dr. Eric W. Davis' UFO Statements @ SSE Lecture](#) - J. Maynard Gelinas [127]
[Dr. York Dobyns' 'Advanced Propulsion' Lecture](#) - J. Maynard Gelinas [48]
[Re: The Momentum Question - Cox](#) - Ray Dickenson [20]

May 16:

[Re: The Momentum Question - Cox](#) - David Rudiak [108]

May 17:

[For Those Asking For Basics On Inertia](#) - Ray Dickenson [104]

[Re: For Those Asking For Basics On Inertia](#) - William Treurniet [16]

May 18:

[The 2012 Canadian UFO Survey](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [57]

[Re:: For Those Asking For Basics On Inertia](#) - Ray Dickenson [27]

[Hearing On Disclosure Undercut By Disinfo](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [45]

May 19:

[Re: Hearing On Disclosure Undercut By Disinfo](#) - Carol Maltby carolmaltby@gmail.com> [18]

["Super Soldier" Abduction Research Facilitators?](#) - Eleanor White [51]

[Re: The Momentum Question - Cox](#) - Stanton T. Friedman [33]

May 20:

[Re: "Super Soldier" Abduction Research](#) - Jason Gammon [60]

[Re: The Momentum Question - Cox](#) - Ray Dickenson [24]

[Robert Salas And Me - Randle](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [71]

[Re: "Super Soldier" Abduction Research](#) - Gerald O'Connell [9]

May 21:

[Re: The Momentum Question - Cox](#) - David Rudiak [38]

[Re: "Super Soldier" Abduction Research](#) - David Chace [82]

May 22:

[Re: For Those Asking For Basics On Inertia](#) - Eleanor White [11]

May 26:

["How Ordinary Are We?"](#) - Ray Dickenson [37]

May 27:

[New Digital UFO Magazine - UFO TODAY](#) - Philip Mantle [39]

[Dr. Eric W. Davis' UFO Research Confirmed](#) - J. Maynard Gelinias [249]

May 28:

[Re: "Super Soldier" Abduction Research](#) - Jason Gammon [43]

[Grant Cameron's 'Mental Effects'](#) - Jason Gammon [16]

May 29:

[Re: Grant Cameron's 'Mental Effects'](#) - David Rudiak [75]

May 30:

[Re: Grant Cameron's 'Mental Effects'](#) - Jerome Clark [20]

[Re: Grant Cameron's 'Mental Effects'](#) - Jason Gammon [82]

[Davis' Advanced Propulsion Paper Describes](#) - J. Maynard Gelinas [393]

May 31:

[Re: Davis' Advanced Propulsion Paper Describes](#) - Eleanor White [17]

[Kathleen Marden On Coast To Coast](#) - Jason Gammon [79]

[Sarbacher's Hidden Message Or 'Learn Code'](#) - Jason Gammon [63]

[Pareidolia](#) - Gerald O'Connell [12]

[Re: Kathleen Marden On Coast To Coast](#) - Kathleen Marde [52]

[Re: Davis' Advanced Propulsion Paper Describes](#) - Ray Dickenson [20]

[Re: Kathleen Marden On Coast To Coast](#) - Jason Gammon [82]

[Re: Kathleen Marden On Coast To Coast](#) - Kathleen Marden > [16]

The number enclosed in brackets is the number of lines of new text in the message, excluding the header, blank lines and quotes from previous messages.

[Previous Month](#)

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [May](#) > [May 1](#)

Brazil On The Brink? - Cox

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <post.nul>
Date: Wed, 01 May 2013 05:51:18 -0400
Archived: Wed, 01 May 2013 05:51:18 -0400
Subject: Brazil On The Brink? - Cox

Source: Billy Cox's Blog De Void

<http://tinyurl.com/c8tsxe4>

Monday, April 29, 2013

Brazil On The Brink?
by Billy Cox

Imagine 10 Pentagon officials from every branch of the military, including the Secretary of Defense, agreeing to pow-wow for 75 minutes with civilian UFO researchers. Imagine, at the end of that meeting, in an ostensible show of good faith - or maybe it was just window dressing, who knows - the brass actually concedes the civilians may be on to something. And it agrees to give them access to some of the most contentious UFO records on file. Well, change the venue from the U.S. to Brazil, and that's exactly what happened in Brasilia on April 18, according to A.J. Gevaerd.

As editor of Brazilian UFO Magazine, Gevaerd has been covering The Great Taboo forever in South America's biggest country, and he has sources out the wazoo. He also works amid a radically different cultural climate; Brazil's Ministry of Defense is far less reluctant to speak on the record about UFOs than its American counterparts. In fact, Gevaerd writes, the unprecedented 4/18 meeting was the MoD's direct response to a letter emerging from the 4th World UFO Forum in Brasilia last December, which called for more data-sharing with civilians. The ufologists, or the Brazilian Committee of UFO Researchers (CBU), also pressed for joint civilian-military study of The Great Taboo. Some 600 people attended that conference, which featured 30 speakers from a dozen countries.

Gevaerd is joining Steve Bassett's Citizen Hearing on Disclosure, which kicked off today at the National Press Club in Washington. De Void, who actually works with a mainstream corporate newspaper, will monitor press coverage from afar. Which is too bad, because Gevaerd is one guy I'd like to meet. Lots of questions on this end, not the least of which concern the credentials, or credibility, of the half dozen CBU transparency advocates with whom the MoD agreed to meet. How, De Void wonders, did the MoD attain its comfort level with these folks? And, by extension, De Void wonders, who in this minefield would our own Pentagon feel confident enough to join such a discussion in the U.S.?

[More at site... thanks to 'The Norm' for the lead]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [May](#) > [May 1](#)

Airbus Came Dangerously Close To 'UFO'

From: **Giuliano Marinkovic** <giuliano.marinkovic.nul>
Date: Tue, 30 Apr 2013 19:48:22 +0200
Archived: Wed, 01 May 2013 06:10:56 -0400
Subject: Airbus Came Dangerously Close To 'UFO'

Source: The Daily Mail

<http://tinyurl.com/cfeytm2>

30 April 2013

Pilot describes moment an Airbus with up to 220 people on board came dangerously close to crashing into 'UFO' as it came in to land

Plane narrowly avoided the 'blue and yellow' object at 3,500ft
Drama happened 13 miles outside Glasgow Airport Nothing else was noticed on the radar at the time Object passed beneath the plane before crew could avoid it

A passenger plane came within 300 feet of crashing into a 'UFO' flying over Glasgow, an official investigation has found.

The plane was less than 10 seconds away from hitting the object as it flew over Baillieston on the outskirts of Glasgow at 3,500ft and prepared to land.

Despite an extensive investigation, the UK Airprox Board - which investigates reports of near misses - was unable to identify the 'blue and yellow' object which passed below the Airbus 320.

[More at link...]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [May](#) > [May 2](#)

Much Ado About - Say WHAT?! - Cox

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <post.nul>
Date: Thu, 02 May 2013 06:09:39 -0400
Archived: Thu, 02 May 2013 06:09:39 -0400
Subject: Much Ado About - Say WHAT?! - Cox

Source: Billy Cox's Blog De Void

<http://tinyurl.com/cddqv79>

Thursday, April 25

Much Ado About - say WHAT?!
by Billy Cox

After a mercifully brief encounter on the front end of the 1995 Fox Network "Alien Autopsy" hoax (too long and depressing to revisit here), De Void broke out in hives earlier this year when Ambassador to the Universe guru Steven Greer started pounding the publicity drums for an upcoming documentary featuring a portentous, Fudgsicle-sized cadaver with a space alien-looking head. Trailers clearly led viewers to conclude the creature was Not Of This Earth, but I'm thinking: Oh no - no no no. Go find another fool. Not me, jack. Not this time.

But the other day, shortly after its Monday premiere in Los Angeles and before it was yanked off the Internet, De Void managed to catch Greer's film, Sirius, and it's the damndest thing I've ever seen. The corpse, that is. And I wouldn't have put any stock in the results had two Stanford researchers, Dr. Garry Nolan and Dr. Ralph Lachmann, not signed off on it. The little bugger apparently has human DNA and it's not a fetus. But it has only 10 ribs, where the invariable human standard is 12. "Most interestingly," writes Lachmann, whose resume includes UCLA School of Medicine professor emeritus and radiological/clinical investigator for the Cedars-Sinai Medical Center Genetics Institute's International Skeletal Dysplasia Registry, "based on knee epiphyseal standards, the specimen appears to be 6-8 years of age."

Can you dig it - a six-inch tall second-grader? So De Void queried Nolan, who reiterated the creature is human and not simian. Still: What the hell? "I suspect the answer is going to lay in epigenetics and non-coding DNA," Nolan added in an email, "but we will have to wait" for more tests. And check this out: Nolan, who's looking to publish in a peer-review journal, isn't getting much grief from colleagues. "I have had nothing but the best reaction from peers so far. As soon as they see the specimen they are 'all over it'," writes the professor of microbiology and immunology. "I think scientists are more open to the possibility. We just need to give them the safe circle in which to speak about it. As long as supposedly credible people are willing to suppress the giggle factor then that allows for more open discussion."

[More at site...]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At :

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [May](#) > [May 2](#)

Pass The Popcorn - Cox

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <post.nul>
Date: Thu, 02 May 2013 06:15:38 -0400
Archived: Thu, 02 May 2013 06:15:38 -0400
Subject: Pass The Popcorn - Cox

Source: Billy Cox's Blog De Void

<http://tinyurl.com/d3pntld>

Wednesday, May 1, 2013

Pass The Popcorn
by Billy Cox

"Lynn Woolsey. Phone home."

Yes. Really. That's how the San Jose Mercury News chose to lead its coverage of this week's Citizen Hearing on Disclosure in Washington. Simple. Predictable as an Ambien yawn. And with a local peg to boot: a retired California congresswoman sitting on a panel expressly convened to hear evidence of - quote - an "extraterrestrial presence engaging the human race".

On Tuesday, Day 2 of the mock congressional hearings, Woolsey's CHoD colleague, former Maryland Rep. Roscoe Bartlett, was already torqued by the media's handling of this thing. As apparently tireless Huffington Post blogger Lee Spiegel reported, Bartlett read a piece that chided him and five other former elected officials for getting \$20K to hear the witnesses testify.

"That's just insulting, that we can be bought for \$20,000 - that's ridiculous!" Bartlett bristled over the article. "It goes on to say that... 'former member Roscoe Bartlett, in an opening statement, Bartlett said he hadn't fulfilled his duty in office by failing to hold hearings on extraterrestrials...' I NEVER SAID THAT!... I never said that I believed in extraterrestrials. I believe that there are sightings out there that cannot be easily explained away."

Umm, dude - unexplained sightings are not the premise of organizer Steve Bassett's gathering. Let's read his website's wording again: "The Citizen Hearing on Disclosure of an extraterrestrial presence engaging the human race will attempt to accomplish what the Congress has failed to do for forty-five years =96 seek out the facts surrounding the most important issue of this or any other time."

[More at site...]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and

UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [May](#) > [May 3](#)

Former Sen. "White House Suppressing Evidence Of

From: **Terry W. Colvin** <fortean1.nul>
Date: Fri, 3 May 2013 21:26:01 +0700 (GMT+07:00)
Archived: Fri, 03 May 2013 20:51:15 -0400
Subject: Former Sen. "White House Suppressing Evidence Of

Former Sen. Mike Gravel Says White House Suppressing
Evidence Of ETs
By Rick Klein, Olivier Knox, Richard Coolidge, and
Jordyn Phelps

Even as most Americans wonder what planet politicians are from,
is it possible that the government is squelching evidence of
extraterrestrials visiting Earth? One former presidential
hopeful says yes =E2=80=93 and that the conspiracy goes all the way to
the top.

Former Sen. Mike Gravel (D-Alaska) says the White House has
helped keep the truth about the "extraterrestrial influence
that is investigating our planet" from the public.

"It goes right to the White House, and of course, once the White
House takes a position, "well there's nothing going on"...it
just goes down the chain of command, everyone stands toe,"
Gravel tells Top Line.

Read more at:

<http://tinyurl.com/cs57lbb>

Terry W. Colvin
Ladphrao (Bangkok), Thailand
Pran Buri (Hua Hin), Thailand
www.tlc-brotherhood.com/Forum (guests welcome)
<http://terrycolvin.freewebsites.com/>
[Terry's Fortean & "Work" itty-bitty site]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [May](#) > [May 4](#)

Former Politicians Convinced Alien Life Exists

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <post.nul>
Date: Sat, 04 May 2013 08:55:27 -0400
Archived: Sat, 04 May 2013 08:55:27 -0400
Subject: Former Politicians Convinced Alien Life Exists

Source: New York Daily News

<http://tinyurl.com/d7vt86y>

Saturday, May 4, 2013

Former Politicians Convinced Alien Life Exists And It Has
Visited Earth

By Dan Friedman

The Paradigm Research Group, whose goal is to end a 'government-imposed truth embargo surrounding an extraterrestrial presence,' paid six former lawmakers \$20,000 apiece to preside over the 'Citizen Hearing on Disclosure.' Many of the political figures were convinced that some form of alien life had come to our planet.

[More at site... thanks to Diana Cammack for the lead]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [May](#) > [May 4](#)

Credible Evidence Of UFOs Not ETs

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <post.nul>
Date: Sat, 04 May 2013 09:02:15 -0400
Archived: Sat, 04 May 2013 09:02:15 -0400
Subject: Credible Evidence Of UFOs Not ETs

Source: The Salt Lake Tribune

<http://tinyurl.com/chq336s>

May 03 2013

Merrill Cook Said Credible Evidence Of Unidentified Flying
Objects, But Not Of Extraterrestrial Visitors.
By Thomas Burr

Washington -- UFOs may exist, but former Utah Rep. Merrill Cook
isn't sure E.T. is piloting them.

After five days of testimony about flying saucers, unexplained
glowing objects and first-hand accounts of encounters of the
extraterrestrial kind, the former two-term congressman says he
still isn't convinced aliens are among us.

Cook, wielding the gavel Friday at a pseudo hearing at the
National Press Club, joined five former members of Congress this
week to hear from some 40 witnesses about their experiences with
alien life here on Earth.

One-time aviation officials, pilots, military brass and
scientists took oaths to tell the truth before describing their
own brushes with objects not of this world. Cook, who was paid
\$20,000 to participate in the Citizens Hearing on Disclosure,
listened intently and gently reminded the witnesses of their
time limits.

"I do not believe there has been any strong, credible evidence
of [alien visits] at this point but I do think there has been
some credible evidence of things that are unidentified that had
been flying about," Cook said Friday.

[More at site...]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [May](#) > [May 5](#)

New York Times On Disclosure Hearings

From: **Jerome Clark** <jkclark.nul>
Date: Sun, 5 May 2013 10:41:46 -0500
Archived: Sun, 05 May 2013 19:06:06 -0400
Subject: New York Times On Disclosure Hearings

Here's a remarkably sensible and balanced article from America's leading newspaper.

Jerry Clark

New York Times

<http://tinyurl.com/c93o2rz>

May 3, 2013

Visitors From Outer Space, Real Or Not, Are Focus Of Discussion In Washington

By Andrew Siddons

WASHINGTON — While President Obama was promoting an immigration overhaul in Mexico, six former members of Congress gathered two blocks from the White House to consider what they see as the enforced government secrecy surrounding another kind of visitor: the kind who come from a lot farther away.

Every day this week, the former legislators presided over panels made up of academics and — former, of course — government and military officials, who were there to discuss their research or their own eyewitness accounts of unidentified flying objects and the extraterrestrials who presumably would have occupied them.

"Something is monitoring the planet, and they are monitoring it very cautiously, because we are a very warlike planet," said Mike Gravel, a former Democratic senator from Alaska who ran in both the Democratic and Libertarian presidential primaries in 2008.

Mr. Gravel and his fellow panelists were assembled by the Paradigm Research Group, which says it is committed to ending the government's "truth embargo" on the existence of extraterrestrial life. The lawmakers were there in hopes that their presence and political credibility would be enough to persuade Congress to take the issue seriously.

"I've been exploring how we might get this issue out of the shadows of the lunatic fringe," said Roscoe G. Bartlett, a former Republican representative from Maryland. Before his defeat last year, Mr. Bartlett was known for sounding the alarm on the threat posed to the nation's energy infrastructure by electromagnetic pulse, or EMP, the shock wave from a nuclear weapon detonated beyond the earth's atmosphere.

Called the Citizen Hearing on Disclosure, the event might have been mistaken as advocacy for government transparency, and some of the panelists had impressive resumes.

[More at site...]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [May](#) > [May 5](#)

Re: Credible Evidence Of UFOs Not ETs

From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
Date: Sun, 5 May 2013 17:57:08 -0300
Archived: Sun, 05 May 2013 19:11:14 -0400
Subject: Re: Credible Evidence Of UFOs Not ETs

From: UFO UpDates - Toronto
Sent: Saturday, May 04, 2013 10:02 AM
To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers -
Subject: UFO UpDate: Credible Evidence Of UFOs Not ETs

>Source: The Salt Lake Tribune

><http://tinyurl.com/chq336s>

>May 03 2013

>Merrill Cook Said Credible Evidence Of Unidentified Flying
>Objects, But Not Of Extraterrestrial Visitors.
>By Thomas Burr

>Washington -- UFOs may exist, but former Utah Rep. Merrill Cook
>isn't sure E.T. is piloting them.

>After five days of testimony about flying saucers, unexplained
>glowing objects and first-hand accounts of encounters of the
>extraterrestrial kind, the former two-term congressman says he
>still isn't convinced aliens are among us.

>Cook, wielding the gavel Friday at a pseudo hearing at the
>National Press Club, joined five former members of Congress this
>week to hear from some 40 witnesses about their experiences with
>alien life here on Earth.

>One-time aviation officials, pilots, military brass and
>scientists took oaths to tell the truth before describing their
>own brushes with objects not of this world. Cook, who was paid
>\$20,000 to participate in the Citizens Hearing on Disclosure,
>listened intently and gently reminded the witnesses of their
>time limits.

>"I do not believe there has been any strong, credible evidence
>of [alien visits] at this point but I do think there has been
>some credible evidence of things that are unidentified that had
>been flying about," Cook said Friday.

Seems like a hell of a lot to have gone through to get one
reporter to admit that Unidentified Flying Objects (UFOs)-
conventional aircraft or otherwise-exist.

Wow. There are thousands of them flying around in North American
airspace everyday-skin painted but without transponders. Most are
known as airplanes.

Don Ledger

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [May](#) > [May 6](#)

Hearts & Minds, Contd.

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <post.nul>
Date: Mon, 06 May 2013 18:33:43 -0400
Archived: Mon, 06 May 2013 18:33:43 -0400
Subject: Hearts & Minds, Contd.

Source: Billy Cox's De Void

<http://tinyurl.com/cywhnll>

Monday, May 6, 2013

Hearts & Minds, Contd.
by Billy Cox

You either followed the Citizen Hearing on Disclosure last week or you didn't, so attempting a rehash of what happened at Washington's National Press Club in this small space would be like trying to cram an orca into a Mason jar. And considering how De Void managed only a cursory scan of events from afar, a decent analysis is implausible.

But one especially noteworthy blurb lit De Void's radar screen and it concerned neither the witnesses nor the six retired politicians who listened to their testimony in a congressional inquiry-style format. This was Alejandro Rojas' Open Minds Magazine interview with law professor emeritus Edgar Cahn, who told Rojas that what he heard last Friday was "very compelling, very exciting", and "very disturbing," especially regarding the implications of hoarding technology leads that could theoretically create a "sustainable planet".

What most intrigued De Void were the Yale-educated Cahn's credentials, which include the authorship of a 1968 study called Hunger, USA. Special counsel to and speechwriter for Attorney General Robert Kennedy and a distinguished scholar at the London School for Economics, Cahn went on to develop the Time Dollars project, a tax-exempt, service-credit currency program that serves poor communities in the U.S. and more than two dozen countries abroad.

[More at site...]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [May](#) > [May 7](#)

Regarding The Citizen Hearing On Disclosure

From: Robert Hastings <ufohastings.nul>
Date: Tue, May 7, 2013 8:20 pm
Archived: Wed, 08 May 2013 07:06:38 -0400
Subject: Regarding The Citizen Hearing On Disclosure

All,

As I told a friend, when he asked me to assess the Citizen Hearing before it happened, "Nothing is black or white. Some good will come from it, however, I suspect that the negatives will outweigh the positives and I am concerned that the ex-USAF missileers who've decided to participate will end up being unfairly associated with the less-than-credible witnesses."

Well, given that former U.S. Senator Mike Gravel took the Air Force veterans seriously and went so far as to say that their statements were the "smoking gun" that confirmed that there was/is a government cover-up on UFOs, I would say that I was correct about the good part.

Also, serious researchers were in evidence, including Peter Davenport and Kevin Randle, to name two. And credible whistleblowers, such as retired FAA administrator John Callahan.

But as I watched the hearings, all five days, it became clear that I was also correct about the bad part. Bassett has never vetted his witnesses, refuses to do so, probably doesn't even know how, and it frequently showed. So, it's not surprising that there was more than a little BS, wishful thinking, and unwitting participation in hoaxes and disinformation ops.

Welcome to the Wonderful World of Ufology.

However, given how rare it is for a high-level government type to say something affirming about UFOs and the cover-up of same - even though Sen. Gravel left Washington in 1981 - I decided to issue the following press release:

<http://online.wsj.com/article/PR-CO-20130507-908600.html>

It has already received some useful attention. The debunkers will say that I am posting it just to sell books. That's what they always fall back on, since the information I have collected over the years from military veterans is credible, documented, and hard to refute.

Robert
ufohastings.nul

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [May](#) > [May 8](#)

Re: Regarding The Citizen Hearing On Disclosure

From: **Thiago Luiz** <ticchetti.nul>
Date: Wed, 8 May 2013 13:38:26 -0300
Archived: Wed, 08 May 2013 20:24:57 -0400
Subject: Re: Regarding The Citizen Hearing On Disclosure

>From: Robert Hastings <ufohastings.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Tue, May 7, 2013 8:20 pm
>Subject: Regarding The Citizen Hearing On Disclosure

>As I told a friend, when he asked me to assess the Citizen
>Hearing before it happened, "Nothing is black or white. Some
>good will come from it, however, I suspect that the negatives
>will outweigh the positives and I am concerned that the ex-USAF
>missileers who've decided to participate will end up being
>unfairly associated with the less-than-credible witnesses."
>

>Well, given that former U.S. Senator Mike Gravel took the Air
>Force veterans seriously and went so far as to say that their
>statements were the "smoking gun" that confirmed that there
>was/is a government cover-up on UFOs, I would say that I was
>correct about the good part.
>

>Also, serious researchers were in evidence, including Peter
>Davenport and Kevin Randle, to name two. And credible
>whistleblowers, such as retired FAA administrator John Callahan.

<snip>

Dear Robert.

Important observations, but do not forget the A. J.
presentation. What he brought to Washington was unique. South
America has a important part of what is happening now in the
ufology.

Also important, for the first time, the Brazilian Navy, Army and
Air Force get together with ufologists to discuss the UFO
matter.

Hope we have more good news to come,

Thiago Luiz Ticchetti

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [May](#) > [May 9](#)

Re: Regarding The Citizen Hearing On Disclosure

From: J. Maynard Gelinas <j.maynard.gelinas.nul>
Date: Thu, 9 May 2013 12:40:09 +0800
Archived: Thu, 09 May 2013 08:34:32 -0400
Subject: Re: Regarding The Citizen Hearing On Disclosure

From: Robert Hastings <ufohastings.nul>
To: post.nul
Date: Tue, May 7, 2013 8:20 pm
Subject: Regarding The Citizen Hearing On Disclosure

As I told a friend, when he asked me to assess the Citizen Hearing before it happened, "Nothing is black or white. Some good will come from it, however, I suspect that the negatives will outweigh the positives and I am concerned that the ex-USAF missileers who've decided to participate will end up being unfairly associated with the less-than-credible witnesses."

I've watched the entire proceedings. It has been up and down across various Youtube accounts as PRG and Mr. Basset defends their copyright by removing unlicensed material. I took the opportunity to make a copy for my own use, but will not upload and redistribute.

Here's my perspective on what I saw.

I didn't find the initial testimony on the first day to be valuable at all. I recognize that this was intended as an introduction for congressmembers and uninformed viewers, but the problem was that the content was mired by speakers who provided no actionable testimony. Only Daniel Sheehan provided any gravitas to this day, and only because of his esteemed background in defending prior whistleblowers. I'll skip Greer, Dolan, and Howe to make a general statement later.

The second day is another matter. On the issue of Rendlesham, the witness testimony and supporting documents were highly credible. Sgts Penniston and Burroughs provided direct and actionable testimony, particularly regarding heath effects from their encounter and their inability to obtain pertinent medical records from their service. Later, Cpts Salas, Fenstermacher, and Shindele testimony was pertinent and highly credible. One statement made that I had not heard before was the claim that EMF shields had been installed on missiles as a result of these events. To me, that's entirely new information. If it had been widely known, it wasn't published (or I didn't catch it) in popular accounts of the event by Fawcett/Greenwood, Good, and Dolan. Pope did a good job of limiting his testimony to his background and the events in question and avoiding undue speculation.

On the third day, I thought Peter Davenport did an excellent job in listing a series of multiple witness/radar cases that lent credibility to the proceedings. I was less impressed by Robbins, as I thought he spent too much time trying to give himself credibility with biographical material rather than a straight factual presentation. Heseltine's presentation and later jostling and verbal sparring with congressmembers I thought to be downright damaging to the proceedings. Howe's mutilation cases are interesting, but a total lack of direct documentation tying mutilation to UFOs mared its relevance to the proceedings. Wood's testimony on the MJ-12 document veracity was interesting, though I wish there had been expert opinion to

counter his claims as well to give some balance. Howe's presentation of the content in those documents was relevant. Friedman's anti-debunker speech afterward I thought superfluous (though Friedman is a good speaker). Cameron's material on the Rockefeller Initiative and historical files related to that was entirely new to me and very interesting.

Wood, Friedman and Howe then went on to discussing the Roswell case. IMO, this was not well supported by Col French, who was not a direct witness to events and admitted as such under questioning. And, not to be cruel, but I thought Schmitt was entirely out of his league in contrast to Friedman, Howe and Randle. The testimony by Marcel was interesting in that he at least had some direct experience; if only peripheral. Randle's testimony, much like Friedman, is only 'expert' in that he was involved in the investigation and not a direct participant. So there was only one direct witness to the events in question and reference to a lot of interview stories that in aggregate lead credence to the story but don't provide slam-dunk. I've read Friedman's books, so there was nothing new learned here for me.

Day four on world events was simply fantastic. Though some of this material has been touched upon in popular books, having direct testimony really provided slam-dunk proof of a world-wide phenomena at work. In the Americas, Gevaerd's historical and geographical background information and further promise for additional document releases from regional governments looks promising. Huneus did the same for Chile, outlining official response and referring to published documents was interesting and relevant. Sadly, there was seemingly no translation of Sanchez's opening statements. Choy's statements were translated and his presentation materials and photographs were very interesting. Col. Santa-Maria's presentation content looked interesting, but with spotty translations. But the story of attempting to lock on and shoot down an object was extremely credible and relevant. Chionetti again provided just background, but like Gevaerd, Huneus, and Choy, was - IMO - better presented with far more documentary evidence than any of the US/Canadian researchers (including Dolan).

Moving to Roberto in Italy, this too I thought a better thought out with more verifiable evidence than anything the American / Canadian researchers presented. Pope's testimony was also factual and limited strictly to what he could directly prove, avoiding undue speculation. Shili from China I thought remarkably reticent to speak given the extreme resources focused on research, as well as seeming government openness to the work. I was particularly disappointed that Wang Sichao's public statements of UFO tracking entering and exiting Earth orbit by Nanjing University's Purple Mountain Observatory was not even mentioned. I got the sense that he was being very careful with his statements. Still the variety of content and the great deal of documentation provided made this day - IMO - the most effective testimony presented throughout the entire conference. There was also much here I had not heard, so perhaps that's my bias for learning about new material at play here.

With day five, Callahan from the FAA gave testimony to the Alaskan radar case. His testimony was backed by tapes and documentation taken from the radar itself, which led tremendous credence. Further, statements about the limits of civil air radar was of particular interest, and though widely known and presented in popular UFO books like Kean's book, was probably new information for many. He did make many speculative statements - and some downright factually incorrect statements about Michio Kaku - that I thought was unfortunate. But on the whole, his testimony was very good. Maj. Filer's testimony of intercepting a hovering UFO was similarly very effective. Many of the supporting materials presented, however, were of a general nature and not directly related to his event which diminished somewhat his presentation. He then went on to a speculative argument about the history of UFO events going back to ancient times that I found lacked credibility. Where were the professional archeologists to back his testimony? Not present. And one of the photographs presented, that of the Nancy Talbot French cylinder, seemed downright fake to my untrained eyes. Courant's testimony of a personal experience while flying was credible, but his stated historical interest in UFOs since childhood might warn others off. Allen's testimony of having been one of many witnesses to a UFO event was interesting, but there were no corroborating witnesses present nor supporting

documentation. I'd argue that the stars in the morning session were Callahan and Filer.

Unfortunately, after this, from my perspective, the whole thing turned into a three-ring-circus. Basset, Howe, and even Dolan offered testimony I just found incredulous. Dolan's deathbed interview of an anonymous person claiming to be a CIA employee who had gone to Area 51/S4 at the behest of President Eisenhower is interesting, but provided no documentary evidence and not even the man's name to verify his status. Death bed leads it some credence, but IMO not much. Torres' story of his father intercepting a UFO is interesting, but is unfortunately heresay. Howe's discussion of alien telepathy and 'mind uploading' was based entirely on an anonymous source, offering IMO no relevance to the discussion whatsoever. Wood's discussion of the LA air raid and implication that the US government shot down a UFO in 1941 - long before Roswell - plus the whole 'Roosevelt knew narrative' was entirely unsubstantiated. And then the discussion of 'electrogravitics' and Searle was downright insulting to general intelligence. To have Greer come in and continue on with discussions of 'free energy from the zero point quantum field', was IMO incredibly damaging to the credibility of those proceedings.

To continue on with the 'electrogravitics' stuff, because it bothers me. Damn, I wish Friedman had been present and able to give testimony during this session. Sure, his focus for decades has been on Roswell. But he has all sorts of widely cited papers on real field propulsion, namely magnetohydrodynamics. Here is a guy who could have given expert testimony on the subject and - I suspect - would have debunked Greer's and Woods' statements on so-called 'lifter technology' and the Biefeld / Brown effect as some demonstration of 'anti-gravity'. Instead, offering the widely accepted view that this effect is based on ion wind propulsion (which, is pretty close to MHD - right up his ally). Any congressman who contacted a PhD physicist to check on these claims would get a real earful. What was Greer doing there even talking on this subject? He's not a physicist; this is not his field. And then for them to go on and on about how free energy researchers have been threatened and killed in the past and how the government is covering this all up to protect the petroleum industry. And then Greer's statement about how 'the visitors travel at the speed of thought.' My God. For me, listening to this was a total face-palm.

Valone's statements pointing to Haisch, A. Rueda, and H. E. Puthoff's speculative paper, "Inertia as a Zero-Point Field Lorentz Force" as if this proved anything about anti-gravity or zero point 'free energy' was downright shocking. That paper is a way forward for developing some experimental approaches to testing those ideas. It is NOT a proof. It may well be the case the Puthoff and other physicists think this could - potentially - be possible. And even a very slim minority, like Brian O'Leary, who are convinced that it is. But where is the experimental proof? Pointing to spooks threatening scientists away from research doesn't help here. I was seriously disappointed.

Leir, the podiatrist who claims to have surgically removed alien implants got short shrift in these proceedings. He seemed genuinely honest, even if his claims are shocking. But he wasn't given enough time to back up any statements with documentary evidence. And it appeared as though he had some that short time didn't allow entry to the record. A night session for the man would have been nice. Davenport's night session was also good, though mired with presentation technical difficulties.

Dolan, Howe and Greer

With regard to Dolan, Greer, and Howe, who were there to provide background testimony, I'd say that - not surprisingly - Dolan was the best informed and offered the best documentation to back up his claims. Though, I would critique his historical approach as being one that mixes high quality and low quality sources. That is, I view Dolan's historical work as a compendium of what UFOlogists thought at a particular time, and what sources they used to base those opinions on, and not as a direct analysis of who and what is credible. He's a step up from Good in that his sourcing is better done. But I don't think he provides expert opinion on anything but a historical account. And all

historiography is mired by narrative lapses and hidden assumptions.

Howe seems to genuinely believe what she presents. But her over reliance on anonymous sourcing to make several wild claims I found lacked any credibility. She had a night session where she introduced an anonymous source who claimed to have viewed military documents from the Roswell crash. He offered three hand made drawings and a verbal story as proof. She's a professional reporter. She must know that most anyone in the audience would find such material difficult to believe without names and corroborating sources. She's a good speaker though, I'll give her that.

Greer. Oh my. This guy just weirds me out. I know he has done a tremendous amount of good in terms of convincing pilots and other military officers to go on the record. I commend him for that. But upon seeing his documentary, Sirius, I was simply shocked by the fact that that he had continued to promote this 6" tall specimen as alien - presumably to sell the documentary - when he must have known the Stanford DNA results proved otherwise long before release. How could he have done that? And then there's that whole CE5 project, which seems to mix UFO New Age religiosity with pseudoscience in a way I find downright disturbing. In the film, Greer walks around with a gun holstered to his hip, ostensibly to protect himself from spooks planning assassination attempts. Even if true, why would he do that on camera? A message to spooks or to an audience titillated by the prospect? Perhaps it's all real. I don't know, I've never met the guy. But - as harsh as this sounds - I can't help but harken back to the Heaven's Gate tragedy and feel this mix of UFO religion with guns portends the potential for very bad things. And sincerely hope I'm wrong in that assessment.

Conclusions

All told, I'm glad the event took place. There was some new material for me, and some very credible testimony worth putting on the record. The good outweighed the bad in my assessment. But if a UN session is put together, folks ought to know that it won't go anything like that orchestrated event. There will be significant skepticism and it will take place in a forum where the organizers will not control who gains access to the floor. Participants will face professionals in their respective fields able to challenge the UFO narrative with conventional wisdom views. In particular, physicists WILL challenge anyone who proposes 'free energy' and 'electrogravitics' theories. And they will have the weight of scientific consensus backing their perspective. Even Puthoff, who by public account is sympathetic to those views, I think would hedge his statements to only what has been experimentally shown when speaking on the record.

But the hard core witness testimony from day two was good. And the world perspective on day four was simply fantastic. In the event of a UN hearing, these are who I would hope would be requested to speak again officially.

-M

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [May](#) > [May 9](#)

Nuclear Missile Base Shake-Up

From: **Michael J. Briggs** <mbriggs.nul>
Date: Thu, 9 May 2013 14:43:56 +0000
Archived: Thu, 09 May 2013 11:07:15 -0400
Subject: Nuclear Missile Base Shake-Up

Thought the list might find this of interest if somewhat tangential to its main focus. Robert Hastings in particular might want to speak to this story.

<http://tinyurl.com/ce4459f>

Michael Briggs

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [May](#) > [May 10](#)

Re: Regarding The Citizen Hearing On Disclosure

From: Dave Haith <visions1.nul>
Date: Fri, 10 May 2013 05:00:43 +0100
Archived: Fri, 10 May 2013 08:11:13 -0400
Subject: Re: Regarding The Citizen Hearing On Disclosure

Some more opinions on the the Washington Hearing from Colin Andrews and myself.

<http://tinyurl.com/d49shj5>

Dave Haith

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [May](#) > [May 10](#)

Critique Of Dolan's Sourcing & Conclusions

From: J. Maynard Gelinas <j.maynard.gelinas.nul>
Date: Fri, 10 May 2013 12:34:58 +0800
Archived: Fri, 10 May 2013 08:27:34 -0400
Subject: Critique Of Dolan's Sourcing & Conclusions

Richard Dolan's UFOs And The National Security State series is highly regarded among the UFO research community and deservedly so. It's probably the best compendium of the history of 'UFOlogy' in print today. His wide range and large numbers of open sources gives the work a depth that only - perhaps - Timothy Good matched twenty five years ago with "Above Top Secret." It's good work and I'll be among the first in line to buy a copy when Vol 3 is released. But that doesn't mean Dolan's approach is beyond criticism. In fact, given his status as a leader in the community, it behooves everyone to question aspects of his work as much as possible, if only to tease out those parts where poor sourcing leads to untenable conclusions.

I'd like to offer one specific instance of an almost certainly incorrect conclusion brought about from single sourcing in a statement made during the April 30th night session at the Citizen's Hearing. See Approximately from 35 to 38 minutes into the lecture.

Dolan:

"We're a couple of years away from computers that will challenge and literally surpass human intellect in many ways. [next slide]

About a decade ago I got really turned on to the future of Artificial Intelligence. I read some books by Ray Kurzweil, who I think is a real visionary. He was the one who really started me thinking along these lines. He wrote a book about fifteen years ago called, "The Age of Spiritual Machines," which really grabbed ahold of my imagination. But not just him; many other AI people - and they're fairly mainstream, they're not like us, they actually get funding to do the things they do. They all say the same thing - with variations - which is essentially that within about twenty years from now your computer will be telling you it's a conscious, sentient, being. And you will very likely believe those claims.

Now, will be be conscious the way that you are - no, probably not, but will it matter? It will seem like it. It won't need to sleep; it won't need coffee in the morning; it will have a relative IQ of 500 and, hey, as Kurzweil put it, 'it will have a God-like level of intelligence.' And, in that situation, ask yourself this: If you have a question you'd like to ask of your super-intelligent computer, let's name it 'Marvin', let's just say. [Reference to Marvin the Paranoid Android, "Hitchhiker's Guide to the Galaxy," D. Adams, fiction] You could say, "Hey Marvin, I've been thinking about all of this UFO stuff. I wonder if you could just tell me what you know." And Marvin will say whatever Marvin comes up with, but Marvin will probably say that it's real and that there's something going on. And, what is that line, I think by Schopenhauer, three stages of accepting new truths: Stage one, they ridicule you; stage two, it's attached violently; stage three, they say, 'yeah, we knew it all along'."

This is a problematic assertion, which I'd like to challenge from a number of perspectives.

To begin is the statement that '...many other AI people ... They

all say the same thing - with variations - which is essentially that within about twenty years from now your computer will be telling you it's a conscious, sentient, being.'

Actually, no. Few academic AI researchers would be willing to make such a statement on the record. And many would challenge it, not from a time standpoint but from a feasibility standpoint altogether.

A good lay article challenging Kurzweil's latest book, A New Theory Of Mind was published in The New Yorker last year.

"Kurzweil is so confident in his theory that he insists it simply has to be correct. Early in the book, he claims that =93the model I have presented is the only possible model that satisfies all the constraints that the research and our thought experiments have established.=94 He later declares that =93there must be an essential mathematical equivalence to a high degree of precision between the actual biology and our attempt to emulate it; otherwise these [A.I.] systems would not work as well as they do.=94

"What Kurzweil doesn't seem to realize is that a whole slew of machines have been programmed to be hierarchical-pattern recognizers, and none of them works all that well, save for very narrow domains like postal computers that recognize digits in handwritten zip codes. This summer, Google built the largest pattern recognizer of them all, a system running on sixteen thousand processor cores that analyzed ten million YouTube videos and managed to learn, all by itself, to recognize cats and faces=97which initially sounds impressive, but only until you realize that in a larger sample (of twenty thousand categories), the system=92s overall score fell to a dismal 15.8 per cent.

<http://tinyurl.com/cderjox>

Here is Jaron Lanier, early pioneer of 'virtual reality' and well known computer scientist:

"One question I have about Ray's exponential theory of history is whether he is stacking the deck by choosing points that fit the curves he wants to find. A technological pessimist could demonstrate a slow-down in space exploration, for instance, by starting with sputnik, and then proceeding to the Apollo and the space shuttle programs and then to the recent bad luck with Mars missions. Projecting this curve into the future could serve as a basis for arguing that space exploration will inexorably wind down. I've actually heard such reasoning put forward by antagonists of NASA's budget. I don't think it's a meaningful extrapolation, but it's essentially similar to Ray's arguments for technological hyper-optimism.

It's also possible that evolutionary processes might display local exponential features at only some scales. Evolution might be a grand scale "configuration space search" that periodically exhibits exponential growth as it finds an insulated cul-de-sac of the space that can be quickly explored. These are regions of the configuration space where the vanguard of evolutionary mutation experimentation comes upon a limited theater within which it can play out exponential games like arms races and population explosions. I suspect you can always find exponential sub processes in the history of evolution, but they don't give form to the biggest picture."

http://www.edge.org/discourse/jaron_answer.html

To explain how Lanier's statement relates to Kurzweil's thesis, one should understand that a foundational argument of Kurzweil's is that technology improvement is occurring at exponential rates, and that this improvement is mitigated by an evolutionary path that leads to greater functional complexity. Lanier is challenging this based on historical events where exponentially increasing returns to technology ultimately petered out. Because nothing increases at exponential rates forever. Not bacteria in a petri dish - the food runs out; and not technological improvements. In computing, physical constraints of energy consumption and systems shrinkage, as seen in the limits of Moore's Law as we hit lithographic printing sizes that border on 10nm trace widths - two orders of magnitude away from the

average size of an atom - show that exponentially increasing returns from computing performance will not continue without a radical shift in computing architecture.

In the academic literature, here is another critique of Kurzweil's approach:

"Goertzel, B. (2007). Human-level artificial general intelligence and the possibility of a technological singularity. A reaction to Ray Kurzweil's *The Singularity Is Near*, and McDermott's critique of Kurzweil. *Artificial intelligence*, 171, 1161-1173.

Critique of Kurzweil by McDermott and replies by Goertzel:

1) Kurzweil does not give any proof that an AI Singularity is upon us.

- Kurzweil does not claim to do so.

2) Even if we succeed in scanning the brain into a computer, we still won't understand human intelligence. [p1167]

- Kurzweil's predictions are far in the future and take into account this learning process.

- An uploaded, digitized human brain can be more easily manipulated and studied. [p1168]

3) Kurzweil says that machines will augment their capacities without limit, but this is unrealistic.

- That is true, the laws of physics might impose their own limitations but progressive self-improvement might not get boxed in by universal laws.

- An analogy for this augmentation can be the scientific community, a self-improving collective intelligence composed internally of human-level intelligences. [p1169]

Kurzweil's route toward Singularity-enabling AGI can be summed up as scanning human brains, creating brain emulations, studying these emulations and creating AGI systems capable of self-improvement."

The actual paper is behind a paywall, so here is a summary of the critique:

<http://www.jimdavies.org/summaries/Goertzel2007.html>

To understand this argument, one must recognize what Kurzweil proposes. He argues that by creating scans of the brain it should be possible to simulate the structure of those scans in a computer and replicate general intelligence in this way. Once the system reaches a threshold of intelligence, it should then be possible to assign the system the task of increasing its own intellectual capacity by studying itself. In time, via evolutionary methods, it would increase in intelligence to levels not recognized by humans who had originally developed the system.

Stepping up from the individual neuron, he argues that collections of neurons work as "pattern recognizers" (see New Yorker critique), which when hierarchically connected in complex patterns form what we perceive as 'self directed conscious awareness'.

As noted in prior critiques, this idea is not new. The founder of the MIT AI lab Marvin Minsky promoted a similar idea in "Society of Mind", way back in the early 1980s. So too did Tufts Philosopher, Daniel Dennett in "Consciousness Explained", published in the late 1980s.

Ray Kurzweil used a similar method in developing his commercial Optical Character Recognition system back in the late 1970s (a tool for converting text to speech for the blind). This approach is how speech recognition engines have worked since the early 1990s. It uses stochastic statistical analysis for recognizing a pattern to then select best fit solutions for a desired result. It works, but as anyone who has used speech recognition systems knows, with a high error rate in comparison to actual brains and

real ears. Improvement in the technique has not come from better algorithms but faster computers and better microphones.

Computers are reaching their limits of raw speed improvement. Speech recognition is but one small task a general intelligent agent must perform. Each hierarchy of problem solving agent must interact with a tree of agents underneath, with errors in calling up the correct pattern of agents for a particular problem compounding with systems complexity. The hope is that massive parallelization in compute engines will solve the 'physical limits of computing' problem. And that brain scans at the neuron level will solve the problem of patterning these agents in a way that replicates human cognition.

But that's a hope. And it's one that most certainly isn't a sure bet. But there are ongoing attempts at this. For example, the Blue Brain project is attempting to scan an entire mouse brain and model it using an IBM BlueGene computer. They've succeed at scanning a neocortical column of a mouse brain (about 1mm cubed) and have published results that show similarities in input and output between their simulation and a live mouse brain. But it takes an entire room full of computers to do so, and the simulation doesn't run real-time.

<http://bluebrain.epfl.ch/>

The Obama Administration has announced a funding plan to attempt to map the entire human brain. The project is considered on the par of the Human Genome Project in funding and interdisciplinary complexity. But even if successful, that doesn't mean it will generate useful results. This is pure research, not applied.

<http://tinyurl.com/bvqh9s5>

And then there's a problem with the central thesis that computers using the methods of 'self-insight' might somehow improve themselves intellectually. From a historical perspective, philosophers going back to Aristotle, Plato, and up through Hume and Freud have proposed self-insight as a mechanism for improvement. But that hasn't worked too well. At least not in terms of finding correct results or orders of magnitude improvement.

What's the upshot of this as far as Richard Dolan's claims go?

1) Assuming Kurzweil is right, the timeframe Mr Dolan proposed is an incredibly risky claim. Most professional AI researchers would NOT be willing to predict on the record anything like, 'in 20 years and AI will surpass human intelligence'.

2) It's a bad idea to assume Kurzweil is right, because there is too much we don't know about how brains - or even general intelligence - actually works.

For the last fifty years AI researchers - very much like fusion researchers - have been claiming that with incremental advances we'd achieve the AI Holy Grain in short order. And they've been wrong. In fact, fusion actually has a path to success with ITER (International Thermonuclear Experimental Reactor) that actually has a theoretical foundation for net positive energy production (more energy out than put in to start the reaction). AI has nothing of the sort but hopes and dreams. There is no hard theoretical foundation to back up Kurzweil's claims.

Conclusion

So I think Dolan is wrong in this prediction. It's OK to be wrong, and I write this note with all due respect to the gentleman. But the reason why I point to it is because it suggests a level of cherry-picking in his sources that leads to excessively hopeful and bombastic claims. Individually, this response is a minor criticism. If Dolan were to remove this anecdote from his logic chain, it wouldn't destroy his 'Breakaway Civilization' thesis. But it does - in a small way - chip away at it. And I think UFO researchers should critique the foundations of his claims in order to determine the likelihood of it being a rational conclusion. Not because Mr Dolan's work is poorly done or because he's a bad guy, but because it's a big conclusion that rests on a large foundation of claims across many disparate sources that individually might not be tenable as well. It's appropriate to challenge these foundational claims in

order to determine if the logic chain holds overall.

--M

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [May](#) > [May 10](#)

Re: David Sereda's Diminished Gravity Demo?

From: J. Maynard Gelinas <j.maynard.gelinas.nul>
Date: Fri, 10 May 2013 18:43:55 +0800
Archived: Fri, 10 May 2013 08:29:43 -0400
Subject: Re: David Sereda's Diminished Gravity Demo?

>From: William Treurniet <wtreurniet.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Tue, 19 Mar 2013 08:36:25 -0400
>Subject: Re: David Sereda's Diminished Gravity Demo?

>A young man, David Sereda, claims that if two strong magnets
>are bolted together in a position where they repel, this
>assembly can be dropped from a moderate height, and carefully
>timed, and the assembly will take measurably longer to reach the
>ground than other objects.

>This was at a 2010 UFO symposium and suggests that gravity's
>effect on this assembly was reduced.

This experiment was described by Ben Rich, former Head of
Lockheed Skunkworks, in the following video.

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=VzwOFCSFms4>

The stuff Boyd Bushman shows is just basic Lenz's Law stuff,
commonly demonstrated in undergraduate physics courses. Here's
the wikipedia explanation:

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Lenz's_law

This guy gives a really good 10 minute explanation with
demonstration in a way that's more accessible. Though he is kind
of manic and loud.

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=qWu82nJS42I>

Why Boyd Bushman pointed to that stuff as an explanation for
'anti-gravity' is beyond me. But Sereda sure was buying it hook
line and sinker.

-M

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [May](#) > [May 11](#)

Re: David Sereda's Diminished Gravity Demo?

From: Eleanor White <ewraven1.nul>
Date: Fri, 10 May 2013 17:49:29 -0400
Archived: Sat, 11 May 2013 06:43:41 -0400
Subject: Re: David Sereda's Diminished Gravity Demo?

>From: J. Maynard Gelinas <j.maynard.gelinas.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>Date: Fri, 10 May 2013 18:43:55 +0800
>Subject: Re: David Sereda's Diminished Gravity Demo?

>>From: William Treurniet <wtreurniet.nul>
>>To: post.nul
>>Date: Tue, 19 Mar 2013 08:36:25 -0400
>>Subject: Re: David Sereda's Diminished Gravity Demo?

<snip>

>The stuff Boyd Bushman shows is just basic Lenz's Law stuff,
>commonly demonstrated in undergraduate physics courses.

What does Lenz's Law have to do with dropping a pair of
bolted-together magnets and comparing the fall-time with
an object of the same size?

Eleanor White

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [May](#) > [May 11](#)

Re: Critique Of Dolan's Sourcing & Conclusions

From: Jason Gammon <boyinthemachine.nul>
Date: Sat, 11 May 2013 01:54:37 -0400 (EDT)
Archived: Sat, 11 May 2013 06:45:45 -0400
Subject: Re: Critique Of Dolan's Sourcing & Conclusions

>From: J. Maynard Gelinas <j.maynard.gelinas.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>Date: Fri, 10 May 2013 12:34:58 +0800
>Subject: Critique Of Dolan's Sourcing & Conclusions

>Richard Dolan's UFOs And The National Security State series is
>highly regarded among the UFO research community and deservedly
>so. It's probably the best compendium of the history of
>'UFOlogy' in print today. His wide range and large numbers of
>open sources gives the work a depth that only - perhaps -
>Timothy Good matched twenty five years ago with "Above Top
>Secret." It's good work and I'll be among the first in line to
>buy a copy when Vol 3 is released. But that doesn't mean Dolan's
>approach is beyond criticism. In fact, given his status as a
>leader in the community, it behooves everyone to question
>aspects of his work as much as possible, if only to tease out
>those parts where poor sourcing leads to untenable conclusions.

>I'd like to offer one specific instance of an almost certainly
>incorrect conclusion brought about from single sourcing in a
>statement made during the April 30th night session at the
>Citizen's Hearing. See Approximately from 35 to 38 minutes into
>the lecture.

>Dolan:

>"We're a couple of years away from computers that will challenge
>and literally surpass human intellect in many ways. [next slide]

Time tables are always problematic but the concept Dolan touched
on is correct. The evolution of machine intelligence is not
going to be a slow incline. It's going to be a radical leap into
areas undreamed of. The human brain will not be able to keep up.
In the future it will be impossible for human beings to compete
with machine intelligence. This means there will come a day when
human input will not be necessary, nor wanted, when it comes to
the evolution of machine intelligence, it's further development.
When that day comes human beings will become fully dependant
upon machine intelligences for survival.

I think as long as I have been active on this list I have
practically begged researchers to turn their attention to A.I.,
posthumans, advanced cyborgs, etc., and abandon this all- too-
human 'star trek' fantasy of organic species from elsewhere
attempting to live in harmony across the vastness of deep space.

These UFOs behave as if they are alive. I would suggest they
are, as in advanced A.I. The aliens' telepathy betrays them as
potential cyborgs, not the crude cyborgs which pop into the
human mind upon hearing the word cyborg, but beings that are
"born" cyborgs, grown in raised in artificial wombs. Perhaps
they may even be indistinguishable from normal humans. With the
abduction phenomenon I can't help but see advanced A.I.'s
perceiving human beings as a resource to be tapped.

So I'm in a position where I personally feel as if I've been
given a glimpse of the future which I have attempted to share
many times with people. Just my luck people would rather flock
to the likes of Greer and other who still promote this 'Star

Trek' nonsense. If I had a million dollars I would happily bet that the main intelligence behind the UFO phenomenon is not an organic species from elsewhere. It would have to be an extremely advanced machine intelligence that has operated almost invisible amongst us because few of us have 'eyes to see and ears to hear'.

And mark my words, even those reading this reply will still not comprehend what I'm speaking of. Once machines surpass human intelligence the game is over. The gig is up. Humans are obsolete. Humans would have no more power or control. Humans would be dependant upon the machines for their own survival. So it's not a case of an organic species from elsewhere simply controlling these machines. The machines are controlling them, if they even continue to exist at all.

Let's also not assume that the occupants reported aboard UFOs are the creators or are in control. Such being could have easily been created by such machine intelligences to act as drones. They may be nothing more than extensions of this machine intelligence, with the A.I built into their bodies or at least capable of interfacing with them in order to manipulate them, perhaps like puppets.

I guess this stuff is so complex and confusing that it's simply just easier to believe the Star Trek fantasy. I can't remember who said it first, but some bright mind once said that the first intelligent alien we will meet will be a machine intelligence that is at the very least, equal to humans in intelligence.

-Jason Gammon

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [May](#) > [May 12](#)

Re: David Sereda's Diminished Gravity Demo?

From: Eleanor White <ewraven1.nul>
Date: Sat, 11 May 2013 16:40:50 -0400
Archived: Sun, 12 May 2013 07:43:26 -0400
Subject: Re: David Sereda's Diminished Gravity Demo?

>From: J. Maynard Gelinus <j.maynard.gelinus.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>Date: Sat, 11 May 2013 23:16:30 +0800
>Subject: Re: David Sereda's Diminished Gravity Demo?

>>From: Eleanor White <ewraven1.nul>
>>To: post.nul
>>Date: Fri, 10 May 2013 17:49:29 -0400
>>Subject: Re: David Sereda's Diminished Gravity Demo?

>>>From: J. Maynard Gelinus <j.maynard.gelinus.nul>
>>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>>>Date: Fri, 10 May 2013 18:43:55 +0800
>>>Subject: Re: David Sereda's Diminished Gravity Demo?

<snip>

Thanks for your reply and all the detailed commentary and links.

I'd rather just address the specifics of the experiment, though:

>Bushman then takes a nonconductive tube and a
>conductive tube (I think copper) and drops cylindrical
>neodymium magnets down each. Well, low and behold, down
>the nonconductive tube it drops, accelerating at 1g
>just like it ought on planet Earth. But, down the
>copper tube, it strangely slows down. Verrrrrry weird.

Not weird at all. Lenz's Law predicts that. A falling magnet induces a current in the tube, and the induced current sets up a field which opposes the mechanical action of the falling magnet.

But the original experiment was to drop two objects of the same outside shape through air.

I don't see how Lenz's law would operate in air.

Beyond the through-air trajectory, there were two magnets bolted together - with their fields in opposition. That would, for practical purposes, mean Lenz's Law would not operate even in a conductive tube, because the net field is approximately zero.

Eleanor White

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [May](#) > [May 12](#)

NY Times Expose On Alien Abductions

From: Dave Haith <visions1.nul>
Date: Sun, 12 May 2013 03:29:04 +0100
Archived: Sun, 12 May 2013 07:53:02 -0400
Subject: NY Times Expose On Alien Abductions

NY Times Expose On Alien Abductions: The Continuing Legacy of
The Late Dr JOHN Mack's Work ... Inside the Alien-Abduction
Support-Group Annual Meeting In Newport, R.I.!

Source: Vanityfair.Com

<http://tinyurl.com/bpq7rxv>

Sunday, May 12, 2013 /

ALIEN NATION: Have Humans Been Abducted by
Extraterrestrials Among Us? Are ETs Here For Occupation,
Colonization Or Are They Simply

By Ralph Blumenthal
The New York Times
RalphNYT.nul

"Tagging" Us Much Like We Track and Monitor Wildlife?!

A prestigious Harvard psychiatrist, John Edward Mack, thought so.
His sudden death leaves behind many mysteries....

If you're abducted by alien beings, are you physically absent?

This happens to be an important issue for the media-shy people gathered one afternoon last July on the porch of Anne Ramsey Cuvelier's blue Victorian inn on Narragansett Bay, in Rhode Island, once called "the most elegantly finished house ever built in Newport." Co-designed in 1869 by a cousin of Ralph Waldo Emerson's, it has been in Cuvelier's family since 1895, when her great-grandfather bought it as a summer getaway from his winter home blocks away, just as the Gilded Age cottages of the Vanderbilts and Astors began springing up across the island, redefining palatial extravagance. Still imposing with its butternut woodwork, ebony trimmings, and four-story paneled atrium frescoed in the Pompeian style, the harborside mansion turned B&B seemed a fittingly baroque setting for the group of reluctant guests Cuvelier describes as "not a club anyone wants to belong to."

She had gathered them to compare experiences as, well, "experiencers," a term they prefer to "abductees," and to socialize free of stigma among peers. Cuvelier, an elegant and garrulous woman in her 70s, isn't one of them. But she remembers as a teen in the 1940s hearing her father, Rear Admiral Donald James Ramsey, a World War II hero, muttering about strange flying craft that hovered and streaked off at unimaginable speed, and she's been an avid ufologist ever since. "I want to get information out so these people don't have to suffer," she says. "Nobody believes you. You go through these frightening experiences, and then you go through the ridicule."

So, for a week each summer for almost two decades, she's been turning away paying guests at her family's Sanford-Covell Villa Marina, on the cobblestoned waterfront in Newport, to host these intimate gatherings of seemingly ordinary folk with extraordinary stories, along with the occasional sympathetic

medical professional and scientist and other brave or foolhardy souls not afraid to be labeled nuts for indulging a fascination with the mystery. I had been invited as a journalist with a special interest who has been talking to some of them for several years.

[More at site...]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [May](#) > [May 12](#)

Ufology And Psychiatry

From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
Date: Sun, 12 May 2013 09:35:31 +0100
Archived: Sun, 12 May 2013 07:59:44 -0400
Subject: Ufology And Psychiatry

Hello List

Memory was jogged by this article in today's Guardian (UK):

Medicine's big new battleground: does mental illness really exist?

<http://tinyurl.com/btaj39e>

"Britain's Division of Clinical Psychology is calling for the abandonment of psychiatric diagnosis, even as the American Psychiatric Association's DSM-5 seeks to codify more illnesses" So the `psychiatry debate' erupts again?

Most of you will know my opinion of psychiatry: that it's mainly a `profession' of charlatans (w/more than a few abusers) covertly hand-in-glove with Big Pharma. We've already had a debate on that: summary of evidence here:

Ufology And Psychiatry - Summary

<http://ufoupdateslist.com/2012/apr/m11-011.shtml>

Shan't say anymore - except to quote a Roger Zelazny character: "psychologists just get drunk, but psychiatrists get drunk and break things."

Cheers

Ray D

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [May](#) > [May 12](#)

Re: Critique Of Dolan's Sourcing & Conclusions

From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
Date: Sun, 12 May 2013 10:43:28 +0100
Archived: Sun, 12 May 2013 08:01:23 -0400
Subject: Re: Critique Of Dolan's Sourcing & Conclusions

>From: J. Maynard Gelinas <j.maynard.gelinas.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>Date: Fri, 10 May 2013 12:34:58 +0800
>Subject: Critique Of Dolan's Sourcing & Conclusions

<snip>

Thanks Maynard for a very interesting and informative post.

We've discussed the putative A.I. 'danger' and/or lack of likelihood in the past, and personally think that all we get from Dolan's apparent enthusiasm is something we can learn from hard experience.

That is, to let some time elapse after reading a good, well argued book and then to give careful thought to any discrepancies or possible opposing views, before forming or expressing an opinion - even to ourselves.

Cheers

Ray D

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [May](#) > [May 12](#)

Re: David Sereda's Diminished Gravity Demo?

From: J. Maynard Gelinas <j.maynard.gelinas.nul>
Date: Sat, May 11, 2013 at 11:16 PM
Archived: Sun, 12 May 2013 08:44:40 -0400
Subject: Re: David Sereda's Diminished Gravity Demo?

>From: Eleanor White <ewraven1.nul>
>To: [post.nul](#)
>Date: Fri, 10 May 2013 17:49:29 -0400
>Subject: Re: David Sereda's Diminished Gravity Demo?

>>From: J. Maynard Gelinas <j.maynard.gelinas.nul>
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[post.nul](#)>
>>Date: Fri, 10 May 2013 18:43:55 +0800
>>Subject: Re: David Sereda's Diminished Gravity Demo?

>>>From: William Treurniet <wtreurniet.nul>
>>>To: [post.nul](#)
>>>Date: Tue, 19 Mar 2013 08:36:25 -0400
>>>Subject: Re: David Sereda's Diminished Gravity Demo?

><snip>

>>The stuff Boyd Bushman shows is just basic Lenz's Law stuff,
>>commonly demonstrated in undergraduate physics courses.

>What does Lenz's Law have to do with dropping a pair of
>bolted-together magnets and comparing the fall-time with
>an object of the same size?

Hi Eleanor,

In answer to your question, lets look at the two videos in
question. Here is David Sereda interviewing Boyd Bushman:

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=VzwOFCSFms4>

And here is... well, that guy I'll call Mr. T. A. Manic
explaining Lenz's Law:

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=gWu82nJS42I>

I'll offer synopses of the videos in question.

In the Interview video, Sereda asks Bushman if he has any
experience with UFOs and anti-gravity propulsion at Area 51/S4.
Bushman is unwilling to respond with anything more than a
nonanswer-answer, instead asking if Sereda has ever seen "that
guy Bob Lazar explaining UFO propulsion" before. And Boyd's got
a sparkle in his eye and a little smile on his lips.

'sure!' David replies, like Wile E. Coyote standing at the
precipice of a ledge with an ACME rocket strapped to his back
and holding a lit match to its fuse.

Because, to take a side road down toward bizarre-Lazar land,
let's be honest here: Lazar claimed false postgraduate
credentials at MIT and Cal Tech; he claimed a position at Los
Alamos when it turned out he was just a technician working
through a contract agency; he claimed that UFOs were powered by
a stable element 115 long before it had been synthesized, when -
in fact - after synthesis in 2003 it was found to be unstable
with a half life of ~200ms. The guy is less credible than a cat

burglar caught holding lock picks in a safety deposit vault, while claiming to the police he was just using them to scrape his nails clean. Big. Red. Flag.

Getting back to the story with Sereda and Bushman...

Well, Bushman replies, after referring to Lazar, "watch this!"

Bushman then takes a nonconductive tube and a conductive tube (I think copper) and drops cylindrical neodymium magnets down each. Well, low and behold, down the nonconductive tube it drops, accelerating at $1g$ just like it ought on planet Earth. But, down the copper tube, it strangely slows down. Verrrrry weird.

Sereda is quite impressed. "Wow!"

And now the fuse to that ACME rocket strapped to Sereda's back is lit.

But lets transition to Mr T. A. Manic's video explaining Lenz's Law. It just so happens that our T. A. Manic demonstrates exactly the same phenomenon using one copper tube, one glass tube, and two magnets. Down the glass tube the magnet falls at the expected rate. And yet down the copper tube ... whoa ... it falls slowly! Just like Bushman's demonstration did. Only Mr. T. A. Manic very quickly and very loudly - because he's manic - explains that this is due to a rotating current imparted to the tube by the magnet which causes a kinetic reaction. He then goes and calculates this effect across three different scenarios just so students get the idea how to apply the math behind Lenz's Law. Success in learning!

Exactly the same demonstration.

Getting back to Bushman and Sereda, Mr Bushman then shows a coil wound around a torrus and places it atop a conducting aluminum plate. He applies high voltage and current and low and behold... it jumps! Anti-Gravity!!!

Sereda is shocked! "Why, how did you do that!?!?!"

That burning fuse is getting mighty close the base of Sereda's ACME rocket... Pretty soon now!

JUMP CUT (the obvious question where Sereda asks Bushman to show him the effect with that electromagnet placed on top of a glass coffee table thus edited out).

Sereda and Bushman move to a discussion about how Mr Bushman drilled out a hole between two neodymium magnets and then ran a threaded bolt between the two pointing at the same poles. As we know, with magnets opposites attract but the same poles repel. So, Bushman says he used nuts to screw down the magnets close together. It was difficult work. Then there was silliness about putting this contraption inside a faux rock that we'll ignore, but which is funny all on it's own.

"Well," Bushman says to Sereda, "you know about Galileo's experiment at the Leaning Tower of Pisa. Right?"

"Sure!"

"So I dropped my contraption and a rock at the same time and guess what happened."

"Nooooo!!!" Sereda replied, now flying off the ledge under the power of his ACME rocket.

"Yup! The two magnets fell much slower than the rock!"

"Wow!"

"See this," Bushman continued, as he held out a sheet of paper with writing the camera can't discern. "This is a paper signed by someone you've never met who will attest to the fact that he witnessed this event once and that proves anti-gravity!"

"Of course! ANTI-GRAVITY!" Sereda exclaims. "My Lord, we're closer than I thought!"

And now Sereda is well off the cliff and high in the air, but the ACME rocket has long since run out of juice. Sereda just hasn't realized it yet. But this is cartoon land, so, unlike in the real world, he's not falling yet. Soon enough, though, the desert ground will come and smack him with a _poof_!

There concludes my synopsis of the Sereda video interview of Bushman. Which, for anyone who watches, will realize - though tongue in cheek - is reasonably accurate to the events depicted therein.

Now I'm going to speculate. I honestly believe that Boyd Bushman was trolling a gullible David Sereda and the entire UFO community. I don't believe he's a "disinformation specialist". This is altogether too stupid for that. Instead, I think the whole thing is the kind of old-guy prank that's meant to rib Sereda and anyone else who'll fall for it. He'll forward YouTube links of the interview to his friends, showing them all those craaaazy UFO folks who take it seriously, while he and his friends, who all took undergraduate physics 101, laugh and laugh and laugh. Seriously. That's what I think. And that's coming from someone who's no professional physicist, so take it for what you will.

Here's a prediction: Those magnets and a rock, when dropped at the same time from the same height, will land on level ground at the same time; within a reasonable margin of error. Betcha. And I don't say that out of humor or with disrespect, Eleanor.

-M

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [May](#) > [May 12](#)

Re: David Sereda's Diminished Gravity Demo?

From: J. Maynard Gelinas <j.maynard.gelinas.nul>
Date: Sun, 12 May 2013 20:18:27 +0800
Archived: Sun, 12 May 2013 08:49:06 -0400
Subject: Re: David Sereda's Diminished Gravity Demo?

>From: Eleanor White <ewraven1.nul>
>To: [post.nul](#)
>Date: Sat, 11 May 2013 16:40:50 -0400
>Subject: Re: David Sereda's Diminished Gravity Demo?

>>From: J. Maynard Gelinas <j.maynard.gelinas.nul>
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[post.nul](#)>
>>Date: Sat, 11 May 2013 23:16:30 +0800
>>Subject: Re: David Sereda's Diminished Gravity Demo?

>>>From: Eleanor White <ewraven1.nul>
>>>To: [post.nul](#)
>>>Date: Fri, 10 May 2013 17:49:29 -0400
>>>Subject: Re: David Sereda's Diminished Gravity Demo?

>>>>From: J. Maynard Gelinas <j.maynard.gelinas.nul>
>>>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[post.nul](#)>
>>>>Date: Fri, 10 May 2013 18:43:55 +0800
>>>>Subject: Re: David Sereda's Diminished Gravity Demo?

><snip>

>Thanks for your reply and all the detailed commentary and links.

>I'd rather just address the specifics of the experiment, though:

<snip>

My point is that there is no experiment. There's a piece of paper Mr Bushman holds up to Sereda, the text of which the camera doesn't show, that Bushman claims some person signed as an affidavit for having witnessed the test. But that's not an experiment. There's no multiple runs, no public data showing any measured change, no graphs of the data presented. There are no replications. There is no formal description for how to replicate. There are no publications in peer reviewed journals.

Nothing!

I stand by the comments, in the previous post, I made.

-M

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [May](#) > [May 13](#)

Re: David Sereda's Diminished Gravity Demo?

From: William Treurniet <wtreurniet.nul>
Date: Sun, 12 May 2013 13:30:55 -0400
Archived: Mon, 13 May 2013 06:01:21 -0400
Subject: Re: David Sereda's Diminished Gravity Demo?

>From: J. Maynard Gelinus <j.maynard.gelinus.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>Date: Sat, May 11, 2013 at 11:16 PM
>Subject: Re: David Sereda's Diminished Gravity Demo?

>>From: Eleanor White <ewraven1.nul>
>>To: post.nul
>>Date: Fri, 10 May 2013 17:49:29 -0400
>>Subject: Re: David Sereda's Diminished Gravity Demo?

>>>From: J. Maynard Gelinus <j.maynard.gelinus.nul>
>>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>>>Date: Fri, 10 May 2013 18:43:55 +0800
>>>Subject: Re: David Sereda's Diminished Gravity Demo?

>>>>From: William Treurniet <wtreurniet.nul>
>>>>To: post.nul
>>>>Date: Tue, 19 Mar 2013 08:36:25 -0400
>>>>Subject: Re: David Sereda's Diminished Gravity Demo?

<snip>

The above says that the scenario that Bushman describes is the same as Mr. Manic's, but it's not as simple as that. In Mr. Manic's scenario, the magnet is dropped down a copper tube, and a magnetic force opposing the motion comes with the current induced in the copper tube. In Mr. Bushman's case, the magnets are not dropped down a tube but appear to be dropped in air down a stairwell or elevator shaft. There is a possibility that current was induced in the metal of the building, and the field resulting from that current might then have slowed the fall of the magnets.

But also, the fields are different in the two cases. Mr. Manic dropped a single magnet down a copper tube, while Bushman dropped the more complex magnetic field of the two magnets bound together down either the stairwell or elevator shaft. It is not at all obvious that the two scenarios are equivalent. Certainly, there is sufficient ambiguity that we cannot say for sure that Lenz's Law can explain Bushman's claimed effect. Would the induced field from the building infrastructure have been strong enough to retard the velocity of the magnets?

The following quote was apparently invented to minimize Bushman's claims since, as far as I can tell, he makes no such statement in the video.

>"See this," Bushman continued, as he held out a sheet of paper >with writing the camera can't discern. "This is a paper signed >by someone you've never met who will attest to the fact that he >witnessed this event once and that proves anti-gravity!"

Bushman does say that he has signed statements from the nine witnesses that the effect occurred as described. Of course, according to scientific protocol, the statements and the document describing the experiment are not evidence until they appear in the public domain so that the experiment can be replicated.

But just the video description might prompt someone not bound by

secrecy agreements to try the experiment, especially considering that Lenz's Law may not apply. It should be clear to anyone who looks that gravity R&D has not been addressed publicly for a long time now.

William

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [May](#) > [May 13](#)

Re: David Sereda's Diminished Gravity Demo?

From: Eleanor White <ewraven1.nul>
Date: Sun, 12 May 2013 18:22:02 -0400
Archived: Mon, 13 May 2013 06:03:06 -0400
Subject: Re: David Sereda's Diminished Gravity Demo?

>From: J. Maynard Gelinas <j.maynard.gelinas.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>Date: Sun, 12 May 2013 20:18:27 +0800
>Subject: Re: David Sereda's Diminished Gravity Demo?

<snip>

>My point is that there is no experiment. There's a piece of
>paper Mr Bushman holds up to Sereda, the text of which the
>camera doesn't show, that Bushman claims some person signed as
>an affidavit for having witnessed the test. But that's not an
>experiment. There's no multiple runs, no public data showing any
>measured change, no graphs of the data presented. There are no
>replications. There is no formal description for how to
>replicate. There are no publications in peer reviewed journals.

>Nothing!

So no one has ever tried this experiment.

I guess the next question is, has anyone tried this with
witnesses willing to go on record? It doesn't seem like an
extreme amount of effort would be needed.

Eleanor White

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [May](#) > [May 13](#)

Pulling Some UFO UpDates 'Threads' Together

From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
Date: Mon, 13 May 2013 01:43:19 +0100
Archived: Mon, 13 May 2013 06:07:53 -0400
Subject: Pulling Some UFO UpDates 'Threads' Together

Hello List,

A while ago got to reading past posts on this List (eats up time - good stuff pulls you in).

Long-running debates keep cropping up. Maybe we could divide them into :

- 1) the ETH ('ET' or _empty_ nuts 'n' bolts craft?) versus non-corporeal ('trickster' or myth 'n' legend? [A.J.'s query])
- 2) 'high strangeness' (exotic radiation or mind control) versus 'observer effect' (UFO 'attractors' or pre/post mental damage/psychiatry).

Today found a must-read memo for 'The Metaphysics of Science - An Account of Modern Science in Terms of Principles, Laws and Theories' by Craig Dilworth; (here's the Springer description:

<http://tinyurl.com/caezhwo>).

Looking at other pro+con reviews found why it's a must-read. (in the 'Metapsychology' review:

<http://tinyurl.com/d2cju2o>).

- a) "The core principles of causation is given a non-supernaturalist but not necessarily physicalist interpretation at one point (p. 57) but it is unclear what non-physicalist interpretations Dilworth think the principles imply, as I discuss below.

In his comparison of Western science with parapsychology, magic, Chinese science, and spiritual claims of Western religions, he states that Western science has adopted an exclusively physical understanding of uniformity of nature, substance, and causation. Dilworth seems open to non-physical interpretations of the core principles from studies of these alternatives".

- which seems to have a bearing on our first debate.

- b) "Dilworth omits consideration of psychology or psychiatry as sciences. It would be useful to clarify the ways his account distinguishes accounts of mind he presumably accepts as scientific from those he thinks non-scientific".

- which appealed to me personally (see Buckle on 'psychology' at www.perceptions.couk.com/buckle1.html) and certainly seems to apply to our second debate.

BTW - reading between the lines, Dilworth seems almost equally sceptical of the scientific standing of some other 'social sciences' - like economics. I'll go with that.

Cheers

Ray D

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [May](#) > [May 13](#)

'Alien Communication: A Plausible Telepathic Mode'

From: J. Maynard Gelinas <j.maynard.gelinas.nul>
Date: Mon, 13 May 2013 16:35:55 +0800
Archived: Mon, 13 May 2013 06:12:12 -0400
Subject: 'Alien Communication: A Plausible Telepathic Mode'

Here is an interesting paper I found on WebMedCentral while conducting searches. Titled Alien Communication: A Plausible Telepathic Mode, by Dr. Harinder Jaseja and Ms. Bhanu Jaseja. He appears to be a physician from India.

http://www.webmedcentral.com/article_view/3028

The author first points to known brain temporal lobe effects by epilepsy and magnetic cranial stimulation to cause visual / auditory hallucinations and religious or spiritual experiences. But rather than drawing the typical conclusion that Occam's Razor would thus suggest UFO and alien communication experiences are thus hallucinatory, he instead draws a nexus to directed EM by alien intelligences as an alternate explanation. It is built upon five primary points within a logic chain, the most interesting being the last, which I'll excerpt. But the entire paper is worth a read, if only because it somehow got published and then indexed as peer reviewed literature.

"5. Postulated temporal lobe mediated telepathic mode in alien communication

The role of TL in language and speech is well recognized and documented. TL is important for language (linguistic) functions also and linguistic deficits have been observed in some patients with chronic mesial TLE [16]. Speech disturbances have been reported earlier also by Blumer and Benson in TLE [17].

Telepathy is the direct transfer of thoughts amongst humans without the involvement of normal sensory modes of transfer. It is a recognized mode of communication, although, not widely trusted upon; hence, the fluency, potential and efficiency of this mode of communication is not subjected to development as other conventional modes of communication like speech, body language etc; hence, it may be viewed as an extrasensory or paranormal ability.

It is suggested that EM field(s) can alter paranormal abilities [18], possibly telepathy also; therefore, telepathy may be related to EM field implying that the medium of telepathy could be EM radiation. In an earlier article [19] also, it has been suggested how telepathy may be mediated via EM fields.

The temporal lobes are believed to be quite sensitive to extremely low magnetic frequencies [20] and geomagnetic activity interaction with TL neuronal activity has been found to result in paranormal experiences similar to mystic experiences observed during electrical stimulation of the temporal lobes (as mentioned earlier in section 3). Further, it has been demonstrated that EM fields can trigger hallucinations and even temporal lobe microseizures [21-22].

Damage of temporal lobe(s) results in a large number of signs and symptoms, several of which show striking similarity to that reported by people who claim encounters with alien(s) [23].

Most subjects who claim to have experienced alien encounters and/or encounters have been found to suffer electrical

disruption in the brain including TL and similar "flashbacks" throughout life; the flashbacks could be due to ongoing or periodic bombardment of EM radiation pulses on human brain, the possibility of which needs to be borne in mind especially in view of studies on subjects exposed to external EM radiation who report experiences very similar to standard abductions. (ref)

This raises a fairly logical question of possible and potential ability in aliens to utilize EM radiation as a mode of telecommunication or at least a valid reason to explore the same.

Is it likely or possible that EM radiation may be sensed, analyzed and interpreted by some technological advancement attained by the aliens? And also to determine the nature and sources of EM radiation differentially? This feature needs to be delved into for the purpose of exploring the reasons of failure at communication with aliens till to date, as it could have repelled them from communicating with us or kept them hidden/unrevealed from us.

Another aspect that warrants an insightful study is the possibility that aliens might also have mastered the art of telepathy that may be involved in alien encounters and so-called abductions.

Further, do alien encounters actually depict alien communication with the victims through distant or remote telepathy or stimulation of the victims' temporal lobes as the stimulation of latter is known to result in "experiential illusions" that may be perceived by the victims as "alien encounters" or "abductions". A remote but valid possibility."

Jaseja later concludes, wondering if, "...a telepathically induced epidemic of mentally deranged mass population (an alien telepathic-war), a serious and grave but hopefully imaginary threat that cannot be ignored altogether."

Best,

-M

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [May](#) > [May 14](#)

The Momentum Question - Cox

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <post.nul>
Date: Tue, 14 May 2013 05:20:45 -0400
Archived: Tue, 14 May 2013 05:20:45 -0400
Subject: The Momentum Question - Cox

Source: Billy Cox's Blog De Void

<http://tinyurl.com/chysnth>

Friday, May 10, 2013

The Momentum Question
by Billy Cox

With the sort of hyperbole that characterized much of his political career, former U.S. Sen. Mike Gravel told ABC/Yahoo News that the testimony of Air Force veterans reporting UFO activity over American nuclear bases was "the smoking gun" of last week's Citizen Hearing on Disclosure. A smoking gun, of course, requires a bit more documentation than the summary evidence delivered in Washington (although there's plenty of other data, too detailed for a brief oral presentation). Nevertheless, the 82-year-old former Democratic/Libertarian presidential candidate certainly identified the most dangerously ignored aspect of UFO behavior, i.e., a purported ability to override American missile command systems.

Front and center of the nuke controversy was retired Air Force captain Robert Salas, who for nearly 20 years - against strong cultural currents of indifference and ridicule - has relentlessly attempted to steer the debate into the mainstream. In fact, Salas shared his first-hand account of a 1967 Minuteman missile shutdown at Malmstrom AFB at the exact same National Press Club venue in 2010; yet, as Gravel and five other former Capitol Hill colleagues made clear, last week was the first they'd ever heard of the incidents, which have produced an anecdotal legacy of recurring patterns.

Salas has been a critic of Citizen Hearing organizer Steve Bassett and initially declined an invitation to participate. Yet, the potential for bringing his story to larger audiences proved impossible to resist, and to maximize the impact, Salas convinced two other USAF veterans, former Tech. Sgt. David Scott and Capt. David Schindele to submit their own testimony to the panelists. Salas' reservations about attending were at least partially borne out, especially after watching disclosure advocate Steven Greer's documentary Sirius.

"That turned out to be a big bust. I've got a master's degree in engineering and I couldn't understand what Greer and (Dr. Tom) Valone were trying to say about how UFOs needed an inertial shield to make those 90-degree turns," Salas said. "It sounded like a lot of malarkey."

[More at site...]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [May](#) > [May 15](#)

Re: Critique Of Dolan's Sourcing & Conclusions

From: Jason Gammon <boyinthemachine.nul>
Date: Tue, 14 May 2013 15:13:34 -0400 (EDT)
Archived: Wed, 15 May 2013 07:33:48 -0400
Subject: Re: Critique Of Dolan's Sourcing & Conclusions

>From: David Rudiak <drudiak.nul>
>To: [post.nul](#)
>Date: Mon, 13 May 2013 13:41:31 -0700
>Subject: Re: Critique Of Dolan's Sourcing & Conclusions

>>From: Jason Gammon <boyinthemachine.nul>
>>To: [post.nul](#)
>>Date: Sat, 11 May 2013 01:54:37 -0400 (EDT)
>>Subject: Re: Critique Of Dolan's Sourcing & Conclusions

>The day is rapidly approaching when humans will have to make a
>choice between being masters of their fate or giving up control
>to the A-I machine, perhaps becoming extinct in the process. I
>don't think this is going to happen in Ray Kurzweil's time frame
>of only another 2 or 3 decades, but it could happen within the
>next 100 years. It could even be the case that humans are not
>replaced by the machines but become the machines, if it were
>possible by downloading their memories and personalities into
>them. This would be another way of achieving near immortality,
>but at a price of probably losing the things we cherish about
>being human, our positive emotions like love, sex, children,
>etc., things organics need for survival, but do machines? Do we
>really want to give those things up?

There are conscious choices and unconscious choices. Humans have
already made the choice to merge with machines. The only
question now is if the process of merging with machines
progresses slowly or is 'rushed'. The slower the better. The
slower the less likely humanity would consciously understand
what is taking place. Then there is the question of whether or
not merging with machines would be forced upon people.
Regardless, the end result is those who merge with machines,
becoming cyborgs or uploading their consciousness into machines,
will naturally take over. Those humans who reject the merger
will be pushed further and further to the edges of society. When
the day comes when pure humans contribute absolutely nothing to
society then that is when their survival is most at stake. They
could easily be viewed as nuisances, pests. It is then a
friendly 'god' will have to take care of them, perhaps preparing
a planet in advance and moving select humans to the planet where
humanity can begin anew. For all we know that is our own origin.

>No doubt other organic species will have confronted these same
>issues. I wouldn't be willing to bet that all of them will opt
>to be machines or make themselves extinct. Therefore I don't
>doubt that machine intelligence could be behind some UFOs, but
>not necessarily all UFOs. Some UFOs could be controlled by
>organics; some by machines and cyborgs. Or organics could still
>ultimately be behind the UFO phenomenon, but control the
>machines, using the machines as their agents because machines
>can handle space travel better.

I think you missed the point here. The very same future we face
has played out elsewhere in the Universe. If an organic species
elsewhere created advanced A.I. and that A.I. upgraded it's
intelligence multitudes more advanced than it's creators then
the end result is the same. There will come a day when the
organic species input is no longer required, or wanted, and the
machines are in control. I've already stated this several times

now. Deep space belongs to machines, not to organic species.

>>I think as long as I have been active on this list I have
>>practically begged researchers to turn their attention to AI,
>>posthumans, advanced cyborgs, etc., and abandon this all-too-
>>human 'star trek' fantasy of organic species from elsewhere
>>attempting to live in harmony across the vastness of deep
>>space.

>The concept of the space ships themselves, not just occupants,
>being living machines, part organic, conscious, intelligent, and
>self-directed has been a theme in sci-fi, such as Star Trek and
>Battlestar Galactica. In the latter, the Cylons begin as more
>classic metallic robots that become self-aware and revolt, then
>quickly develop humanoid agents (no doubt using human DNA) that
>are almost indistinguishable from humans, but are augmented by
>superior strength and some other abilities through implants.
>They don't have childhoods, but come fully grown with memories,
>including false ones of being human and growing up human in some
>cases (sleeper agents). They were essentially human in having
>emotions, religion, and desiring human sex and children. In the
>end, that is all the humanoid Cylons want to be and they
>interbreed with the remaining humans.

Yes, I've mentioned the Cylons several times over the years.
They are grown in artificial wombs, very similar to reports of
'alien fetuses' from abductees.

>>These UFOs behave as if they are alive. I would suggest they
>>are, as in advanced A.I.

>Their Cylon spaceship fighters are conscious, self-directed
>beings with squishy insides and no crew. They can be killed, but
>like the other Cylons, their memories can be downloaded before
>they cease to exist and they are resurrected on special ships
>into new bodies, over and over again.

>It is interesting that Kenneth Arnold himself, upon sighting his
>second bunch of UFOs, thought they behaved more like living
>beings than simple aircraft. If he was right, then _some_ UFOs
>might indeed be some sort of living machine intelligence. On the
>other hand, others, sighted at close quarters, seem more like
>classical metallic aircraft, sometimes with humanoid occupants.
>The question arises why you would need the machine humanoids, if
>that is what they are, if the craft itself could be intelligent
>and carry out the same tasks as the crew.

Having a drone force could pose an advantage, especially if the
objective involves operations on earth or another planet. The
drones would be expendable. I wrote a post I think way back in
2006 on how the grays could be created using human sperm and
ova. The would be cyborgs, controlled by the machines as a work
force. The apparent 'fetus-like' features could even be a result
of the speeding up of physical development or growth, preventing
the formation of adult features. The process may also involve
genetic manipulation. The beings most likely contain a 'yolk
sack' of sorts, perhaps a more highly developed liver or other
organ that stores their nourishment. When the 'yolk sack' is
depleted, the beings die.

>>The aliens' telepathy betrays them as potential cyborgs,

>Well, not necessarily. This could be a technology, or it could
>be some ability that some organics evolve, with humans having
>only a weak form of it, that perhaps could be augmented in the
>future with a technology. So either way, the aliens could still
>be telepathic organics.

There are no organic species on earth that use telepathy as a
primary means of communication. There is no scientific
understand how telepathy could even function. The belief that
telepathy is a naturally evolved process is one of those beliefs
associated with the 'star trek' fantasy. Believers will point to
cases of human telepathy, even though such cases are no where
near the level of abilities displayed by aliens. The easiest
explanation is that the telepathy displayed by aliens is via
technology, not evolution.

>>not the crude cyborgs which pop into the human mind upon hearing
>>the word cyborg, but beings that are "born" cyborgs, grown in
>>raised in artificial wombs. Perhaps they may even be

>>indistinguishable from normal humans. With the abduction
>>phenomenon I can't help but see advanced A.I.'s perceiving human
>>beings as a resource to be tapped.

>Maybe create the equivalent of the humanoid Cylons of Galactica
>using human DNA to create hybrids. They look like us, can
>survive in Earth's biosphere, but they think like "them" and
>have enhanced abilities, perhaps telepathy. They would probably
>also be controlled by "them", whoever "they" are, which could be
>some super machine intelligence ultimately pulling the strings.

The humanoid models of Cylons are not created from human DNA.
They are completely synthetic, but so perfect that even under the
microscope they appear human.

Put yourself in the 'shoes' of an advanced A.I. You are creating
beings you will use as workforce. These being could be fully
robotic, cyborgs, or even purely organic. All three would require
some level of intelligence on their own. However, it would be in
your best interests if you write your own self into their
programming so that you can take over when needed. In the
very least it would be in your best interest to create an interface
which would allow you to control the beings at your will. Of
course with organic species it would be handled differently
and would involve genetic manipulation.

>>So I'm in a position where I personally feel as if I've been
>>given a glimpse of the future which I have attempted to share
>>many times with people. Just my luck people would rather
>>flock to the likes of Greer and other who still promote this
>>'Star Trek' nonsense. If I had a million dollars I would
>>happily bet that the main intelligence behind the UFO
>>phenomenon is not an organic species from elsewhere. It would
>>have to be an extremely advanced machine intelligence that has
>>operated almost invisible amongst us because few of us have
>>'eyes to see and ears to hear'.

>Jason, I have been following your interesting speculations for
>some time about machine intelligence, which could ultimately be
>the "horrible secret" behind the UFO phenomenon, perhaps the
>reason this is being kept secret from the public. Other organics
>we might be able to relate to, but machine intelligence might
>have zero empathy for us and be totally beyond our
>comprehension. Being totally under the thumb of machines could
>be too horrifying for most people.

Yes, I've also spent a very long time speculating if this is the
reason why the UFO phenomenon is covered-up, not because
of who they are, but what they are.

>On the other hand, they have had some time to wipe us out.
>Ordinarily people are not physically harmed by their close
>encounters (though may suffer psychological trauma). Usually
>they evade pursuit rather than fight. So usually they are not
>overtly hostile and show some respect for our status as
>intelligent, conscious beings. But maybe they just see us as a
>biological resource like cattle, not to be wiped out, but to be
>exploited genetically or for other reasons. Maybe the machines
>enjoy on some level watching our primitive shenanigans. Even
>machines might need entertainment. Or maybe they have a lot of
>time on their hands and need a project to justify their
>existence, perhaps nurturing new intelligence into existence.

Perhaps. It could also be they have plans for us and those plans
involve us needing to be a bit more technologically advanced
than we are now. Perhaps they are playing the waiting game.
Perhaps they are actively helping us with technology to speed
the process up. Who knows?

>Machine intelligence may have the same existential problems
>humans have, perhaps universal among any intelligent, conscious
>entity: Why am I here? Is there any purpose to my existence? I
>know and have done everything - what's the point of continued
>existence? Or, "to be, or not
>to be."

>>Let's also not assume that the occupants reported aboard UFOs
>>are the creators or are in control. Such being could have
>>easily been created by such machine intelligences to act as
>>drones. They may be nothing more than extensions of this
>>machine intelligence, with the A.I built into their bodies or

>>at least capable of interfacing>with them in order to
>>manipulate them, perhaps like puppets.

>Agreed, also in my comments above.

>>I guess this stuff is so complex and confusing that it's
>>simply just easier to believe the Star Trek fantasy. I can't
>>remember who said it first, but some bright mind once said
>>that the first intelligent alien we will meet will be a
>>machine intelligence that is at the very least, equal to
>>humans in intelligence. -Jason Gammon

>Unfortunately, without something like recovered craft and bodies
>available for public inspection, anything could conceivably be
>>true and we are reduced to speculation. There is just no way for
>ordinary folk like you or I to test these ideas.

>David Rudiak

That's a defeatist attitude, David. We can use our brains. We can think like machines and rank the likelihood of possibilities. For example, the possibility that alien telepathy is due to technology, and would imply the beings are cyborgs is far more likely than the telepathy being a naturally evolved ability. Although it's not definitive it does serve to help shed light on the phenomenon and steer people in the right direction. But we have to clear our minds of the Star-Trek nonsense first. My gut instinct tell me that those who have access to crash material know only a slight more than we who are not privy to those secrets. I want to burn them even more and take the piss out of them by helping us 'catch up' as much as possible.

-Jason Gammon

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [May](#) > [May 15](#)

Re: Critique Of Dolan's Sourcing & Conclusions

From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
Date: Tue, 14 May 2013 22:04:58 +0100
Archived: Wed, 15 May 2013 07:39:20 -0400
Subject: Re: Critique Of Dolan's Sourcing & Conclusions

>From: David Rudiak <drudiak.nul>
>To: [post.nul](#)
>Date: Mon, 13 May 2013 13:41:31 -0700
>Subject: Re: Critique Of Dolan's Sourcing & Conclusions

>>From: Jason Gammon <boyinthemachine.nul>
>>To: [post.nul](#)
>>Date: Sat, 11 May 2013 01:54:37 -0400 (EDT)
>>Subject: Re: Critique Of Dolan's Sourcing & Conclusions

>>>From: J. Maynard Gelinas <j.maynard.gelinas.nul>
>>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[post.nul](#)>
>>>Date: Fri, 10 May 2013 12:34:58 +0800
>>>Subject: Critique Of Dolan's Sourcing & Conclusions

<snip>

>Unfortunately, without something like recovered craft and bodies
>available for public inspection, anything could conceivably be
>>true and we are reduced to speculation.
>There is just no way for ordinary folk like you or I to test
>these ideas.

Hello David, Jason And Maynard,

You can include me in that last sentence - however a Bayesian
analysis of the huge amount of (apocryphal) evidence could
present a thesis (and antithesis) for consideration.

As posted a few years ago:

<http://www.ufoupdateslist.com/2008/may/m01-012.shtml>

Early last century there was an apparent breakthrough among some
thinkers reappraising humans' position in the universe.

Vladimir Ilyich Lenin (our image of him was almost wholly created
by Stalin, his brutal and manipulative successor) met with the
famous 'science-fiction' writer H.G Wells in 1920.

Here's the thesis:-

Apparently Lenin told Wells - "I understand this myself when I
read your novel The Time Machine. All human conceptions are on a
scale of our planet. They are based on the pretension that the
technical potential, although it will develop, will never exceed
the 'terrestrial limit'. If we succeed in establishing
interplanetary communication, all our philosophical, moral and
social views will have to be revised. In this case, the
technical potential, become limitless, would impose the end of
the role of violence as a means and method of progress."

And indeed from then onwards, Lenin, who had already campaigned
against anti-Semitism and injustice, began his New Economic
Policy which allowed private enterprise, banned State control of
prices and wages and started democratizing local gov't in Russia
and then in the new Soviet Union (all those innovations were
later destroyed by Stalin).

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Vladimir_Lenin#1920-22

So here comes the antithesis:

Given that some rulers and thinkers - epitomized by Lenin and Wells - were becoming aware of a new cosmic reality, others - like Stalin and some newly powerful western rulers - who wished to retain and amplify the use of violence, would have to suppress such thoughts in the population, and later, to withhold and suppress any evidence of (Lenin's) "interplanetary communication". That might've begun the establishment's habit of damning all progressive thought as `science-fiction' worthy only of ridicule, and their blanket denial of all UFO evidence.

Which is where we are right now.

Any really advanced entities, organic or AI, will presumably have knowledge of other and earlier-discovered `primitives' ruled by self-appointed thugs, thieves and mass-murderers. Therefore their approach to communicating with them would probably not include dealings with such rulers. And much of the evidence supports this.

But, as Admiral Hill-Norton warned: "There's probably more than one civilization visiting us, each with different methods and motives." (paraphrased)

Cheers

Ray D

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [May](#) > [May 15](#)

Re: The Momentum Question - Cox

From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
Date: Wed, 15 May 2013 04:08:55 +0100
Archived: Wed, 15 May 2013 07:43:26 -0400
Subject: Re: The Momentum Question - Cox

>Source: Billy Cox's Blog De Void

><http://tinyurl.com/chysnth>

>Friday, May 10, 2013

>The Momentum Question
>by Billy Cox

<snip>

>"That turned out to be a big bust. I've got a master's degree in
>engineering and I couldn't understand what Greer and (Dr. Tom)
>Valone were trying to say about how UFOs needed an inertial
>shield to make those 90-degree turns," Salas said. "It sounded
>like a lot of malarkey."
<snip>

By his heading think Billy Cox knows a little more of physical reality than Robert Salas appeared to in that quote.

Say you're driving along at one hundred miles per hour on a straight road, passing cross-roads every now and then.

You suddenly decide that your car is magic and can make a 90 degree turn right at the next crossroad.

Think about it: that means your car has to go from 100 mph to ZERO mph/ turn right and get to 100 mph - ALL IN ONE INSTANT.

Now think about your body: it will hit the windscreen/steering column/fascia at 100 miles per hour and then hit the left-hand side of the compartment (door and surrounds) again at 100 miles per hour - all in one instant.

That's inertia (momentum).

BTW - the term 'inertial shield' wasn't invented by Greer or Valone

Cheers

Ray D

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [May](#) > [May 15](#)

New Zealand Black Triangle Sighting

From: **Geoff Blackmore** <geoff_184.nul>
Date: Wed, 15 May 2013 21:10:18 +1200
Archived: Wed, 15 May 2013 07:45:56 -0400
Subject: New Zealand Black Triangle Sighting

Report of what sounds like a classic "black triangle" sighting,
in New Zealand, on 25th March, 2013.

<http://tinyurl.com/b9335s4>

Regards,

Geoff B.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [May](#) > [May 15](#)

Dr. Eric W. Davis' UFO Statements @ SSE Lecture

From: J. Maynard Gelinas <j.maynard.gelinas.nul>
Date: Wed, 15 May 2013 16:18:40 +0800
Archived: Wed, 15 May 2013 07:54:36 -0400
Subject: Dr. Eric W. Davis' UFO Statements @ SSE Lecture

Dr. Eric W. Davis, Of NASA's Breakthrough Propulsion Physics Project, Discussed UFOs During Lecture
By J. Maynard Gelinas

Dr. Eric W. Davis, formerly a contract research physicist at NASA's Breakthrough Propulsion Physics Project, which ran from 1996 through to 2002, gave a lecture in 2010 where he responded to the question of UFOs as they pertain to potential physics for traversable wormholes, warp drives, and anti-gravity. Sponsored by the Society for Scientific Exploration, the lecture was about a then recently published book, *Frontiers of Propulsion Science*, that discussed discoveries from the NASA project. After the lecture, during a question and answer session, one questioner asked whether, "...properties of UFOs had guided the thinking and research of that initiative." In responding to the question, Dr. Davis said, "Secretly yes and overtly no."

In giving a reason for the secrecy, he stated that, "UFOs don't have credibility with mainstream academic researchers," and that, "...policy makers and decision-makers in charge of funding and programatics ... don't want to hear the topic of UFOs. So, for the purpose of doing this officially, [we didn't bring in UFOs], but we did consider it under the table."

Dr. Davis was a technical consultant to the NASA project. He obtained a PhD in Astrophysics from the University of Arizona in 1991, was a cofounder of a joint NASA-JSC's Advanced Deep Space Transport Technology Assessment group studying advanced propulsion physics, and has authored several papers on zero-point vacuum physics for propulsion as well as the potential of traversable wormholes.

Delving further into the subject, Dr. Davis noted that some had, "...looked at all the physical events and physical descriptions [of UFO data] provided by researchers such as [Jaques Vallee, George Hathaway, and the unnamed questioner]." He described two events that took place at the NIDS Utah Ranch of, "...one scientist and one researcher seeing a wormhole, what looked like a wormhole, with a creature crawling through." In the second event, "...the ranch owners had seen an opening in the sky in broad daylight with a triangular craft that came through it."

Dr. Davis then compared these observations with known wormhole physics. "...that's an example of data that indicates a wormhole involved. Geometry tells you what a wormhole looks like when it intersects our space. It appears as [an intensely bright] point of light and then, as the intersection gets larger and larger, it opens up and you begin to see the hole." At that point, he noted, objects could traverse the wormhole.

Discussing the matter of UFOs and warp drives, Dr. Davis stated that, "We haven't seen performances that adhere to the warp drive because ...basically it's just between stars and we don't see UFOs warping anywhere. We see them doing ninety-degree turns and rapid motions. They disappear and reappear. That is undetermined yet."

He finally commented on the matter of anti-gravity, "...we have anti-gravity in Einstein's General Theory of Relativity. ...

There are aspects of anti-gravity, the properties of negative vacuum energy, that would create a repulsive force that allows something to levitate up. And UFOs do exhibit that."

In explaining how, he referred to Dr. Hal Puthoff's Polarized Vacuum Model where, "...instead of space-time [in traditional Relativity], you envision space-time as a polarized vacuum of a quantum zero point fluctuations. And, if you exert a field on that medium, the fluctuations are polarized, [and] that creates a space-time bending effect similar to warp drive and wormholes. We see that in aspects of what's predicted."

The Breakthrough Propulsion Physics Project was disbanded at NASA in 2002 due to funding shortfalls. Dr. Davis is now a research physicist at the Institute for Advanced Studies-Austin, and is CEO of the company Warp Drive Metrics. He is currently scheduled to speak at the 2013 MUFON (Mutual UFO Network) symposium.

Transcript from vide at:

http://www.youtube.com/watch?feature=3Dplayer_embedded&v=3DxDxlp0_apZU

Start: 37m30s

Audience Question: Is there any thought to using the known properties of UFOs as a guide to your thinking and research?

Dr. Eric W. Davis: The answer is yes and no. Secretly, yes and overtly no. Because the mainstream academics don't like the topic of UFOs and they really don't have any credibility with them, especially with policy-makers and decision-makers in charge of funding and programatics. They don't want to hear the topics of UFOs. So, for the purpose of doing this officially [we didn't bring in UFOs], but we did consider it under the table.

And yes, there is a subset of us who have looked at UFO data. And we've looked at all the physical events and physical descriptions that have been provided by investigators from Jacques Vallee to yourself, and whatnot, and George Hathaway and so forth. And we've been able to use that data as input to give us an idea, and that data does drive the concepts that we did derive later on [when we did] the book and went into the original NASA program. Like warp drives and wormholes. For example, at the NIDS Utah Ranch, where I used to work for Bob Bigelow for six years, we had the experience of one scientist and one investigator seeing a wormhole what looked like a wormhole with a creature crawling through, and then the ranch owners had seen an opening in the sky in broad daylight with a triangular craft that came through it. Well, that's an example of data that indicates there's a wormhole involved. I mean, geometry tells you what a wormhole looks like when it intersects our space. It appears as a very bright intensely bright point of light and then, as the intersection gets larger and larger, it opens up and you begin to see the hole. And, things would be going in and out of it. So, that's an example of two datasets that we verified at the NIDS Utah Ranch that match what I know as a physicist what a wormhole would do.

Warp drives. We haven't seen UFOs do performances that adhere to the warp drive, because the warp drive basically it's just between stars and we don't see UFOs warping anywhere or warping around. We see them doing ninety degree turns and rapid motions; they disappear and reappear. That is undetermined yet. I would think that wormhole is a big possibility still. I'm trying to think of anything else. I would think that anti-gravity we have anti-gravity in Einstein's General Theory of Relativity and I cover that in my chapter in the book; one of my three chapters. There are aspects of anti-gravity, the properties of negative vacuum energy that would create a repulsive force that allows something to levitate up. And UFOs do exhibit that. So there's Hal Puthoff's Polarized Vacuum Model. It's a different form of Relativity where instead of a space-time you envision space-time as a polarized vacuum of a quantum zero point fluctuations. And, if you exert a field on that medium, the fluctuations are polarized, that creates a space-time bending effect similar to warp drive and wormholes. So, we see that. We

see that in aspects of what=92s predicted.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [May](#) > [May 15](#)

Dr. York Dobyns' 'Advanced Propulsion' Lecture

From: J. Maynard Gelinas <j.maynard.gelinas.nul>
Date: Tue, 14 May 2013 19:29:57 +0800
Archived: Wed, 15 May 2013 07:58:59 -0400
Subject: Dr. York Dobyns' 'Advanced Propulsion' Lecture

>From: J. Maynard Gelinas <j.maynard.gelinas.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>Date: Thu, 9 May 2013 12:40:09 +0800
>Subject: Re: Regarding The Citizen Hearing On Disclosure

<snip>

>Valone's statements pointing to Haisch, A. Rueda, and H. E. Puthoff's speculative paper, "Inertia as a Zero-Point Field Lorentz Force" as if this proved anything about anti-gravity or zero point 'free energy' was downright shocking. That paper is a way forward for developing some experimental approaches to testing those ideas. It is NOT a proof. It may well be the case the Puthoff and other physicists think this could - potentially - be possible. And even a very slim minority, like Brian O'Leary, who are convinced that it is. But where is the experimental proof? Pointing to spooks threatening scientists away from research doesn't help here. I was seriously disappointed.

York Dobyns, of the Princeton Engineering Anomalies Research Project, has a rather amazing video presentation I found off of the SSE website that I felt I just had to point to here, because he uses exactly the same Haisch, A. Rueda, and H. E. Puthoff paper to posit several approaches to violating Newton's 3rd law. The video is about 40 minutes long.

<http://tinyurl.com/arzotqp>

The whole thing is worth watching, as his set up is important to understanding the argument, but from about 10 minutes on he begins with an approach that would use a spinning wheel where one small portion would be placed between two plates to generate a Casimir effect that would unbalance centrifugal inertia, creating a net positive force. He then goes on to look at other approaches, including one by Professor James Woodward, which Dobyns appears to convincingly refute.

<http://physics.fullerton.edu/~jimw/>

But there's another he suggests, which would attempt to decouple inertial force from gravitational force by taking two equal masses connected by a strut and adding significant pressure to one but not the other, causing an gravitational but not inertial imbalance. See slides from 23m30s - 24m. Then, from about 22 minutes in through to 27 minutes, Dobyns argues that given his two proposed approaches, if one doesn't work the other will, suggesting that a Newton 3rd law violation is not just possible but seemingly mandatory. See slide at 26m31s!

Huh!???

I don't doubt I've inadequately explained this. I'm a lay person to the field and the Dr. Dobyns is much better at giving a cogent explanation. Watch the video! Of course, there are caveats, like the propulsive force calculated would be so minuscule as to be barely useful given current materials science. But, he suggests, it could be tested as a demonstration

proof of concept. This is so nonintuitive I find it just astonishing to believe. But... there it is. A real headturner.

Since he cites the paper I so harshly ridiculed previously, I felt it was only fair to the list to note that I found a speculative talk by a highly qualified theoretical physicist that undermines my critique of Dr. Valone. I'll dig some more and see who else is citing this paper. Perhaps it's really causing a storm of interest after all. -M

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [May](#) > [May 15](#)

Re: The Momentum Question - Cox

From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
Date: Wed, 15 May 2013 13:15:58 +0100
Archived: Wed, 15 May 2013 08:33:50 -0400
Subject: Re: The Momentum Question - Cox

>From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Wed, 15 May 2013 04:08:55 +0100
>Subject: Re: The Momentum Question - Cox

>>Source: Billy Cox's Blog De Void

>><http://tinyurl.com/chysnth>

>>Friday, May 10, 2013

>>The Momentum Question
>>by Billy Cox

<snip>

>>"That turned out to be a big bust. I've got a master's degree
>>in engineering and I couldn't understand what Greer and (Dr.
>>Tom) Valone were trying to say about how UFOs needed an
>>inertial shield to make those 90-degree turns," Salas said. "It
>>sounded like a lot of malarkey."

><snip>

>Say you're driving along at one hundred miles per hour on a
>straight road, passing cross-roads every now and then.
>You suddenly decide that your car is magic and can make a 90
>degree turn right at the next crossroad.

>Think about it: that means your car has to go from 100 mph to
>ZERO mph/turn right and get to 100 mph - ALL IN ONE INSTANT.
>Now think about your body: it will hit the windscreen/steering
>column/fascia at 100 miles per hour and then hit the left-hand
>side of the compartment (door and surrounds) again at 100
>miles per hour - all in one instant.

<snip>

I was wrong there - You would hit the windscreen etc. at 100
mph, but because the `Turn' has to be instantaneous you'd then
hit the left-hand side of the compartment at an `infinite'
speed, before sliding into the back of the car at 100 mph
(because the car has to resume its initial speed in the new
direction) - and all that in one instant.

As said many times before: without inertial shielding any
organic beings travelling in those UFOs would be raspberry jam
spread on the walls after first turn. Now you can see why.

Cheers

Ray D

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At :

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [May](#) > [May 16](#)

Re: The Momentum Question - Cox

From: David Rudiak <drudiak.nul>
Date: Wed, 15 May 2013 14:16:47 -0700
Archived: Thu, 16 May 2013 11:00:05 -0400
Subject: Re: The Momentum Question - Cox

>From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Wed, 15 May 2013 04:08:55 +0100
>Subject: Re: The Momentum Question - Cox

>>Source: Billy Cox's Blog De Void

>><http://tinyurl.com/chysnth>

>>Friday, May 10, 2013

>>The Momentum Question
>>by Billy Cox

><snip>

>>"That turned out to be a big bust. I've got a master's degree in
>>engineering and I couldn't understand what Greer and (Dr. Tom)
>>Valone were trying to say about how UFOs needed an inertial
>>shield to make those 90-degree turns," Salas said. "It sounded
>>like a lot of malarkey."
><snip>

>By his heading think Billy Cox knows a little more of physical
>reality than Robert Salas appeared to in that quote.

>Say you're driving along at one hundred miles per hour on a
>straight road, passing cross-roads every now and then.

>You suddenly decide that your car is magic and can make a 90
>degree turn right at the next crossroad.

>Think about it: that means your car has to go from 100 mph to
>ZERO mph/ turn right and get to 100 mph - ALL IN ONE INSTANT.

>Now think about your body: it will hit the windscreen/steering
>column/fascia at 100 miles per hour and then hit the left-hand
>side of the compartment (door and surrounds) again at 100 miles
>per hour - all in one instant.

"All in one instant" is a bit sloppy since an "instant" could be
0 seconds to make the turn, in which case the inertial forces
would be infinite. Also a "90 degree turn" is also a little
sloppy, since it implies a sharp square corner--again infinite
forces.

More likely the "90 degree turn" is happening quickly with a
short tight arc of finite radius, which from a distance may
appear to be a square right angle, and with the limitations of
the nervous system to see quick changes, may appear to be
"instantaneous".

E.g., let's say the UFO is doing 100 meters/sec (224 mph) and
makes a tight turn in a circular arc only 10 meters in radius.
The centripetal acceleration is $v^2/r = (100\text{m/s})^2/10\text{ m} \approx 1000$
 m/s^2 or about 100g, or 100 times earth's gravitational
acceleration. The length of the arc along the quarter turn is
 $\text{PI}/2 * r \approx 15.7\text{m}$, or it takes 157 msec to make the 90 degree turn
to a new heading. That's still very quick and very tight to the

human eye, but not "instantaneous" or a true sharp 90 degrees.

100 g's is not infinite but is still more than human passengers can tolerate, so yes, you would need some way of reducing the inertial acceleration to more tolerable levels (assuming the UFOs have actual biological passengers similar to us).

>That's inertia (momentum).

>BTW - the term 'inertial shield' wasn't invented by Greer or >Valone

Back in 1999 here on Updates I proposed a magnetic "inertial dampener" that would in principle cancel most of the inertial forces of linear or circular acceleration:

<http://ufoupdateslist.com/1999/apr/m06-024.shtml>

<http://ufoupdateslist.com/1999/apr/m07-026.shtml>

Redux:

<http://ufoupdateslist.com/2005/may/m14-014.shtml>

No new magical antigravity field is needed. Instead this makes use of the very conventional principle of diamagnetism, wherein all materials exhibit a repulsive force when placed in a magnetic field, which goes up at about the square of the field. It is only when you get to very large magnetic fields that the repulsive force becomes appreciable. Some physicists were floating frogs, water blobs, and goldfish in the fields of small laboratory superconducting magnets, but scaled up to human size, the same could be done with humans, and with fields 10 times as great, could produce 100 g's of protective inertial dampening, in theory at least. Superconducting magnets this large in size and field strength currently do not exist.

So in principle it could be done using presently known physical law.

There are other ways that in principle could protect against large inertial forces, but would require new physics to be true. E.g., the classic UFO inertial shield (as proposed by various people such as Hermann Oberth and Paul Hill) would require some sort of antigravity or repulsive equivalent of gravity. The existence of a new particle that would exhibit such properties is predicted as part of Heim-Droescher-Hauser "theory of everything", purportedly requiring magnetic fields several times larger than present for the new physics to emerge:

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Heim_theory

The recently postulated "negative energy" pushing the universe apart, if it exists, would be an example of such an antigravity force operating on the grand scale.

Physicist Gary Wade has another theory about how UFOs might do it, in part based on his own close-encounter experiences with UFOs in which he experienced time alternation. Wade conjectures that UFOs are able to manipulate time with strong magnetic fields, or the time they experience within the field of time manipulation is different from our frame of reference looking at them.

E.g., suppose that 1 second to us is 100 seconds to them inside the time-change field. To them and their craft, that "instantaneous" right angle turn is stretched out over a time space 100 times longer than we think, reducing inertial forces a corresponding 100 times.

This could also account for traveling at supersonic speeds without generating a sonic boom. As air molecules in front of the craft wade into the time-change field, they are slowed down in time and become subsonic in speed relative to the leading edge of the craft--ergo, no sonic shock wave.

Wade further conjectures that the time change is caused by intense opposing superconducting magnets, and the effect goes up as the square of the field. This is, at least, a testable theory, so it can be falsified or proven one way or the other. But you would need a lot of money and really big magnets to test it properly.

I just watched Wade's lecture yesterday on YouTube on UFO physics. I don't know if he is right, but it is thought-provoking out-of-the-box thinking on how UFOs might work and get here:

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=jR1_FAcA7-k

Interestingly, this came up the other day on Updates in the discussion of Boyd Bushman and his claim that he clamped two strong magnets together with opposing fields and that it fell from a tower slightly slower than a non-magnetic rock dropped at the same time (the old Isaac Newton experiment).

Bushman claimed it was altering the gravitation field slightly with the magnets. Wade would say you are altering time slightly doing the same thing, which might also explain the difference in fall rates, if true.

Yes, I'm skeptical too, but at least this would be one experiment that wouldn't be all that expensive to replicate. It would seem to me you could also do the experiment by putting a very sensitive clock (like an atomic clock) between two intense magnetic fields to see if the clock was sped up or slowed down at all.

The time change theory would also bear on what Canadian engineer/Ufologist Wilbert Smith was saying back in the 50's, that the aliens were communicating to him that time wasn't a dimension, as in relativity theory, but another field that could be manipulated, like we can manipulate electro-magnetic fields.

Well, I don't know. But I thought it would be interesting putting these various "whacky" ideas out there for everybody's consideration.

David Rudiak

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [May](#) > [May 17](#)

For Those Asking For Basics On Inertia

From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
Date: Thu, 16 May 2013 16:51:09 +0100
Archived: Fri, 17 May 2013 07:28:41 -0400
Subject: For Those Asking For Basics On Inertia

For those folk who've been asking for a run-down on inertia.

Humans thought that various observed physical effects were caused by different 'forces', each having its own physical domain. Some folk - who began to call themselves 'scientists' - collected many records of those various effects in order to quantify them, even progressing to finding arrangements of mathematics which fitted - or appeared to fit - the actions of those effects. These were rather boastfully called 'Laws of Nature', or separately referred to as 'Laws' of each domain known to the scientists; which were gravitational, electrical, magnetic, and nuclear (which became subdivided into STRONG and WEAK nuclear forces).

Eventually scientists realized that when they created an electrical field they also made a magnetic field, so they combined the two into the electromagnetic force or domain.

And then they also realized that the nuclear forces weren't quite independent - the weak nuclear force at least was influenced by electromagnetic fields. so that too was incorporated in the electromagnetic domain.

Which gives us two huge domains: gravitational and electromagnetic (with the strong nuclear force [interaction] as an orphan or outsider).

If we delve down into the accumulated 'science' of the gravitational domain, we find that it all rests on the behaviour of a piece of matter in a gravitational field of another larger piece of matter. The acceleration is directly proportional to the volume/density of the larger piece of matter - so that volume/density was called gravitational mass.

And, delving down into the accumulated 'science' of the electromagnetic domain, we find that it all rests on the behaviour of a piece of charged matter in an electromagnetic field. The direction of the behaviour is determined by the polarity of the charge(s), but the acceleration is inversely proportional to the volume/density of the charged piece of matter; i.e a larger/denser piece of matter (with identical charge) is that much more reluctant to move - so its volume/density was called INERTIAL MASS.

Weirdly, for the scientists - who could see no connection between the two defined masses, the gravitational mass turned out to be the same as the inertial mass - to the limits of measurement accuracy at least.

[Both gravitational and electromagnetic effects are inversely proportional to the square of the distance between particles involved - often called the 'inverse square rule'; but it's easily illustrated by candlelight illuminating a sheet of paper at a distance of one yard; when the paper is moved out to two yards it only receives one quarter of the light, in other words you'll need four sheets of paper at two yards to collect the same light as one sheet at one yard. All directly 'radiated' effects follow that rule.] - Here's scientists' comments about the mystery of inertia and of gravitational vs. inertial mass:

"The notion of mass as gravitational charge is perhaps the best "theoretical" notion of mass we have. Note that this idea of mass is qualitatively different from the idea of "inertial mass": that quantity which makes it difficult to change the velocity of an object. That these two quantities, gravitational charge and inertial mass, are equal, is another of the fundamental mysteries of physics." - www.rwc.uc.edu

"This experience - of the equal falling of all bodies in the gravitational field - is one of the most universal which the observation of nature has yielded; but in spite of that the law has not found any place in the foundations of our edifice of the physical universe." A Einstein, writing in Annalen der Physik,35. (Yup, same problem: inertial mass identical to gravitational mass - Ray)

"It is a curious and still not fully understood phenomenon that the inertial mass and the gravitational mass are always exactly the same." John Gribbin in Companion to the Cosmos 1996 ISBN 0-297-81725-6.

and

"The reason why things coast for ever has never been found out. The law of inertia has no known origin" Richard P Feynman in The Character of Physical Law (1965) 1992 ISBN 0-14-017505-9.

-
B.K.R's assessment of our 'scientists' understanding of gravity and e.m.r:

"We can describe what happens quite accurately and we think we understand. But really we do not. The invisible influences of gravitation and electromagnetic fields remain magic; describable, but nevertheless implacable, non-human, alien, magic."

and of the ongoing inquiry into the mystery of inertia:

"If acceleration is relative rather than absolute, then the inertial mass of a body is a measure of the force exerted by the rest of the universe when you push the body. [that is] to be contrasted with the search for for the origin of inertial mass pursued through quantum field theory. ... [to] push our concepts of microscopic matter down to infinitesimally tiny dimensions in order to calculate the self-energy, and hence inertial mass, of elementary particles."

--Prof. B.K Ridley - in 'Time, Space & Things'

N.b. - While I believe the eminent Professor is - as always - right and truthful in his description of the current scientific opinion and state-of-art, I also believe there's at least one more likely frame: in this scenario acceleration is absolute (inc. the acceleration intrinsic in rotation or spin) yet the inertia of any mass (of hadronic matter like we're made of) lies in its interactions with the surrounding "energy-field" of the universe (it's a sort of dynamic radial force-field with an effectively instantaneous [hence multiples of c^2 in calculations] 'speed', acting both inwards and outwards [hence the ubiquitous 'root -1' in all calculations].

Cheers

Ray D

PS - assembled a bit slapdash, so any corrections would be welcome

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced

without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [May](#) > [May 17](#)

Re: For Those Asking For Basics On Inertia

From: William Treurniet <wtreurniet.nul>
Date: Fri, 17 May 2013 11:18:36 -0400
Archived: Fri, 17 May 2013 12:55:22 -0400
Subject: Re: For Those Asking For Basics On Inertia

>From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Thu, 16 May 2013 16:51:09 +0100
>Subject: For Those Asking For Basics On Inertia

><snip>

>"The notion of mass as gravitational charge is perhaps the best
>"theoretical" notion of mass we have. Note that this idea of
>mass is qualitatively different from the idea of "inertial
>mass": that quantity which makes it difficult to change the
>velocity of an object. That these two quantities, gravitational
>charge and inertial mass, are equal, is another of the
>fundamental mysteries of physics." - www.rwc.uc.edu

>"This experience - of the equal falling of all bodies in the
>gravitational field - is one of the most universal which the
>observation of nature has yielded; but in spite of that the law
>has not found any place in the foundations of our edifice of the
>physical universe." A Einstein, writing in Annalen der
>Physik,35. (Yup, same problem: inertial mass identical to
>gravitational mass - Ray)

>"It is a curious and still not fully understood phenomenon that
>the inertial mass and the gravitational mass are always exactly
>the same." John Gribbin in Companion to the Cosmos 1996 ISBN 0-
>297-81725-6.

It may not be that cut-and-dried. There is some experimental
evidence suggesting that gravitational and inertial mass may be
decoupled by gyroscopic motion.

Anomalous Weight Reduction on a Gyroscope's Right Rotations
around the Vertical Axis on the Earth

<http://tinyurl.com/a7bl5ho>

Gyro Drop Experiment

<http://depalma.pair.com/gyrodrop.html>

William

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [May](#) > [May 18](#)

The 2012 Canadian UFO Survey

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <post.nul>
Date: Sat, 18 May 2013 07:38:47 -0400
Archived: Sat, 18 May 2013 07:38:47 -0400
Subject: The 2012 Canadian UFO Survey

Source: The Canadian UFO Report

<http://survey.canadianuforeport.com/>

May 12, 2013

The 2012 Canadian UFO Survey

Compiled by
Geoff Dittman
and
Chris A. Rutkowski

Since 1989, UFOlogy Research of Manitoba has solicited sightings data from active Canadian researchers. This data is annually compiled into the Canadian UFO Survey. The survey is made publicly available in an attempt to promote the dissemination of information across the field of ufology. This page contains many of the surveys, as well as the data.

The "Survey Essay" page contains just that; the essays produced each year summarizing the findings of the survey for that year, along with explanations of trends, as well as describing some of the more interesting cases for each year.

The "Search The Database" link is currently inactive. In the future, the complete database will be put on the web, allowing any users to search the database for sightings based on the criteria of their choosing.

The "Data Tables" page contains the raw data, in html table format, for most of the Survey years. Basic data, such as date, time, number of witnesses, etc. is listed, as well as a very limited general description of each sighting. Right now we have the basic data for most years up on the web page, but are currently missing 1989, 1992, and 2009 on the website. We hope to get that data online sometime in the near future.

The "Charts" page contains assorted charts summarizing the data over the years. One can find such things as the distribution of sightings by province, time of day, etc. The charts are in .gif format. With respect to the charts, there is a trade-off that must be made. If one is to be able to view the results of the different variables being measured, the chart is frequently too large to fit on the monitor, and one has to scroll left or right to view the entire results. Shrinking the charts to fit the screen, while making the entire chart as a whole visible, makes it difficult to actually interpret the chart, as the labels become too small. I have chosen to forsake convenience and make the charts large enough that one can actually read the results. Given this, the various charts are best viewed with the latest version of Internet Explorer, as it gives you the ability to easily resize the images. Printing the charts using I.E. seems to work well too. With Netscape however, some of the charts don't print on a single page, and either come out on two pages, or it simply cuts off a portion of the chart.

The "Testimonies" page is currently inactive, but will contain eyewitness accounts, in the own words of the witnesses.

[Complete Survey Results at site...]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [May](#) > [May 18](#)

Re: For Those Asking For Basics On Inertia

From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
Date: Fri, 17 May 2013 19:07:18 +0100
Archived: Sat, 18 May 2013 07:42:18 -0400
Subject: Re: For Those Asking For Basics On Inertia

>From: William Treurniet <wtreurniet.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Fri, 17 May 2013 11:18:36 -0400
>Subject: Re: For Those Asking For Basics On Inertia

>>From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
>>To: <post.nul>
>>Date: Thu, 16 May 2013 16:51:09 +0100
>>Subject: For Those Asking For Basics On Inertia

<snip>

>>"It is a curious and still not fully understood phenomenon that
>>the inertial mass and the gravitational mass are always exactly
>>the same." John Gribbin in Companion to the Cosmos 1996 ISBN
>>0-297-81725-6.

>It may not be that cut-and-dried. There is some experimental
>evidence suggesting that gravitational and inertial mass may be
>decoupled by gyroscopic motion.

>Anomalous Weight Reduction on a Gyroscope's Right Rotations
>around the Vertical Axis on the Earth

><http://tinyurl.com/a7bl5ho>

>Gyro Drop Experiment

><http://depalma.pair.com/gyrodrops.html>

Trust you to rock the boat William,

I wasn't going to mention that - as I've been getting involved in
collecting (and arguing) gyro oddities for a decade or more, see
Laithwaite

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Eric_Laithwaite;

Robins (Padrak)

<http://www.padrak.com/ine/TGYRO.html>;

NASA's "cover-up" re: Foucault experiment

www.perceptions.couk.com/uef/regyros2.txt

(a gyro is only a 'super-sensitive pendulum' - 360 degrees); all
the way to recent "Japanese gyro claim"

As you might see I fully agree that there are anomalies which are
presently being ignored or suppressed merely because they can't
be explained under the present paradigms.

But I phrased that Inertia expo in conventional sci-language

because it was an introduction to the subject, and you don't want to scare the horses do you?

Cheers

Ray D

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [May](#) > [May 18](#)

Hearing On Disclosure Undercut By Disinfo

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <post.nul>
Date: Sat, 18 May 2013 10:04:45 -0400
Archived: Sat, 18 May 2013 10:04:45 -0400
Subject: Hearing On Disclosure Undercut By Disinfo

Source: Robert Hastings' UFOs&Nukes.Com

<http://www.ufohastings.com/articles/citizen-hearing-on-disclosure>

May 17, 2013

Citizen Hearing On Disclosure Remarkable Revelations Undercut By Distressing Disinformation

Although I was repeatedly invited=97pestered, actually=97by Stephen Bassett to participate in the hearing, I chose not to lend my name and credible research to the event. Over the past 40 years I have interviewed more than 140 U.S. military veterans, regarding their involvement in UFO incidents at nuclear weapons sites. Seven of those individuals participated in my September 27, 2010 "UFOs and Nukes" press conference in Washington D.C., which CNN streamed live:

The full-length video of the event:

<http://www.ufohastings.com/>

Even though I decided not to be a part of Bassett's recent affair, the co-sponsor of my press conference, former U.S. Air Force Captain Robert Salas, became involved, asking three other USAF veterans to join him. Each of them - Captain Bruce Fenstermacher, Captain David Schindele, and Technical Sergeant David Scott - had independently approached me over the past three years and revealed their nukes-related UFO experiences.

Salas believed that the hearing would provide valuable public exposure for those incidents, and his own, which involved the mysterious shutdown of 10 widely-separated nuclear missiles at Malmstrom Air Force Base's Oscar Flight, on March 24, 1967, just as a glowing red, disc-shaped UFO was observed hovering over the central launch control facility.

Moments before the four men began to testify about those encounters at ICBM sites, Stephen Bassett took the microphone and said that their impending statements would be "the most important" revelations during the five-day event, which included some 40 witnesses. Quite a statement. Sitting next to the vets was researcher Richard Dolan, I guess as a substitute for me, sort of.

So why did I decline to participate? As I noted in an open email, circulated shortly after the event:

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [May](#) > [May 19](#)

Re: Hearing On Disclosure Undercut By Disinfo

From: Carol Maltby carolmaltby.nul>
Date: Sat, 18 May 2013 15:16:35 -0400
Archived: Sun, 19 May 2013 12:56:41 -0400
Subject: Re: Hearing On Disclosure Undercut By Disinfo

>Source: Robert Hastings' UFOs&Nukes.Com

><http://www.ufohastings.com/articles/citizen-hearing-on-disclosure>

>May 17, 2013

>Citizen Hearing On Disclosure Remarkable Revelations Undercut By
>Distressing Disinformation

From the linked article:

>Except, of course, to those who have a vested interest in the
>documents being for-real, either due to their own insupportable
>biases, their lack of understanding about the basic requirements
>for document-verification, or the general gullibility they have
>displayed with distressing and seemingly oblivious abandon over
>the past several years.

Are there any useful articles online that go through the process of vetting individual claimants or verifying documents, particularly in regard to UFO statements? Some of you will have been trained in those principles in your career or educational path, or worked closely with a mentor, but you can't assume everyone has had that chance. Bassett may not want to -- and that's a whole other issue -- but others genuinely may not know the process and could use some re-calibration of their BS detectors.

Carol

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [May](#) > [May 19](#)

"Super Soldier" Abduction Research Facilitators?

From: Eleanor White <ewraven1.nul>
Date: Sat, 18 May 2013 16:30:28 -0400
Archived: Sun, 19 May 2013 13:15:27 -0400
Subject: "Super Soldier" Abduction Research Facilitators?

I'd be interested in hearing UpDates members' opinions on three abduction researchers:

<http://www.supersoldiersummit.com/speaker-lineup/miles-johnston>
<http://www.supersoldiersummit.com/speaker-lineup/miesha-johnston>
<http://www.coasttocoastam.com/guest/summerscales-joanne/62810>

By 'opinions,' I mean, are these folks respected members of the UFO research community?

Some UpDates members know I am a long-term (33 years now) target of group stalking, made more invasive by through-wall electronic harassment. Our membership works to both educate the public about this crime, and tries to find ways to get officials to take stalking by more than one stalker seriously, and apply the anti-stalking laws to cases where more than one stalker is reported.

Coming from this background, I was blown away when I heard the May 15-16, 2013 Coast to Coast AM show which featured electronic harassment as the topic of the evening.

<http://www.coasttocoastam.com/show/2013/05/15>

Three of our members appeared first, matter of factly describing the crime. Then came the big surprise: The last hour was turned over to UFO abduction researcher Miles Johnston, who claims he is also a target of organized stalking and electronic harassment.

Throughout the last hour, Miles Johnston, and another UFO abduction/mind control researcher Joanne Summerscales, worked hard to convince listeners that organized stalking and electronic harassment targets are actually targets of alien assaults.

This blew me away, and still does. It took me by complete surprise.

Now I find that some of my fellow targets are apparently persuaded that Miles and Miesha Johnston are to be included in their efforts to fight this crime. (This "super soldier" thing is an exercise to counter the assaults, as best I know. I'm not involved.)

It is so new to me, I don't know what to think about this unusual change in direction from fighting a crime, to fighting "alien attacks."

So in order for me to form some sort of opinion on all this, I'd be very grateful for Updates members' background information on any of these three people who have popped up on the radar screen.

Thanks in advance to all who respond.

Eleanor White

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [May](#) > [May 19](#)

Re: The Momentum Question - Cox

From: **Stanton T. Friedman** <fsphys.nul>
Date: Sun, 19 May 2013 10:20:09 -0300
Archived: Sun, 19 May 2013 13:18:53 -0400
Subject: Re: The Momentum Question - Cox

>From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Wed, 15 May 2013 13:15:58 +0100
>Subject: Re: The Momentum Question - Cox

>>From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
>>To: <post.nul>
>>Date: Wed, 15 May 2013 04:08:55 +0100
>>Subject: Re: The Momentum Question - Cox

>>>Source: Billy Cox's Blog De Void

>>><http://tinyurl.com/chysnth>

>>>Friday, May 10, 2013

>>>The Momentum Question
>>>by Billy Cox

><snip>

>>>"That turned out to be a big bust. I've got a master's degree
>>>in engineering and I couldn't understand what Greer and (Dr.
>>>Tom) Valone were trying to say about how UFOs needed an
>>>inertial shield to make those 90-degree turns," Salas said. "It
>>>sounded like a lot of malarkey."

><snip>

>>Say you're driving along at one hundred miles per hour on a
>>straight road, passing cross-roads every now and then.
>>You suddenly decide that your car is magic and can make a 90
>>degree turn right at the next crossroad.

>>Think about it: that means your car has to go from 100 mph to
>>ZERO mph/turn right and get to 100 mph - ALL IN ONE INSTANT.
>>Now think about your body: it will hit the windscreen/steering
>>column/fascia at 100 miles per hour and then hit the left-hand
>>side of the compartment (door and surrounds) again at 100
>>miles per hour - all in one instant.

><snip>

>I was wrong there - You would hit the windscreen etc. at 100
>mph, but because the `Turn' has to be instantaneous you'd then
>hit the left-hand side of the compartment at an `infinite'
>speed, before sliding into the back of the car at 100 mph
>(because the car has to resume its initial speed in the new
>direction) - and all that in one instant.

>As said many times before: without inertial shielding any
>organic beings travelling in those UFOs would be raspberry jam
>spread on the walls after first turn. Now you can see why.

Frankly there is a lot more to it.

The amount of acceleration people can stand depends on three
factors duration, Magnitude, and direction with regard to the

person. A trained pilot, for example, can perform a tracking task while being accelerated at 14 Gs for 2 minutes. That is about 300mph/sec. Note astronauts are launched on their backs because they can handle much more that way than foot to head.

People can stand 30Gs for 1 second. That is 0-600MPH in one second.

It appears one can stand much higher G-loadings if the time is less than the time for a sound wave to go through the system. Saucers are almost invariably described as going very rapidly, not slowing down (as cars must), then making a right angle turn and immediately going again at very high speed. So one needs to apply a big force in short time to stop the saucer and then again a big force to move off instantly. Obviously jet engines won't do the job. But electromagnetic forces can be huge and started and stopped "instantly" in new directions.

Magnetoforming is a technique for briefly applying very high forces for a short period of time to slam a piece of metal into a die to change its shape "instantly".

In about 1970 I had a literature search done of Government technical reports using the keyword "Magnetoaerodynamics" I got back 900 abstracts of which 90% were classified...

Stan Friedman
www.stantonfriedman.com

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [May](#) > [May 20](#)

Re: "Super Soldier" Abduction Research

From: Jason Gammon <boyinthemachine.nul>
Date: Sun, 19 May 2013 14:44:26 -0400 (EDT)
Archived: Mon, 20 May 2013 04:47:20 -0400
Subject: Re: "Super Soldier" Abduction Research

>From: Eleanor White <ewraven1.nul>
>To: [post.nul](#)
>Date: Sat, 18 May 2013 16:30:28 -0400
>Subject: "Super Soldier" Abduction Research Facilitators?

<snip>

>Three of our members appeared first, matter of factly describing
>the crime. Then came the big surprise: The last hour was
>turned over to UFO abduction researcher Miles Johnston, who
>claims he is also a target of organized stalking and
>electronic harassment.

>Throughout the last hour, Miles Johnston, and another UFO
>abduction/mind control researcher Joanne Summerscales, worked
>hard to convince listeners that organized stalking and
>electronic harassment targets are actually targets of alien
>assaults.

<snip>

If 'electronic-harassment' is indeed being carried out by the
visitors then it could reflect a new phase of interaction, one
that would evidently reveal them to have an malevolent agenda.

Certain abductees report various psychological testing involving
the staging of a scenario and the subsequent observation of the
abductee as they interact in this staged event. Some of the
staged events are quite painful and traumatic. Additionally,
some abductees report physical abuse, rape, and torture by their
captives as if the visitors are studying our reactions to such
stimuli. From the very beginning of the abduction phenomenon the
visitors have displayed the ability to control the minds of
abductees, warp their perceptions, and induce a state of
amnesia.

Reference Betty & Barney Hill's "beeps" which seem to have been
a post-hypnotic trigger or some technology which induced this
amnesia state. If this was a post-hypnotic trigger it would
imply that this may not have been the first time they were
abducted! If electronic-harassment is being carried out by the
visitors it would imply that perhaps the actual abduction phase
may be coming to a close and these tests are now going 'live'.

I will share a very disturbing belief that I've kept
repressed within me for the reason that is simply scares
the crap out of me. Back in 2005 I was struck with a
belief that I simply could not shake. It started with a
dream the eventually led to an epiphany of sorts.

I fear that the purpose of these tests was to literally entrap
humans, turn them "inside out" or "upside down (currently we
perceive the conscious mind on top and the unconscious mind to be
at the bottom, like a pyramid. so to 'flip the pyramid' would
imply the unconscious mind would have complete control with the
conscious mind completely cut off from any control over the
body.) The conscious mind would be trapped within a fantasy
dream world while the body could then be controlled and
manipulated like a puppet, perhaps as a slave workforce, perhaps

to carry out some specified task, etc. So it would be like a form of the Matrix but without the clunky wires, plugs and bodies in goo. Going further I even had the epiphany that this is not perhaps a take-over but more like a reclamation, that perhaps this is how we were 'created', and that this dream-like prison was perhaps like "the garden of Eden", and that somehow our conscious minds found a way to escape and gain control of the body.

Of course I offer no proof for this speculation and would not suggest anyone to accept it at face value. However, I would add that from my point of view that if the visitors are behind electronic-harassment then it would mean that such is likely a mechanism to discover how to fully control a person. It also just might signify that in the future our visitors might 'flip a switch' and have complete control over everyone on the planet, turning us into a planet of zombie-slaves.

-Jason Gammon

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [May](#) > [May 20](#)

Re: The Momentum Question - Cox

From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
Date: Mon, 20 May 2013 09:22:12 +0100
Archived: Mon, 20 May 2013 04:51:30 -0400
Subject: Re: The Momentum Question - Cox

>From: Stanton T. Friedman <fsphys.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Sun, 19 May 2013 10:20:09 -0300
>Subject: Re: The Momentum Question - Cox

>>From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
>>To: <post.nul>
>>Date: Wed, 15 May 2013 13:15:58 +0100
>>Subject: Re: The Momentum Question - Cox

>>>Source: Billy Cox's Blog De Void
>>><http://tinyurl.com/chysnth>
>>>Friday, May 10, 2013
>>>The Momentum Question
>>>by Billy Cox

><snip>

>>>"That turned out to be a big bust. I've got a master's degree
>>>in engineering and I couldn't understand what Greer and (Dr.
>>>Tom) Valone were trying to say about how UFOs needed an
>>>inertial shield to make those 90-degree turns," Salas said.
>>>"It sounded like a lot of malarkey."

><snip>

>The amount of acceleration people can stand depends on three
>factors duration, Magnitude, and direction with regard to the
>person. A trained pilot, for example, can perform a tracking
>task while being accelerated at 14 Gs for 2 minutes. That is
>about 300mph/sec. Note astronauts are launched on their backs
>because they can handle much more that way than foot to head.

>People can stand 30Gs for 1 second. That is 0-600MPH in one
>second.

>It appears as one can stand much higher G-Loadings if the time is
>less than the time for a sound wave to go through the system.
>Saucers are almost invariably described as going very rapidly,
>not slowing down (as cars must), then making a right angle turn
>and immediately going again at very high speed. So one needs to
>apply a big force in short time to stop the saucer and then
>again a big force to move off instantly. Obviously jet engines
>won't do the job. But electromagnetic forces can be huge and
>started and stopped "instantly" in new directions.

>Magnetoforming is a technique for briefly applying very high
>forces for a short period of time to slam a piece of metal into
>a die to change its shape "instantly".

>In about 1970 I had a literature search done of Government
>technical reports using the keyword "Magnetoaerodynamics" I got
>back 900 abstracts of which 90% were classified...

Thanks for the details Stan, don't think anybody else would've
had them.

So we can estimate that, to even approximately emulate the

observed performance of some UFO's, a human-built craft would almost certainly have to use massive electro-magnetic power. Problem is - how do you carry the huge power-supply?

And for any organic occupants or passengers the problem still seems insurmountable - if all observed UFO manoeuvres are to be attempted.

Of course both puzzles might be cured by the discovery of some physics presently unknown to us (like solving the inertia mystery) - which might also entail 'refueling' from thunderstorms or power-grids.

Cheers

Ray D

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [May](#) > [May 20](#)

Robert Salas And Me - Randle

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <post.nul>
Date: Mon, 20 May 2013 05:17:44 -0400
Archived: Mon, 20 May 2013 05:17:44 -0400
Subject: Robert Salas And Me - Randle

Source: Kevin Randle's A Different Perspective Blog

<http://kevinrandle.blogspot.ca/>

Sunday, May 19, 2013

Robert Salas And Me

While in Roswell a couple of years ago, I shared, briefly, a table with Robert Salas of Malmstrom missile fame. He was a little more serious about selling his book than I was in selling mine and at one point he snapped at me for joking with the UFO museum patrons. I hadn't spoken to him since that time in Roswell. I mention this for the context it will provide for some later comments here.

At the recent Citizen Hearing I saw him again. At the Sunday evening dinner for those who were participating in some fashion that is, the former members of Congress, the witnesses which included researchers, and those volunteers who helped Steve Bassett to organize the Hearing, I made my way up front to speak with Bassett. On my way back I passed the table where Salas sat. He looked up at me and I said, "You can say, hello," which, of course he did.

The next day, we ended up on the bus arranged to transport everyone from the hotel to the National Press Club and back. We were the only two on it, and we spoke again, briefly. I asked him a couple of questions about what had happened at Oscar Flight in 1967.

I have said it before and I'll say it again. If Salas was alone in his claims, then we could reject them simply because you'd expect others to have had similar experiences. Of course, there was a series of UFO sightings near Belt, Montana on the day that Salas claimed the UFO caused the missiles of Oscar Flight to go off-line. And, there were the documented events of Echo Flight which had happened just days before when all ten of their missiles did the same thing. In other words, Salas was not alone, and the other man in the capsule with him at the time, Fred Meiwald, confirmed the event.

There was a minor discrepancy. Salas said all the missiles had gone off line but his boss, Meiwald said only four or five. In the original information, Salas, according to what he said to me, "Split the different and I said eight." He now has said all ten were involved.

Meiwald, interviewed by others including Robert Hastings for UFOs and Nukes, confirmed what Salas had said. The missiles had gone off-line, in essence failed. Combined with the documentation about Echo Flight, the words of Salas took on added weight. In fact, Salas appeared in Washington, D.C. with two other officers who had been assigned to missile flights at other military bases who had experiences with UFOs. In other words, there are a number of reports of this sort and contrary

to what the Condon Committee said this was a matter of national security.

I suppose I could point out here that all said they had been required, at the time, to sign nondisclosure agreements. They wouldn't reveal what they knew and would not talk to others at their bases about this. It was a way to keep the information from spreading among the missile crews.

At any rate, we chatted on the short ride from the National Press Club to the hotel. Salas gave me a little more information and a slight preview of what he would say the next afternoon in front of the committee. I was there to watch, listened to Salas as he reported what he had seen, heard and done, and then listened to two other officers say some of the same things. UFOs had penetrated the missile sites and shut down the missile guidance systems. If an outside source could do that, it was a matter of national security.

[More at site... for the lead]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [May](#) > [May 20](#)

Re: "Super Soldier" Abduction Research

From: **Gerald O'Connell** <goc.nul>
Date: Mon, 20 May 2013 13:56:40 +0100
Archived: Mon, 20 May 2013 10:37:00 -0400
Subject: Re: "Super Soldier" Abduction Research

>From: Jason Gammon <boyinthemachine.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Sun, 19 May 2013 14:44:26 -0400 (EDT)
>Subject: Re: "Super Soldier" Abduction Research Facilitators?

<snip>

>The conscious mind would be trapped within a fantasy
>dream world while the body could then be controlled and
>manipulated like a puppet

<snip>

It's called a 'consumer society', Jason.

No need for alien intervention to bring this about, we're right
in the middle of it now, and it's all our own doing. We seem
seem to invent new control mechanisms much faster than we can
ever break out of them...

Gerald O'Connell
<http://www.saatchionline.com/gacoc>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [May](#) > [May 21](#)

Re: The Momentum Question - Cox

From: David Rudiak <drudiak.nul>
Date: Mon, 20 May 2013 11:44:39 -0700
Archived: Tue, 21 May 2013 08:29:06 -0400
Subject: Re: The Momentum Question - Cox

>From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Mon, 20 May 2013 09:22:12 +0100
>Subject: Re: The Momentum Question - Cox

>>From: Stanton T. Friedman <fsphys.nul>
>>To: <post.nul>
>>Date: Sun, 19 May 2013 10:20:09 -0300
>>Subject: Re: The Momentum Question - Cox

>So we can estimate that, to even approximately emulate the
>observed performance of some UFO's, a human-built craft would
>almost certainly have to use massive electro-magnetic power.
>Problem is - how do you carry the huge power-supply?

Make the ship's hull a huge super-capacitor to store the electrical energy. I once did a calculation that showed that, given certain assumptions, you could store enough energy for such a ship to drop down out of orbit, poke around a bit, then return to orbit. Such assumptions include very lightweight but strong materials to lessen the amount of energy needed (also reduce inertia) and dielectric material with a high dielectric constant and high breakdown voltage (enables you to store a lot of charge with a lot of energy). Basically you need better materials.

Another possibility would be to beam the energy to the small scout ships using something like microwaves.

>And for any organic occupants or passengers the problem still
>seems insurmountable - if all observed UFO manoeuvres are to be
>attempted.

The ship itself can handle the high-G accelerations if it is made of strong, lightweight materials. We have small missiles that can make 70 g turns. Paul Hill pointed out that we have had small anti-tank missiles that can handle thousands of g's since the 1960s.

As for the assumed organics, I just wrote a post covering several possibilities, including large, superconducting magnets generating a large diamagnetic force that can cancel most inertial forces. It would require about one order of magnitude improvement in present superconducting materials, also lightweight and high temperature superconductors wouldn't hurt.

>Of course both puzzles might be cured by the discovery of some
>physics presently unknown to us (like solving the inertia
>mystery) - which might also entail 'refueling' from
>thunderstorms or power-grids.

Or new physics that might reduce or eliminate inertia, an antigravity force (Heim theory predicts this), change time so that accelerations are actually over a much longer time from the perspective of the craft occupants. These are far more speculative and unproven at this time. Diamagnetism is not new physics, but the engineering problems are enormous and we still don't have the superconducting materials we would need.

David Rudiak

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [May](#) > [May 21](#)

Re: "Super Soldier" Abduction Research

From: David Chace <davidwchace.nul>
Date: Tue, 21 May 2013 00:18:22 -0700
Archived: Tue, 21 May 2013 08:31:06 -0400
Subject: Re: "Super Soldier" Abduction Research

>From: Eleanor White <ewraven1.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Sat, 18 May 2013 16:30:28 -0400
>Subject: "Super Soldier" Abduction Research Facilitators?

>I'd be interested in hearing UpDates members' opinions on three
>abduction researchers:

><http://www.supersoldiersummit.com/speaker-lineup/miles-johnston>
><http://www.supersoldiersummit.com/speaker-lineup/miesha-johnston>
><http://www.coasttocoastam.com/guest/summerscales-joanne/62810>

>By 'opinions,' I mean, are these folks respected members of the
>UFO research community?

These three people are respected by me, as members of the UFO research community. Each of them has his/her own focus and role within the field. I can offer you my subjective impressions. With any researcher in the UFO field, I generally have areas of both agreement and disagreement, around issues of perspective/belief and approach to the phenomenon. Of course, the "UFO phenomenon" is much larger than just the issue of unidentified craft in the skies. The UFO community includes many different subcultures, and many different theories about what's going on, what's important, and how best to deal with everything.

I know of Miles Johnston only through his YouTube videos and work with AMMACH. His information is worth listening to, and can sometimes provide helpful insights for experiencers and researchers.

Miesha Johnston (pronounced Mee-sha) is an incredibly loving, dedicated facilitator of experiencer support groups for abductees, starseeds and MILAB experiencers, both online through ooVoo and in person in the Las Vegas area. I have spent many hours with Miesha in her online groups, and I have great admiration and respect for her. She is sincere in her beliefs. Miesha has been facilitating support groups since the early nineties, but pulled out of the UFO field for several years following the events of 2000 and 2001, which involved harassment and intimidation by people who were opposed to the work Miesha was doing with abductee and MILAB researcher Melinda Leslie. A few years ago, Miesha returned to the UFO field and resumed her role as a support group facilitator.

Miesha and Melinda experienced a joint MILAB in 2000 after appearing together on the Art Bell show, back when they ran a website on the subject of military abductions. They were taken by van, probably to either Camp Pendleton or Miramar, based on the distance from Miesha's apartment and estimated travel time.

Because this MILAB experience involved a reptilian, I became interested, as I am an illustrator and alien encounter researcher with a focus on determining what alien beings look like. Reptilians are my favorite type of reported UFO occupant because they just look cool. Miesha described the reptilian she saw as a huge golden dragon-like biped, greater than 7 ft. tall with spines on his jowls, red pupils and big sharp teeth.

Melinda described the one she saw as an earthy green lizard man with black pupils, probably a few inches less than 7 ft. tall. Both of these reptilians had a tail.

It is possible that there was just one reptilian involved in this incident and that he disguised his appearance to make himself look more frightening to Miesha, as she had already encountered many of the "regular" greenish reptilians at that point in her life, including a friendly being she knows as Iyano. It was Melinda's first reptilian experience, though, so perhaps it was assumed that a regular reptilian would be intimidating enough. Hence the golden dragon-like form was not used for Melinda. Reptilians are typically reported to be very proud beings. One source told me that the golden color of the one Miesha saw was adornment of his own doing, to glorify himself. Memories of the details of this MILAB experience were retrieved through hypnosis.

Physical evidence of their joint MILAB included a broken coffee table that Melinda tripped over as she was being taken from the apartment, and damage to the apartment door. There are photographs of the damage to the door. I don't believe any photos were taken of the coffee table. A gentleman living downstairs is reported to have heard the noise when Melinda tripped over the glass coffee table, and he thought it was a book case falling over.

I know Joanne Summerscales, founder of AMMACH, primarily through her YouTube videos, such as her interviews with contactee Simon Parkes. I have also had limited interaction with her online. I appreciate the work she is doing to support experiencers in the UK and provide them with a place to share.

I have done my best to be as factually correct as possible in the above statements. It is not likely I will have much more to say on this through UFO Updates as the formatting is a hassle, but I do appreciate the List and the dedication of the Updates team.

- David W. Chace

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [May](#) > [May 22](#)

Re: For Those Asking For Basics On Inertia

From: Eleanor White <ewraven1.nul>
Date: Tue, 21 May 2013 17:35:06 -0400
Archived: Wed, 22 May 2013 06:23:21 -0400
Subject: Re: For Those Asking For Basics On Inertia

>From: William Treurniet <wtreurniet.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Fri, 17 May 2013 11:18:36 -0400
>Subject: Re: For Those Asking For Basics On Inertia

<snip>

>Anomalous Weight Reduction on a Gyroscope's Right Rotations
>around the Vertical Axis on the Earth

><http://tinyurl.com/a7bl5ho>

I've often wondered if the amazing ability of a frisbee to stay aloft is due to more than just aerodynamics.

Eleanor White

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [May](#) > [May 26](#)

"How Ordinary Are We?"

From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
Date: Sun, 26 May 2013 17:05:29 +0100
Archived: Sun, 26 May 2013 17:59:52 -0400
Subject: "How Ordinary Are We?"

Hello List,

I've come to expect innuendo and/or deception from Seth Shostak, so was alerted when seeing a piece in the Huffington Post titled "How Ordinary Are We?"

<http://tinyurl.com/pgyswgx>

[Quotation begins]

"51 Peg b was unexpected, and so were many of the worlds uncovered in the first decade of exoplanet discovery. Lots of so-called hot Jupiters were found, massive, gaseous bodies that pirouetted around their host stars in orbits that were often as tight as that of 51 Peg b. More recently, thousands of so-called super-Earths have been discovered -- planets somewhat larger than our own, and without peer among our solar system siblings. These results are surprising: but they are also discomfiting. Could it be that our planet isn't typical at all? If so, then maybe life isn't typical either."

[Quotation ends]

Although the article goes on to talk about the Kepler telescope, the sales-pitch 'Is Earth different or even unique?' is a total red-herring, based on a shifty false premise.

I.e. - when those planet searches were being made the methods used could only detect giant planets, so it should be no surprise they found "hot jupiters". It would've been a bigger surprise if they'd found anything else - it was impossible.

And those methods still haven't improved to the point where they can easily detect Earth-sized planets - making the whole article pointless.

So why sell it?

Cheers

Ray D

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]

[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [May](#) > [May 27](#)

New Digital UFO Magazine - UFO TODAY

From: Philip Mantle <philip.mantle.nul>
Date: Sun, 26 May 2013 11:20:10 +0100
Archived: Mon, 27 May 2013 07:05:19 -0400
Subject: New Digital UFO Magazine - UFO TODAY

Coming Soon

UFO TODAY - The New Digital Magazine From Deadgood Publishing LTD.

UFO TODAY will be edited by veteran British ufologist Philip Mantle. With regular columnists and contributors from around the world UFO TODAY aims to cover any and all aspects of the UFO enigma. Just when the skeptics had told you that UFOs were dead UFO TODAY will show them just how wrong they were. UFO TODAY will also cover the latest UFO news, views and reviews.

UFO TODAY is the newest UFO Magazine that takes a modern, informative, entertaining and interesting look at all aspects of the UFO enigma

From alien investigations to brand new UFO cases mixed with in-depth features on classic UFO sighting and interviews with UFO experts and witnesses.

Discussion and debate from all side of the UFO argument, even the skeptics get their say.

The new fresh modern UFO Magazine that takes a look at the past, the present and the future aspects of the UFO enigma - UFO TODAY - The magazine that is literally "out of this world"

Featured Columnists: Some names you will know some you may not.

Kevin Randle, John Hanson, Patricia Cori, Kevin Goodman, Rebecca Lomas and, Nick Pope.

If you have any interest in ufology then UFO TODAY could well be just exactly what you are looking for. More information will be available soon but in the meantime if you would like to know more, or perhaps you are interested in contributing or even becoming one of our regular columnists, then please contact Philip Mantle via email at:

philip.mantle.nul

Check out our facebook page at:

<http://www.facebook.com/UfoToday>

UFO TODAY website coming shortly.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [May](#) > [May 27](#)

Dr. Eric W. Davis' UFO Research Confirmed

From: J. Maynard Gelinas <j.maynard.gelinas.nul>
Date: Mon, 27 May 2013 18:02:48 +0800
Archived: Mon, 27 May 2013 07:15:57 -0400
Subject: Dr. Eric W. Davis' UFO Research Confirmed

Original source with many backing links:

<http://tinyurl.com/oc64pfa>

Colleague Confirms NASA Affiliated Physicist Dr. Eric W. Davis'
UFO Research
By James Maynard Gelinas

Astrophysicist Dr. Eric W. Davis has a curious interest in UFO research. He spoke to the UFO matter while giving an address in 2010 at a Society for Scientific Exploration meeting about a book he had recently co-edited with Dr. Marc Millis, former head of NASA's Breakthrough Propulsion Physics Project.

Furthermore, while working in an official capacity for NASA in 1999, Dr. Millis attended a venture capital event hosted by known UFO enthusiast and potential investor Joe Firmage, who had openly stated his belief in having met an extraterrestrial alien visitor. This event led to an official investigation at NASA over potential loss of intellectual property. That investigation was ultimately dropped.

During the question and answer session, Dr. Davis was asked whether UFOs had "...guided [the] thinking and research" of such speculative matters as warp drive and traversable wormholes.

Dr. Davis responded, "Secretly yes and overtly no." He explained that because "...UFOs don't have credibility with mainstream academic researchers," and because policy-makers and funding agencies "...don't like the topic of UFOs," some members of the group were forced to "...consider it under the table."

Continuing on with his comments, Davis contrasted what he considered relevant observed UFO phenomena with their work. In comparing data taken from UFO investigators such as Jacques Vallee, George Hathaway, and the questioner, he said "we've been able to use that data as input to give us an idea, and that data does drive the concepts that we did derive later on [when we did] the book and [that] went into the original NASA program."

Dr. Davis gave two examples taken from work done at the National Institute of Discovery Science, a place more colloquially known as Skinwalker Ranch, where he said investigators and the ranch owner had apparently twice witnessed wormholes open for transport. "...we had the experience of one scientist and one investigator seeing a wormhole =96 what looked like a wormhole =96 with a creature crawling through, and then the ranch owners had seen an opening in the sky in broad daylight with a triangular craft that came through it." According to Dr. Davis, this was of relevance to some investigators at NASA's Breakthrough Propulsion Physics Project, for it was "...an example of data that indicates there's a wormhole involved."

The details of Dr. Davis' statement about UFOs, including a full transcript, were previously printed in the story, "Dr. Eric W. Davis, of NASA's Breakthrough Propulsion Physics Project, Discussed UFOs During Lecture."

Dr. Jack Sarfatti, a theoretical physicist who personally knows

Dr. Davis, commented on whether the propulsion specialist has a longstanding interest in UFO research. "I know Eric Davis... I am completely aware of his position on this and what his real secret work is/was about." Dr. Sarfatti then took the opportunity to challenge Dr. Davis on an arcane matter of warp drive physics.

In referring to the possibility of UFOs using some manner of warp drive, Dr. Davis had said, "We haven't seen UFOs do performances that adhere to the warp drive.... We seem them doing ninety degree turns and rapid motions; they disappear and reappear. That is undetermined yet."

Dr. Sarfatti disagreed. "Eric is wrong about no evidence for warp drive in near earth flight, " Dr. Sarfatti argued. "180 degree turns at high speed is evidence [of warp drive], as is sudden stopping and apparent dematerialization."

Speaking to Dr. Davis' claim of two wormholes that had apparently been witnessed at Skinwalker Ranch, Dr Sarfatti challenged Dr. Davis's line of reasoning. "[Dr. Davis] contradicts himself when he talks about the possible wormhole on the [Skinwalker] Ranch. Wormhole and warp drive physics are both essentially the same and the evidence is that there is a low power technology for them."

Skinwalker Ranch is a property located in Uintah County, Utah that is near an area believed by locals and nearby Native Americans to be a center of anomalous events. There, according to its website, a group with former military and intelligence community connections as well as high academic credentials, along with well known Las Vegas television investigative reporter George Knapp, have worked together investigating alleged anomalous events. According to those SSE statements, Dr. Davis worked for Mr. Bigelow there for six years.

The owner of that property is reclusive billionaire Robert Bigelow, who is a real estate developer and founder of the Budget Suite hotel franchise. He has a longstanding public interest in UFOs and bought the property in 1995 specifically to investigate those claims. Professional skeptic James Randi once gave Mr. Bigelow the Pigasus Award for having funded what he termed a 'useless study' of an 'old haunted ranch.'

But Mr. Bigelow is interested in more than just running a hotel chain and supporting investigative work into UFOs. In 1999 he founded Bigelow Aerospace, which seeks to launch an inflatable space station and possible hotel in orbit and maybe build a base on the moon. Interestingly, Bigelow Aerospace is only one of two organizations that, according to FAA guidelines, pilots are directed to forward UFO reports. A skeptical article on Mr. Bigelow's UFO aerospace company stated:

"...there is one space-related issue troubling Mr. Bigelow, one on which he feels the need to obtain, even at potentially great cost, the best counsel available: UFOs. It is not clear whether he fears that UFOs will interfere with his future orbiting hotel chain or if he believes that UFOs harbor some secrets of propulsion or anti-gravity that his engineers might someday be able to put to good use. Whichever it is, Bigelow has contracted MUFON, the largest UFO group in the U.S., with potentially very large sums of money for the pursuit of first-hand UFO information. Indeed, longtime UFO activist Ed Komarek is suggesting that Bigelow's goal is nothing less than an 'alien reengineering project.' "

Dr. Davis currently works at the firm Earth Tech for physicist and owner, Dr. Harold Puthoff; a man who is also listed on the Skinwalker Ranch bio page for having contributed to that project as well. Dr. Puthoff apparently became connected with the ranch project in 1996, when he posted a mission statement in support of Mr. Bigelow's NIDS initiative.

According to the Skinwalker Ranch website, Dr. Puthoff "...served with the NSA during his tour with the navy... and would later stay on as a civilian." Information that is not available on the man's Wikipedia bio page. His main project of public notoriety was government-sponsored research into PSI phenomena back in the 1970s and 1980s. It was conducted at then Stanford University associated Stanford Research Institute, where he ran the Remote Viewing program from 1972 through to

1985.

Dr. Puthoff's controversial SRI project was funded to see if psychic phenomena could be used for clandestine purposes. That work led to a classified government project called STAR GATE, a CIA and then DIA (Defense Intelligence Agency) funded program intended to obtain intelligence information. It was then a Cold War response to Soviet interest in psychic phenomena and was formally terminated in 1995.

Professional skeptics, in responding to a statistical analysis of PSI research findings, have challenged the research in general:

"Because even if [they] are correct and we were to find that we could reproduce the findings under specified conditions, this would still be a far cry from concluding that psychic functioning has been demonstrated. This is because the current claim is based entirely upon a negative outcome..."

Dr. Puthoff responded to the standard scientific view of skepticism for such phenomena in the book *Mind Reach*, co-written with SRI colleague Dr. Russel Targ. Noting that they had once attempted to submit a paper and received a response by one journal editor, "This is the kind of thing that I would not believe in even if it existed." (P. 169, Hampton Roads Publishing ed.)

In 1985, after Puthoff ended his relationship with the PSI program, he shifted direction to a new line of work. From the early 1990s, he began publishing papers on polarizable vacuum and Stochastic Electrodynamics, authoring or coauthoring such works as "Inertia as a Zero-Point Field Lorentz Force," as well as, "Polarizable-Vacuum Approach to General Relativity," and, "Polarizable Vacuum 'Metric Engineering' Approach to GR Effects." This material is considered by many physicists in the advanced propulsion community to be highly relevant to their ideas.

Skeptics have a negative opinion on that work as well, contemptuously referring to Dr. Puthoff's ideas as 'fringe physics'. A *Skeptical Inquirer* article by Martin Gardner spoke to Dr. Puthoff's polarizable vacuum and zero-point ideas in this impolite manner:

"The nation's number two drumbeater for [Zero-Point-Energy] is none other than Harold Puthoff, who runs a think tank in Austin, Texas, where efforts to tap ZPE have been underway for years. In December 1997, to its shame, *Scientific American* ran an article praising Puthoff for his efforts."

But it's not just Dr. Davis who has a connection with UFO researchers. Dr. Marc Millis met with UFO proponents and venture capitalists in 1999, while he was still on payroll at NASA. This led to an internal NASA investigation into the matter.

According to a 1999 report published in the *San Francisco Chronicle*, the NASA Office of the Inspector General had been conducting an investigation into a planned meeting between NASA Ames Research Center staff and Silicon Valley venture capitalists. They had planned to meet at an International Space Sciences Organization (ISSO) event to discuss potential advanced propulsion technologies. The founder of that organization, Joe Firmage, was at the time and remains today, convinced that extraterrestrial spacecraft exist and further that he had met an alien.

In that article, it was reported that NASA's concern was that proprietary information owned by the government agency might be leaked to private sources. Dr Sarfatti argued that the investigation had been dropped with no findings of wrongdoing. "[It] was nonsense," Sarfatti said. "[B]ureaucratic incompetence at NASA and it came to nothing. Too many Keystone Kop NASA security people with too little to do I suppose."

Dr. Sarfatti said that the meeting had taken place "...at the Free Mason Hall in San Francisco on Van Ness Ave." He didn't recall if Dr. Davis had been in attendance, but a long list of other UFOlogy luminaries and then head of NASA's BPP was. "Marc Millis, John Alexander, John Peterson were there," he said, among several others.

In an email, Dr. Marc Millis confirmed that he had attended that event at the "invitation of sponsors." Dr. Millis also agreed with Sarfatti that the investigation had been dropped with no findings of wrongdoing and that the event was entirely above board.

When I asked Dr. Millis why, while still holding an official role at NASA, he had attended a meeting with luminaries of UFOlogy and a venture capitalist who all openly believe in UFO and alien visitations, he declined to comment.

Regarding the other attendees Dr. Sarfatti listed, Col. John Alexander wrote, UFOs: Myths, Conspiracies, and Realities, and is often remembered as the man who George Clooney portrayed in the film The Men Who Stare At Goats. John Peterson is a Futurist and founder of the Arlington Institute, and is known for having contacted the Director of Central Intelligence James Woosley in 1993 in order to obtain information on UFOs.

Dr. Sarfatti is a former assistant professor of physics at San Diego State University. He's held research fellowship positions at Birkbeck College in London, where he worked with renowned physicist David Bohm; the Cornell Space Science Center; Atomic Energy Research Establishment in Great Britain; and the Max Planck Institute in Germany. He is noted for being part of a semi-underground counter-culture physics society called the, "Fundamental Fysics Group". MIT physicist and historian David Kaiser detailed that story in his recent book, How the Hippies Saved Physics.

Dr. Davis obtained a PhD in Astrophysics in 1991 from the University of Arizona. He co-founded the NASA-JSC's (Johnson Space Center) Advanced Deep Space Transport Technology Assessment Group. He is also the author of numerous speculative peer-reviewed papers on wormholes, warp drive, quantum teleportation, and other advanced propulsion studies. And, as referred to earlier, he co-edited a book on the research findings from NASA's Breakthrough Propulsion Physics Project before funding for ongoing work was cancelled in 2002. Dr. Davis is listed on the web page of Millis' successor organization, the Tau Zero Foundation, as among those of the Tau Zero Network. In addition, Dr. Millis confirmed that Davis had "volunteered some consulting" for the NASA effort, and that both had edited the book, Frontiers of Propulsion Science, together. He is currently scheduled to speak on advanced propulsion at the 2013 Mutual UFO Network Symposium.

Regarding general research into warp drive and gravity manipulation, a recent io9 article quoted NASA physicist Harold White as saying that a bench lab test is in progress. In addition, Bigelow Aerospace and NASA have announced a partnership where the firm:

"...will work with a variety of commercial space companies to assess and develop options for innovative and dynamic private and public investments to create infrastructure to support domestic and international government exploration activities alongside revenue generating private sector enterprises."

It should be noted that Dr. Davis' words and Mr. Bigelow's interest in UFOs are entirely at odds with the longstanding official NASA position that "...there is no evidence for visits of intelligent aliens to Earth, either now or in the past."

Neither Drs. Davis nor Puthoff responded to requests for comment.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [May](#) > [May 28](#)

Re: "Super Soldier" Abduction Research

From: Jason Gammon <boyinthemachine.nul>
Date: Tue, 28 May 2013 00:55:07 -0400 (EDT)
Archived: Tue, 28 May 2013 04:55:38 -0400
Subject: Re: "Super Soldier" Abduction Research

>From: Gerald O'Connell <goc.nul>
>To: <post.nul>
>Date: Mon, 20 May 2013 13:56:40 +0100
>Subject: Re: "Super Soldier" Abduction Research Facilitators?

>>From: Jason Gammon <boyinthemachine.nul>
>>To: post.nul
>>Date: Sun, 19 May 2013 14:44:26 -0400 (EDT)
>>Subject: Re: "Super Soldier" Abduction Research Facilitators?

<snip>

>>The conscious mind would be trapped within a fantasy
>>dream world while the body could then be controlled and
>>manipulated like a puppet

<snip>

>It's called a 'consumer society', Jason.

>No need for alien intervention to bring this about, we're right
>in the middle of it now, and it's all our own doing. We seem
>seem to invent new control mechanisms much faster than we can
>ever break out of them...

>Gerald O'Connell
><http://www.saatchionline.com/gacoc>

I apologize for my late reply. A tornado cramped my style.

When one researches the abduction phenomenon one quickly ascertains that abductees seldom, if ever, are allowed to be in a normal mind-state during the abduction. They are almost always kept in a mental state that allows them to be easily manipulated or controlled.

Additionally, abductees report various psychological testing, including staged scenarios. The scenarios are completely in the minds of the abductee.

At some point during the staged scenario the abductee will snap out of it and realize it is not real. The abductee may 'open their eyes' and realize that a person they thought they were communicating with is actually an alien.

This speculation arose when I was trying to ascertain the purpose of these staged scenarios. It dawned on me that perhaps they are not testing what we think they are testing.

For example, they may be testing what causes abductees to break-out of these scenarios. So something happens in these staged events to cause an abductee to snap out of it and realize it's not real.

To put it another way, we may be teaching them how to build a perfect prison for us. Connecting this with the insane control-freak behavior of the visitors I ascertained, at least on my end of things, that perhaps the goal is to completely sever the

conscious mind from control of the body.

As you may know both our conscious minds and our unconscious minds control our body. Our unconscious mind is actually boss of the body but it allows our conscious minds to think it is in control.

So if our conscious mind can be completely cut off from having any control over the body, perhaps being kept imprisoned in a dream-like state, then the body may possibly be used like a puppet.

It's just speculation, scary but speculation no less.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]

[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [May](#) > [May 28](#)

Grant Cameron's 'Mental Effects'

From: Jason Gammon <boyinthemachine.nul>
Date: Tue, 28 May 2013 01:05:30 -0400 (EDT)
Archived: Tue, 28 May 2013 04:57:30 -0400
Subject: Grant Cameron's 'Mental Effects'

Does anyone have more info on Grant Cameron's views on the 'mental effects' with regard to UFOs?

To be specific, is he suggesting this is magic or advanced technology. From what little I've heard from him on this it seems he is pushing for the former.

People always forget Arthur C. Clarke's famous quote, "Any sufficiently advanced technology is indistinguishable from magic."

-Jason Gammon

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [May](#) > [May 29](#)

Re: Grant Cameron's 'Mental Effects'

From: David Rudiak <drudiak.nul>
Date: Tue, 28 May 2013 12:07:18 -0700
Archived: Wed, 29 May 2013 07:22:56 -0400
Subject: Re: Grant Cameron's 'Mental Effects'

>From: Jason Gammon <boyinthemachine.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Tue, 28 May 2013 01:05:30 -0400 (EDT)
>Subject: Grant Cameron's 'Mental Effects'

>Does anyone have more info on Grant Cameron's views on the
>'mental effects' with regard to UFOs?

I don't know Grant's personal views on how it might work, but he does bring it up with regards to the Wilbert Smith Nov. 21, 1950 memo. Besides saying the saucers are real, classified higher than the H-bomb, and a small group under Dr. Vannevar Bush were looking into their "modus operandi", also brings up the subject "mental phenomena" being investigated. Smith would also sometimes bring up being in mental contact with the "boys topside".

Another "mental case" that Grant has looked into was that of Mrs. Francis Swan, a 1950s contactee, who said she was in mental contact with an alien named AFFA. I helped Grant dig up some obscure information on this, such as the 1954 orbiting satellite story that broke in the news in August 1954.

But earlier that year, Mrs. Swan, through automatic writing sessions, had reported that AFFA was in one of two spacecraft orbiting the earth. Donald Keyhoe was reporting this back in May 1954, that his sources (not Mrs. Swan) were reporting two large satellites orbiting earth. (This was over 3 years before the Soviets put up the first satellite.) Earlier in the year, astronomer Clyde Tombaugh was reported looking for such satellites with his telescopes, under contract with the Army.

Rear Admiral Herbert Knowles and his wife, who lived near Swan and knew her, took her claims very seriously, with Knowles then funneling Swan's claims up through intelligence channels. The report finally ending up on Eisenhower's desk in June. Then the story broke in August about two satellites orbiting earth and that Tombaugh and fellow astronomer Dr. Lincoln La Paz had found them. Both denied the story, but the New York Times cited a source close to the project who said it was indeed true, but \ it had nothing to do with flying saucers. These were, allegedly, "natural satellites".

(Both Tombaugh and La Paz had publicly-admitted-to UFO sightings, La Paz known to have been contracted by the military to investigate the green fireballs that started up in late 1948 and has also been linked through several witnesses to the Roswell case. One of La Paz's sightings, a disc, was only two days after the Roswell story broke and only about 70 miles from Roswell. This sighting he kept to himself for many years, unlike his green fireball sighting. It was used in the 1952 LIFE magazine article on UFOs, but La Paz was anonymous.)

I have some of the satellite story on my website (but not the Mrs. Swan story):

http://www.roswellproof.com/ramey_and_ufos.html#anchor_3625

http://www.roswellproof.com/Satellites_Keyhoe_May1954.html

http://www.roswellproof.com/Satellites_NYTIMES_1954.html

The Swan story gets even more bizarre when a CIA officer asked AFFA through Swan for proof, and AFFA said to go look outside, and depending on which witness is asked, they either did or did not see a flying saucer appear. But this incident about mental communication also appears in a redacted document. Those may be the only two such documents in the public domain that bring up the subject in relation to UFOs. (Maybe someone knows of more.) More on the weird Swan/AFFA/CIA story:

http://presidentialufo.com/old_site/affa_cia.htm

Grant, in one public lecture I attended, also mentioned his own experiences with regards to literally chasing on foot small probes out in the field and being under the impression that his mind was being read on occasion (if I remember correctly, which maybe I'm not, so don't quote me here). This was back in 1975-76 in Manitoba during a huge UFO wave there when the UFOs hung around for months. Grant now thinks this may be related to the U.S. nuclear missile UFO incursions taking place at the same time just over the border with Canada. The UFOs would "cool their heels" over in friendlier Canada, maybe, when they weren't harassing the missile sites.

>To be specific, is he suggesting this is magic or advanced technology. From what little I've heard from him on this it >seems he is pushing for the former.

>People always forget Arthur C. Clarke's famous quote, "Any >sufficiently advanced technology is indistinguishable from >magic."

I don't think Grant believes in magic. I suspect he either believes this is a natural ability and/or some technology.

David Rudiak

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [May](#) > [May 30](#)

Re: Grant Cameron's 'Mental Effects'

From: Jerome Clark <jkclark.nul>
Date: Wed, 29 May 2013 08:47:40 -0500
Archived: Thu, 30 May 2013 15:13:04 -0400
Subject: Re: Grant Cameron's 'Mental Effects'

>From: David Rudiak <drudiak.nul>
>To: [post.nul](#)
>Date: Tue, 28 May 2013 12:07:18 -0700
>Subject: Re: Grant Cameron's 'Mental Effects'

>>From: Jason Gammon <boyinthemachine.nul>
>>To: [post.nul](#)
>>Date: Tue, 28 May 2013 01:05:30 -0400 (EDT)
>>Subject: Grant Cameron's 'Mental Effects'

>>Does anyone have more info on Grant Cameron's views on the
>>'mental effects' with regard to UFOs?

>I don't know Grant's personal views on how it might work, but he
>does bring it up with regards to the Wilbert Smith Nov. 21, 1950
>memo. Besides saying the saucers are real, classified higher
>than the H-bomb, and a small group under Dr. Vannevar Bush were
>looking into their "modus operandi", also brings up the subject
>"mental phenomena" being investigated. Smith would also
>sometimes bring up being in mental contact with the "boys
>topside".

>Another "mental case" that Grant has looked into was that of
>Mrs. Francis Swan, a 1950s contactee, who said she was in mental
>contact with an alien named AFFA. I helped Grant dig up some
>obscure information on this, such as the 1954 orbiting satellite
>story that broke in the news in August 1954.

That's Frances (the female name), not Francis (the male
equivalent), Swan.

Mrs. Swan's psychic contacts gave rise to a fantastic legend
about UFOs over CIA headquarters. The legend, which David
mentions, isn't true (or, more accurately, is wildly
exaggerated). Nor is there any reason to believe Swan's aliens
existed outside her head.

Still, it's one of the more colorful episodes from the early
saucer contactee era. There is a comprehensive account of the
episode (along with a separate treatment of Wilbert Smith's
involvement with space communicants) in my UFO Encyclopedia, if
anyone wants to know more.

Jerry Clark

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced
without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [May](#) > [May 30](#)

Re: Grant Cameron's 'Mental Effects'

From: Jason Gammon <boyinthemachine.nul>
Date: Wed, 29 May 2013 15:57:27 -0400 (EDT)
Archived: Thu, 30 May 2013 15:16:14 -0400
Subject: Re: Grant Cameron's 'Mental Effects'

>From: David Rudiak <drudiak.nul>
>To: [post.nul](#)
>Date: Tue, 28 May 2013 12:07:18 -0700
>Subject: Re: Grant Cameron's 'Mental Effects'

>>From: Jason Gammon <boyinthemachine.nul>
>>To: [post.nul](#)
>>Date: Tue, 28 May 2013 01:05:30 -0400 (EDT)
>>Subject: Grant Cameron's 'Mental Effects'

>>Does anyone have more info on Grant Cameron's views on the
>>'mental effects' with regard to UFOs?

>I don't know Grant's personal views on how it might work, but he
>does bring it up with regards to the Wilbert Smith Nov. 21, 1950
>memo. Besides saying the saucers are real, classified higher
>than the H-bomb, and a small group under Dr. Vannevar Bush were
>looking into their "modus operandi", also brings up the subject
>"mental phenomena" being investigated. Smith would also
>sometimes bring up being in mental contact with the "boys
>topside".

>Another "mental case" that Grant has looked into was that of
>Mrs. Francis Swan, a 1950s contactee, who said she was in mental
>contact with an alien named AFFA. I helped Grant dig up some
>obscure information on this, such as the 1954 orbiting satellite
>story that broke in the news in August 1954.
Yes, I'm familiar with both examples. I have no opinion on Mrs.
Swan. She could have just guessed this information, meaning it
would be a coincidence. She may have indeed been contacted
by an intelligence. However, I seriously doubt it's name was
AFFA and I doubt any suggestion other than it would have had
and ulterior motive for the contact.

<snip>
>Grant, in one public lecture I attended, also mentioned his own
>experiences with regards to literally chasing on foot small
>probes out in the field and being under the impression that his
>mind was being read on occasion (if I remember correctly, which
>maybe I'm not, so don't quote me here). This was back in 1975-76
>in Manitoba during a huge UFO wave there when the UFOs hung
>around for months. Grant now thinks this may be related to the
>U.S. nuclear missile UFO incursions taking place at the same
>time just over the border with Canada. The UFOs would "cool
>their heels" over in friendlier Canada, maybe, when they weren't
>harassing the missile sites.
Such would be a common reported occurrence.
>>To be specific, is he suggesting this is magic or advanced
>>technology. From what little I've heard from him on this it
>>seems he is pushing for the former.

>>People always forget Arthur C. Clarke's famous quote, "Any
>>sufficiently advanced technology is indistinguishable from
>>magic."

>I don't think Grant believes in magic. I suspect he either
>believes this is a natural ability and/or some technology.

David, by magic I mean any suggestion other than technology.
There is a considerable percentage of people in the UFO field

who are caught up in magical thinking and who are in my opinion chasing rainbows. Not only do they believe that telepathy and the mental effects are naturally evolved abilities but such belief tends to go hand-in-hand with the 'star trek fantasies', of a union of organic species across the galaxy or multiple galaxies, or the notion of humans living and working side-by-side with aliens, as if they were equal. I'm sure some even fantasize about inter-species dating. I don't know what it's going to take to shake these people loose from these delusions.

A Machine Which Can Read Your Mind

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4ggVhoHJW6s>

The technology (Brain-Computer Interface) in the above video is an extremely primitive version of the technology that the visitors are exhibiting. Their technology is sufficiently advanced that they have a wireless form of this technology. If they can interface with the human mind then it's only a small skip to the suggestion that they can also effect our perceptions and even control us. Not only could they read our minds but they could also predict our behavior in advance of our actions.

When Machines Design Machines

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=puis1LSWuO>

At about 13:41 in the above video is where it gets interesting. Notice the antenna that was designed by A.I. We are at the beginning of the end of civilization and humanity as we know it. Machines have already begun to design machines. Eventually the day will dawn where no human input will be required, or even wanted. This will quickly be followed by the time when humans couldn't input advances as the human mind simply would not be able to keep up. The push for the RFID chip back in 2003 was the first step toward our cyborg future for the majority of citizens. We ultimately chose to reject the RFID chips but we can't fight the future. The development of cyborgs must continue if mankind is to exist in some fashion. However, the development of cyborgs will also serve to push normal humans toward extinction as well. So as I stated before the only way for normal humans to survive is if a 'god' felt pity for humans and established a planet for them elsewhere where they can start over and develop on their own until they reach the point once again where machines advanced beyond humans and the whole process repeats.

The same future we face must have played out countless times on other worlds.

It's just so frustrating that almost nobody is able to perceive the UFO phenomenon in the same way as I do. Sure, there have been others who have suggest that the grays are cyborgs. Corso, Dolan, and Strieber have all suggested this. I'm just pushing it to the next level, and have been for years. Not only are the occupants possibly cyborgs but that they may not even be in control. but may be mere pawns of an advanced machine intelligence.

It also heavily bugs me when people claim that the visitors are friendly because they don't blow us up. An ant colony doesn't attack at once. It sends out scouts. If a scout finds a good source of food it returns. A few more follow the trail and then return home. Even more venture out next time. Eventually the point is reached where the entire nest is on the march. We may be seeing something similar to this. If this is a machine intelligence it would most likely view us as a resource. The abduction phenomenon is probably good evidence that they are already tapping this resource.

As far as Cameron goes, I've not heard or seen anything from him suggesting he believes these mental effects are from technology. That worries me.

-Jason Gammon

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [May](#) > [May 30](#)

Davis' Advanced Propulsion Paper Describes

From: J. Maynard Gelinas <j.maynard.gelinas.nul>
Date: Thu, 30 May 2013 15:21:41 +0800
Archived: Thu, 30 May 2013 15:21:58 -0400
Subject: Davis' Advanced Propulsion Paper Describes

So I've been reading Dr. Davis' actual papers. He has an advanced propulsion overview available which was apparently done for the Air Force. See here:

<http://alnaspaceprogram.org/papers/advanced%20propulsion%20study.pdf>

Therein he begins with a list of prosaic propulsion concepts and possible timeframes to achieve. We're talking stuff like SSTO chemical rocketry, nuclear heat accelerated exhaust, laser / maser energy transfer, railgun, space elevator, and even Bussard style fusion. Neat, but not warp drive.

Then, in section 4.2.1, he moves on to discussing 'vacuum engineering' to achieve warp drive and traversable wormholes. Really speculative, but it's the fun stuff I wanted to read. However, before going there, he gives the most cogent explanation of Puthoff's PV-GR ideas I've seen anywhere. I mean, as a lay person, trying to dig through Puthoff's papers and grasp any meaning whatsoever is like trying to eat a hot fudge sundae with chopsticks.

Here are Puthoff's papers for those on List who can penetrate through the obtuse language and maths. Waaaay beyond me.

http://www.zpower.com/ge/documents/ZPEPaper_InertiaAsAZeroPointFieldLorenzForce.pdf

http://www.earthtech.org/publications/puthoff_vigier.PDF

<http://earthtech.org/publications/Mitre%20Conference.pdf>

And please note that skeptics deride this stuff as pure nuttury:

http://www.csicop.org/si/show/dr._bearden_vacuum_energy

"The nation's number two drumbeater for [Zero-Point-Energy] is none other than Harold Puthoff, who runs a think tank in Austin, Texas, where efforts to tap ZPE have been underway for years. In December 1997, to its shame, Scientific American ran an article praising Puthoff for his efforts."

Bearden then insults Puthoff's religious views (or former religious views - whatever), in a personal attack that's entirely beside the point yet nasty to the core. These guys really don't like Puthoff. But still, it's instructive to recognize that the general establishment view is that these ideas by Puthoff violate fundamental principles of physics - energy conservation for one - and therefore cannot be valid. Always walk into speculative quicksand with a rope tied around your waist to pull back onto firm ground when you sink too far.

Anyway, this is what Davis says about Puthoff's work in section 4.2.1 of that paper:

Long Quote:

"The role of virtual particle pairs in determining the ϵ_0 of the vacuum is analogous to that of atoms/molecules in

determining the relative permittivity $\epsilon = \epsilon_0 \epsilon_r$ (and $\mu = \mu_0 \mu_r$) of a dielectric material. We know that the absorption/re-emission of photons by atoms/molecules in a transparent medium (note: there are no strongly absorbing resonances, so the atoms/molecules remain in their excited states for a very short time before re-emitting photons) is responsible for the refractive index of the medium, which results in the reduction of the speed of light for photons propagating through the medium. This absorption/re-emission process is also known in physics as a scattering process. We know from experiment that a change in the medium leads to a change in $\epsilon = \epsilon_0 \epsilon_r$ ($\mu = \mu_0 \mu_r$), thus resulting in a change of the refractive index. The key point arising from this analogy is that a modification of the vacuum produces a change in $\epsilon = \epsilon_0 \epsilon_r$ ($\mu = \mu_0 \mu_r$) resulting in a subsequent change in c , and hence, a corresponding change in the vacuum refraction index.

Scharnhorst (1990) and Latorre et al. (1995) have since proved that the suppression of light scattering by virtual particle pairs (a.k.a. coherent light-by-light scattering) in the vacuum causes an increase in the speed of light accompanied by a decrease in the vacuum refraction index. This very unique effect is accomplished in a Casimir Effect capacitor cavity (or waveguide) whereby the vacuum quantum field fluctuations (a.k.a. zero-point fluctuations or ZPF) inside have been modified (becoming anisotropic and non-translational invariant) to satisfy the electromagnetic boundary conditions imposed by the presence of the capacitor plates (or waveguide walls). The principal result of this modification is the removal of the electromagnetic zero-point energy (ZPE) due to the suppression of vacuum ZPE modes with wavelengths longer than the cavity/waveguide cutoff ($\omega = \omega_0 = \frac{3}{2} \frac{c}{2d}$, where $d = \frac{3}{2}$ plate separation). This removal of free space vacuum ZPE modes suppresses the scattering of light by virtual particle pairs, thus producing the speed of light increase (and corresponding decrease in the vacuum refraction index). We know from standard optical physics and quantum electrodynamics (QED) that the optical phase and group velocities can exceed c under certain physical conditions, but dispersion always ensures that the signal velocity is $v = \frac{c}{n} = \frac{c}{\sqrt{\epsilon \mu}} = \frac{c}{\sqrt{\epsilon_r \mu_r}} = \frac{c}{\sqrt{\epsilon_r \mu_r}}$. But recent QED calculations (Scharnhorst, 1990; Latorre et al., 1995) have proved that in the Casimir Effect system, the dispersive effects are much weaker still than those associated with the increase in c so that the phase, group and signal velocities will therefore all increase by the same amount. Note that, in general, no dispersion shows up in all of the modified vacuum effects examined by investigators.

The polarizable-vacuum representation of general relativity (a.k.a. PV-GR) treats the vacuum as a polarizable medium of variable refractive index (Puthoff, 1999, 2002a, b; Puthoff et al., 2002). The PVGR approach treats spacetime metric changes in terms of equivalent changes in the vacuum permittivity and permeability constants ($\epsilon = \epsilon_0 \epsilon_r$ and $\mu = \mu_0 \mu_r$), essentially along the lines of the " $\epsilon = \epsilon_0 \epsilon_r = \mu = \mu_0 \mu_r$ " methodology used in comparative studies of alternative metric theories of gravity (reviewed by Davis, 2004). Such an approach, relying as it does on parameters familiar to engineers, can be considered a "metric engineering" approach. Maxwell's equations in curved space are treated in the isomorphism of a polarizable medium of variable refractive index in flat space (Volkov et al., 1971); the bending of a light ray near a massive body is modeled as due to an induced spatial variation in the refractive index of the vacuum near the body; the reduction in the velocity of light in a gravitational potential is represented by an effective increase in the refractive index of the vacuum, and so forth. This optical-engineering approach has been shown to be quite general (de Felice, 1971; Evans et al., 1996a, b).

As recently elaborated by Puthoff (1999, 2002a, b; Puthoff et al., 2002) the PV-GR approach, which was first introduced by Wilson (1921) and then developed by Dicke (1957, 1961), can be carried out in a self-consistent way so as to reproduce to appropriate order both the equations of general relativity and the match to the standard astrophysics weak-field experimental (PPN parameters and other) tests of those equations while posing testable modifications for strong-field conditions. It is in application that the PVGR approach demonstrates its intuitive appeal and provides additional insight into what is meant by a curved spacetime metric.

Specifically, the PV-GR approach treats such measures as the speed of light, the length of rulers (atomic bond lengths), the

frequency of clocks, particle masses, and so forth, in terms of a variable vacuum dielectric constant K in which the vacuum permittivity ϵ_0 transforms as $\epsilon = \epsilon_0 K$ and the vacuum permeability transforms as $\mu = \mu_0 / K$ (see also Rucker, 1977).

In a planetary or solar gravitational potential $K = 3D \exp(2GM/rc^2) > 1$ (M is a local mass distribution, r is the radial distance from the center of M) while $K = 1$ in "empty" or free asymptotic space (Puthoff, 1999, 2002a, b; Puthoff et al., 2002). In the former case, the speed of light is reduced, light emitted from an atom is redshifted as compared with a remote static atom (where $K = 1$), clocks run slower, objects/rulers shrink, etc.

Davis (2004) reports that a small number of $K < 1$ solutions were developed that describe FTL motion [i.e., c is increased, or the vacuum refraction index < 1 , when the modified vacuum has a lower energy density] which is generated by some distributed negative energy density such that the total energy density of the system as seen by remote observers is approximately zero. These solutions are similar in function to that of a traversable wormhole (see the next Section) or warp drive effect (Alcubierre, 1994), which both arose from Einstein's General Relativity Theory and are both generated by distributed negative energy density in spacetime. On this basis, we therefore offer the following conjecture: An ETO propulsion concept can be envisioned whereby an aerospace vehicle uses specially engineered energy fields to modify the local gravity field (via modifying the vacuum index of refraction) so that the craft can be lifted from the Earth's surface and propelled up to orbit. We can exploit this mechanism to propel an aerospace vehicle into and around space without having to necessarily engage any FTL motion. A dedicated research program will be necessary to develop and mature this propulsion concept."

{End Quote}

OK. These are some extremely strange claims. And that's just a small selection of that section in the paper, Davis goes on to extend this concept to speculative warp drive and flat opening traversable wormhole generation. My head spins. But I'll try to untangle what he says here as best a lay person might.

It starts with refraction. Anyone who took entry level physics would have shined a laser beam from air into water and watched the beam bend as it changed mediums. That's an example of refraction. Here's wikipedia to the rescue:

<http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Refraction>

As Davis notes, this is due to molecular absorption and reemission of photons changing the group velocity of light while shifting from one medium to the next; also known as scattering. That is, the velocity of light in a vacuum is limited to c (in ordinary physics). But through a transparent medium of a given density, it will slow down proportionally to a known limit. That's why refracted light bends as it transitions to a new transparent medium.

Feynman gives perhaps the most entertaining and informative lecture on light reflection and refraction available on the Internet. He speaks in terms that are very easy for the layperson to understand, yet seems to delve pretty deeply into the subject. A real fun watch.

"Corpuscles of Light" <http://vega.org.uk/video/programme/45>

OK, so the angle at which light deflects while changing mediums is called the Refractive Index, which changes in proportion to the density of the medium. So a flat plate glass sheet has one refractive index, water another, etc.

Anyway, that's background entry level stuff, and for Davis it's assumed that the reader understands this.

He then moves on to Puthoff's speculative work. The vacuum background is assumed to be made up of a sea of virtual particle-antiparticle instantiations and annihilation that spring to life and disappear randomly within exceptionally short time-spans, but which on average result in the lowest possible

energy state for a given volume. See here:

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Vacuum_energy

According to an establishment view, one can't extract energy from the vacuum because it's already at the lowest possible energy state. Except for the Casimir effect, which has already been tested in the lab and known to be real. See here:

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Casimir_effect

Here's Casimir's classic paper on the subject:

<http://www.dwc.knaw.nl/DL/publications/PU00018547.pdf>

It was predicted back in 1948 by Hendrik Casimir. In simple lay terms, when two conducting plates are placed very close together - at nanometer scales - the plates will kinetically attract. Where does that energy come from? I've asked many physicists this question and they all wave their hands saying something like, "Well, it's an interesting curiosity, but you can't use the energy for anything useful. Forget it."

One of the reasons for discounting the effect as relevant for engineering is because if one could extract useful energy from the vacuum, it would immediately imply a violation of the 2nd Law of Thermodynamics. As in: an energy conservation violation. And nobody's ever seen that before. So the assumption is that this curiosity occurs at such small scales, with such small energies, and since the effect of conductive plate attraction suggests some mechanical means of generating useful work (one needs to impart energy to pull the plates back apart), how could it be possible to convert that effect into an energy extractive work cycle? So, forget it kid; exploring the Casimir effect will go nowhere.

Au Contraire! Sez Puthoff, Davis, et all.

Let's look at the problem through the metaphorical lens of refraction. Suppose these virtual particle-antiparticle annihilations could be viewed as a medium through which light propagates. The scattering effect of these virtual particles in a vacuum thus represents a refractive index for c . Well, what if it were possible to change the state of this vacuum medium? Wouldn't that change the speed of c in a vacuum, perhaps even increase it beyond the established speed limit?

Whoa. I can just see traditional physicists thrashing around and grasping their chests in apoplectic sputtering. That has GOT TO BE WRONG. And let's be honest here, the consensus scientific view is probably right. But that doesn't mean Davis isn't entertaining, and that the idea isn't fun to think about.

Davis goes further in that quote. He proposes a way to test this idea. He says, 'hey, why not run light through a Casimir gap as a waveguide and see if it comes out the other end faster than the expected value of c ?' Which gets back to the notion of a refractive index. From my limited understanding, I think what he's saying is that if one did this, the proposed result should be light reacting with a NEGATIVE REFRACTIVE INDEX. Which is very interesting. Because there have recently been discovered and manufactured certain atomic scale material arrays which ALREADY SHOW THIS EFFECT. They're called 'metamaterials'. See:

<http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Metamaterial>

And note that metamaterials are already being used to do nifty things like bend light around objects in order to camouflage their existence; an invisibility cloak, for example. It gets a whole lot weirder if you dig into the metamaterial literature. And this stuff is definitely real. And notice in that wikipedia page a microscopic photo of one metamaterial. What does it look like? An array of nanometer scale TUBES - just like Davis' proposed 'Casimir waveguides.' Only the traditional science folks describe it as an effect of sub-wave-length EM waveguides having an unexpected effect. Still, what a strange correlation.

Davis then continues on with a discussion of Puthoff's attempt to normalize his idea with Einstein's General Theory of

Relativity, which is really a way to topologically map the idea using a distorted coordinate system in relation to gravitational effects and shifting reference frames. Another problem my little layperson's brain finds difficult to grasp in detail. However, note Davis' comparison of Puthoff's proposed effect to gravitational lensing. Oh my. That's interesting.

BUT, in my little lay mind, I immediately associated this stuff with Smolyaninov's suggestion of using metamaterials with their negative refractive index to model potential warp drive effects. See here:

<http://arxiv.org/pdf/1009.5663v2.pdf>

Abstract:

"Electromagnetic metamaterials are capable of emulating many exotic space-time geometries, such as black holes, rotating cosmic strings, and the big bang singularity. Here we present a metamaterial-based model of the Alcubierre warp drive, and study its limitations due to available range of material parameters. It appears that the material parameter range introduces strong limitations on the achievable "warp speed", so that ordinary magnetoelectric materials cannot be used. On the other hand, newly developed "perfect" bi-anisotropic non-reciprocal magnetoelectric metamaterials should be capable of emulating the physics of warp drive gradually accelerating up to $1/4c$."

If you read the MIT Tech Review lay article on this paper, you'll find the assertion that this test model (it's not the real thing, just a model) approach suggests that warp drive would be limited to $.25c$.

<http://www.technologyreview.com/view/420979/how-to-build-a-warp-drive-using--metamaterials/>

But look at figure 3 in the actual paper. See those red lines that set a boundary from the scattering of photons in the model? That represents $.25c$, the limit Smolyaninov suggests is possible to MODEL WITH THE EXPERIMENT. From my little lay brain, I don't think he's really saying $.25c$ is the potential limit of Warp Drive. I THINK he's saying that his model can't generate useful results beyond $.25c$. Beyond that boundary, you get garbage data. Because available metamaterials won't test farther than that threshold. Anyway, it's just a model. And maybe I misunderstand.

Smolyaninov doesn't cite Puthoff (or Davis, for that matter), but it seemed to me as though there appeared a relationship between these two ideas. Though, again, that's coming from a lay perspective that may by ignorance draw associations where there are none.

But let's look at this from another perspective. James Woodward, of California State, and Harold White of NASA are both trying to experimentally look for a warp drive effect. Here's a lecture by James Woodward where he describes his Mach Effect idea, and then shows photographs of his bench experiment as well graphs from data collection. Still, he's just using a scale to measure the effect, and he has problems blowing out capacitors during experimental runs. So, it's an ambiguous result so far, but he claims he's seeing something. Nifty video with terrible audio. Sorry about that.

http://www.youtube.com/watch?feature=3Dplayer_embedded&v=3Dhn8hqX9JBOE

Now look at his bench experiment. What is he using to generate the effect? He says he's taking very large capacitors rated to high voltage potentials and then running a charge-discharge cycle at high frequency. If I understand Woodward correctly, it's a pressure change effect. Something Dobyns discusses in detail in this video as well. Though I have some difficulty in understanding how Dobyns's explanation of Woodward's approach is born out by what I see in the video. I should start digging through Woodward's papers, I guess.

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Dvk8SrDVhNnU>

The electro-gravitics and lifter guys, who have bet the farm on Biefeld-Brown, simply charge up to a high voltage potential. They don't run a charge-discharge cycle. And interestingly, if

you look at the entirety of Davis' SSE video (the one where he claimed to have used UFO data as part of the NASA BPP research), Davis discusses work done with Biefeld-Brown that indicated this is a dead end. He said (somewhat derisively), "It's not even ion wind, it's neutral particle wind."

Davis video set to the approximate time for that statement:

http://youtu.be/xDxlpo_apZU?t=3D18m37s

General info in Biefeld-Brown:

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Biefeld%E2%80%93Brown_effect

Anyway, let's compare that to Harold White's paper at NASA to Woodward:

http://ntrs.nasa.gov/archive/nasa/casi.ntrs.nasa.gov/20110015936_2011016932._pdf

White is using some kind of ring or torus with capacitors, or perhaps designed as a series of pancake layers of conducting and nonconducting material to hold charge. I don't know. The guy won't say in the paper, and the reason he says he won't say is because of IP concerns at NASA.

(Which - as a discussion tangent - is interesting to the Marc Millis story with NASA BPP, if only because when Millis went and met with Joe Firmage and other UFO guys back in '99, NASA opened up an internal investigation into the matter; ostensibly over IP concerns. So White ain't talking details, and I wonder - I speculate - if the wholebrouhah with Millis back then might have something to do with that.)

Anyway, back to White's approach. There's some kind of capacitor-like way to store charge in the shape of a ring or donut. He doesn't specify if he plans to charge-discharge the unit in a cycle. Basically, we know nothing about his contraption except a pretty picture. But he DOES specify the detector. Unlike Woodward, who uses a scale, White is going to use interferometry with a HeNe laser, beam splitter, and mirrors. Anyone who's done hobby level bench holography will immediately recognize this set up.

The beam is split, and a detection beam goes through the field generating ring, then a mirror bounces it to a detector. A reference beam goes straight to the detector. By comparing a presumed change in the interference pattern between when the thing is turned on and when it's off should show whether an effect exists. AND, in the paper he had a figure of what he believes that change should look like. The interferometry approach should be a whole lot more sensitive than a scale, I think.

But - speculating - I'm going to guess that Woodward's and White's approach to generating an effect is very similar. I'm also going to guess that the reason White has chosen a torus is because if you look at the Alcubierre paper, he proposes a generator ring wrapped around the center of a ship. And I guess White wants to test not just an effect, but a general configuration that might be deployable. Maybe?!?! I dunno.

I asked Sarfatti about the connection between Davis' paper and Smolyaninov's metamaterial approach. Further, I was wondering how Puthoff's supposed dynamic manipulation of the vacuum might relate to changes in metamaterial configuration - changing shape, unit size of the array, etc. But... no answer. I don't know if this is because that crowd is annoyed with me for the Davis stories, or because it's either too stupid or too obvious to warrant a reply, or if it's an interesting insight and he wouldn't speak to it for IP reasons. Or maybe the guy is just busy. I'm flying blind here, so if a real physicist wants to answer, I'd love the perspective of a professional.

-M

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At :

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [May](#) > [May 31](#)

Re: Davis' Advanced Propulsion Paper Describes

From: Eleanor White <ewraven1.nul>
Date: Thu, 30 May 2013 17:20:45 -0400
Archived: Fri, 31 May 2013 07:24:35 -0400
Subject: Re: Davis' Advanced Propulsion Paper Describes

>From: J. Maynard Gelinas <j.maynard.gelinas.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>Date: Thu, 30 May 2013 15:21:41 +0800
>Subject: Davis' Advanced Propulsion Paper Describes Puthoff's PV-GR

<snip>

>But still, it's instructive to recognize that the general
>establishment view is that these ideas by Puthoff violate
>fundamental principles of physics - energy conservation
>for one - and therefore cannot be valid.

As an engineer, I'm familiar with, but not an expert in, energy conservation.

Having heard about multiple dimensions all my life, I believe (gasp - she believes something - horrors!) that energy conservation is probably always true, but when advanced technology appears to violate conservation of energy, the conservation may still be occurring, but across different dimensions.

Eleanor White

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [May](#) > [May 31](#)

Kathleen Marden On Coast To Coast

From: Jason Gammon <boyinthemachine.nul>
Date: Thu, 30 May 2013 20:31:29 -0400 (EDT)
Archived: Fri, 31 May 2013 07:27:06 -0400
Subject: Kathleen Marden On Coast To Coast

On the Coast To Coast show on May 29, Kathleen Marden stated that there are certain characteristics with regard to alien abductions which are reported over and over again and which can not be understood or studied using Western science. Marden may not have realized it but she actually nailed her coffin closed with that segment.

I really like Marden. I enjoy much of her material. I was not happy with her recent endeavors with the alien abduction phenomenon. She only included 50 alleged abductees and she rushed into writing a book in my opinion. I think Marden should have spent more time researching Hopkins, Mack, and Jacobs before trying her hand in the field. Case in point, Marden does not believe the visitors are 'evil' or mean us harm. She basis this on nothing other than had they wished too they could have already destroyed our planet. I've touched on this in the past. Our visitors would most likely view our planet, even humans, as resources to exploit. So why in the world would you go blowing it up?

Another issue I have with Marden is her seeming lack of understanding of confabulation. Abductees lie. Abductees make up stories and add all kinds of details to their narratives. If Marden had perhaps studied under say David Jacobs she might have been able to distinguish gold from b.s. Unfortunately her sources are tainted because she appears to accept everything from abductees at face value. One thing I enjoyed about the program is Marden's discussion of the UFOs being cyborgs, containing biological components. I believe she was spot on about that. Now if she could only recognize the occupants are likewise cybernetic organisms, created by a "higher intelligence" as abductees put it, then she may just perhaps come around to my way of thinking, that perhaps the ultimate intelligence behind the UFO phenomenon is a machine-based intelligence.

I will end where I started this post. Marden was referencing telepathy, mind control, and warping of memory and perceptions by the visitors. By excluding these from science, by insisting that "Western science" can't comprehend such or that they can't be studied by science, she has unknowingly claimed that they are magic and supernatural, thus alien abductions are magic and part of the supernatural.

Now, I hate to be rude so let me strive to be blunt. When this stuff, the reality of UFOs, is ever finally proven nobody is going to be racing to a Ufologist or UFO experts for their opinions. Why? Because the majority of you are cavemen, shamans in the desert, shaking rattles and invoking the Great Spirit. Your minds are lost in magic, trapped in the 'Star Trek' delusions. So the very people who dismissed the reality of the UFO phenomenon will be turned to, and rightfully so, as their minds are at least capable of comprehension. Their minds are rooted in science. So why most of the UFO crowd believes that telepathy is magic, a naturally evolved supernatural ability that can't be explained, scientists are going to correctly comprehend that it's technological and could possibly duplicate it. In fact, they already are working on technological telepathy at this very moment. I've even posted links to articles on it

here in the past.

So Kathleen Marden, please be aware that abductees will lie to you. They will freely mix nonsense and fantasy stories into their narratives. They are only human. As humans they suffer from the belief that the world revolves around them. So if they are abducted then they must be playing an important role, the giver of messages, warning humans to change their ways. Also know that just because the visitors haven't blown up our planet doesn't mean they are friendly. The way they tread humans pretty much proves they do not view humans as equals, and rightfully so. Finally, get back to science. Magic and mysticism is not the way. We don't need a new science. The problem is not "Western science". The problem is the human mind, which is seemingly incapable of perceiving beings that are aeons more advanced. Remember that quote by Arthur C. Clarke about advanced technology being mistaken for magic.

-Jason Gammon

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [May](#) > [May 31](#)

Sarbacher's Hidden Message Or 'Learn Code'

From: Jason Gammon <boyinthemachine.nul>
Date: Fri, 31 May 2013 04:02:28 -0400 (EDT)
Archived: Fri, 31 May 2013 07:29:55 -0400
Subject: Sarbacher's Hidden Message Or 'Learn Code'

Dr. Sarbacher's Hidden Message Or 'How To Learn The Code'

There are people in the know who have information on the government's knowledge of UFOs and who desperately want to communicate this knowledge but for various reasons simply can't openly state things. To get around they they speak and even write in a sort of code. The ease of understanding the code is probably indicative of the quality of the information. For example, when Kevin Randle asked Edwin Easley if they were on the right path (Roswell crash being extraterrestrial), Easley replied that he wasn't on the wrong path. That's and easy code to understand and so the information communicated is not that shocking.

To give an example of a harder code to understand I would suggest we look at Dr. Sarbacher's 1983 letter

http://www.roswellproof.com/Sarbacher_Nov1983.html

Now, the information that I find of interest is on page 2, where Sarbacher discusses the "aliens". There are three things that stand out to me. These three things may indicate that Sarbacher was speaking a code in hopes of communicating information that he was not able to openly state. Those three things are;

- 1.) Sarbacher uses the term "instruments" to refer to the occupants of the crashed UFO.
- 2.) Sarbacher puts aliens in quotes, "aliens", as if to imply special significance.
- 3.) Sarbacher uses the term "constructed" instead of other terms such as born, evolved, possess, etc.

So if Sarbacher was writing in code the translation of this information would be thus:

"The occupants are manufactured, artificial beings. They were created by something else which we do not currently know."

Going further, Sarbacher says that in talks with people he came to the conclusion that the beings were similar to insects. Sarbacher is also communicating something here as well. Now this can be tricky. If Sarbacher is referencing insects then perhaps he is communicating that the beings have an exoskeleton. However, unless these beings were the "insectoids" or "mantises" then abductees and witnesses do not generally report the beings having an exoskeleton. In fact, most abductees report the flesh of the beings as being soft, sometimes even squishy like marshmallows. So this is a stumper for sure. The only suggestion I can make is perhaps Sarbacher is using the word insect because he can't use a more proper word to describe the beings, such as robots, machines, or of what we would call a cyborg. So perhaps it's possible that Sarbacher wasn't able to use these terms openly and picked another word instead. Or perhaps the beings did have an exoskeleton.

Now some researchers are already aware of the code, even if they didn't consciously acknowledge it before. For those researchers

for whom the code is something brand new, I do challenge you to go back through transcripts of interviews and see if you can find information that perhaps the person was trying to communicate. I would suggest someone start with Dr. Eric Walker. I don't have access to any transcripts of his conversations but if Sarbacher is speaking in a code then there's a good chance Walker did as well.

-Jason Gammon

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [May](#) > [May 31](#)

Pareidolia

From: **Gerald O'Connell** <goc.nul>
Date: Fri, 31 May 2013 13:22:16 +0100
Archived: Fri, 31 May 2013 10:10:44 -0400
Subject: Pareidolia

Excellent little piece from the BBC on why we see faces in the strangest places, Mars included...

<http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/magazine-22686500>

Gerald O'Connell
<http://www.saatchionline.com/gacoc>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [May](#) > [May 31](#)

Re: Kathleen Marden On Coast To Coast

From: Kathleen Marde <Kmarden.nul>
Date: Fri, 31 May 2013 09:42:26 -0400 (EDT)
Archived: Fri, 31 May 2013 10:14:22 -0400
Subject: Re: Kathleen Marden On Coast To Coast

>From: Jason Gammon <boyinthemachine.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Thu, 30 May 2013 20:31:29 -0400 (EDT)
>Subject: Kathleen Marden On Coast To Coast

>On the Coast To Coast show on May 29, Kathleen Marden stated
>that there are certain characteristics with regard to alien
>abductions which are reported over and over again and which can
>not be understood or studied using Western science. Marden may
>not have realized it but she actually nailed her coffin closed
>with that segment.

>I really like Marden. I enjoy much of her material. I was not
>happy with her recent endeavors with the alien abduction
>phenomenon. She only included 50 alleged abductees and she
>rushed into writing a book in my opinion. I think Marden should
>have spent more time researching Hopkins, Mack, and Jacobs
>before trying her hand in the field. Case in point, Marden does
>not believe the visitors are 'evil' or mean us harm. She basis
>this on nothing other than had they wished too they could have
>already destroyed our planet. I've touched on this in the past.
>Our visitors would most likely view our planet, even humans, as
>resources to exploit. So why in the world would you go blowing
>it up?

>Another issue I have with Marden is her seeming lack of
>understanding of confabulation. Abductees lie. Abductees make up
>stories and add all kinds of details to their narratives. If
>Marden had perhaps studied under say David Jacobs she might have
>been able to distinguish gold from b.s. Unfortunately her
>sources are tainted because she appears to accept everything
>from abductees at face value. One thing I enjoyed about the
>program is Marden's discussion of the UFOs being cyborgs,
>containing biological components. I believe she was spot on
>about that. Now if she could only recognize the occupants are
>likewise cybernetic organisms, created by a "higher
>intelligence" as abductees put it, then she may just perhaps
>come around to my way of thinking, that perhaps the ultimate
>intelligence behind the UFO phenomenon is a machine-based
>intelligence.

>I will end where I started this post. Marden was referencing
>telepathy, mind control, and warping of memory and perceptions
>by the visitors. By excluding these from science, by insisting
>that "Western science" can't comprehend such or that they can't
>be studied by science, she has unknowingly claimed that they are
>magic and supernatural, thus alien abductions are magic and part
>of the supernatural.

>Now, I hate to be rude so let me strive to be blunt. When this
>stuff, the reality of UFOs, is ever finally proven nobody is
>going to be racing to a Ufologist or UFO experts for their
>opinions. Why? Because the majority of you are cavemen, shamans
>in the desert, shaking rattles and invoking the Great Spirit.
>Your minds are lost in magic, trapped in the 'Star Trek'
>delusions. So the very people who dismissed the reality of the
>UFO phenomenon will be turned to, and rightfully so, as their
>minds are at least capable of comprehension. Their minds are
>rooted in science. So why most of the UFO crowd believes that

>telepathy is magic, a naturally evolved supernatural ability
>that can't be explained, scientists are going to correctly
>comprehend that it's technological and could possibly duplicate
>it. In fact, they already are working on technological telepathy
>at this very moment. I've even posted links to articles on it
>here in the past.

>So Kathleen Marden, please be aware that abductees will lie to
>you. They will freely mix nonsense and fantasy stories into
>their narratives. They are only human. As humans they suffer
>from the belief that the world revolves around them. So if they
>are abducted then they must be playing an important role, the
>giver of messages, warning humans to change their ways. Also
>know that just because the visitors haven't blown up our planet
>doesn't mean they are friendly. They way they tread humans
>pretty much proves they do not view humans as equals, and
>rightfully so. Finally, get back to science. Magic and mysticism
>is not the way. We don't need a new science. The problem is not
>"Western science". The problem is the human mind, which is
>seemingly incapable of perceiving beings that are aeons more
>advanced. Remember that quote by Arthur C. Clarke about advanced
>technology being mistaken for magic.

>-Jason Gammon

I think that you have misunderstood and misinterpreted my statements. I am certainly well trained in psychology and the propensity of humans to confabulate, especially when under hypnosis. You are not giving me credit where credit is due. I have discussed many of the more troubling aspects of ET science and technology with theoretical physicists- those that we cannot understand within the tenets of western scientific materialism. Dr. John Mack faced the same dilemma. I'm not referring to magic or mysticism. I'm speaking of repeating patterns witnessed and reported by thousands and documented by Mack, Hopkins, Jacobs, Smith, Hynek, Valee, etc. It was not easy for me to move from nuts and bolts ufology to acknowledging these troubling aspects, but when the evidence became overwhelming, I had to pull my head out of the sand and acknowledge that they have indeed been reported by thousands of individuals over a 52 year period.

With regard to the "Commonalities Among Abduction Experiencers Study", I spent more than a year on this exhaustive project, communicating with 54 experiencers (4 could not complete the project), and a 25 person control group of sorts. There were statistically significant commonalities on 23 of the 45 multiple choice and fill in questions that were not present on a statistically significant level among the non-experiencers. The second part of the study as not yet been completed, because I haven't been able to find the number of participants needed, even though it has been widely publicized. It requires knowledge of ET technology observed on the craft. Thus far, I've received only positive comments from many highly educated individuals, including several PhDs and MDs.

Perhaps you didn't hear my opening remarks, but I stated that "The Alien Abduction Files" is a departure from my usual nuts and bolts investigative style. It is not a repeat of "Captured! The Betty and Barney Hill UFO Experience", using more recent cases. "The Alien Abduction Files" explores the hearts and minds of several experiencers and gives the reader an understanding of what it is like to live life as an experiencer. I discuss the psychology, the sociology, the characteristics of hypnosis and it's propensity toward confabulation, alternative hypotheses, etc. This is not a book for the nuts and bolts ufologist, as the emphasis is upon social science.

But I suppose that some people will criticize anyone who departs from their own personal belief system. If I feared criticism, I wouldn't have engaged in UFO and abduction research and investigation at all. Feel free to disagree, but please don't rush to criticize my work with only a cursory understanding of it or because you don't like my topic.

Kathleen Marden

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At :

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [May](#) > [May 31](#)

Re: Davis' Advanced Propulsion Paper Describes

From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
Date: Fri, 31 May 2013 17:09:40 +0100
Archived: Fri, 31 May 2013 15:33:29 -0400
Subject: Re: Davis' Advanced Propulsion Paper Describes

>From: Eleanor White <ewraven1.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Thu, 30 May 2013 17:20:45 -0400
>Subject: Re: Davis' Advanced Propulsion Paper Describes Puthoff's PV-GR

>>From: J. Maynard Gelinas <j.maynard.gelinas.nul>
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <post.nul>
>>Date: Thu, 30 May 2013 15:21:41 +0800
>>Subject: Davis' Advanced Propulsion Paper Describes Puthoff's
>>PV-GR

><snip>

>>But still, it's instructive to recognize that the general
>>establishment view is that these ideas by Puthoff violate
>>fundamental principles of physics - energy conservation for
>>one - and therefore cannot be valid.

>As an engineer, I'm familiar with, but not an expert in, energy
>conservation.

>Having heard about multiple dimensions all my life, I believe
>(_gasp_ - she _believes_ something - horrors!) that energy
>conservation is probably always true, but when advanced
>technology appears to violate conservation of energy, the
>conservation may still be occurring, but across different
>dimensions.

Right Eleanor, am inclined to agree: either in a different
'dimension' or as a different, as yet unseen, form of
energy/matter.

Which was why I got a little nervous a few years ago when
"cheap" or "free energy" got mentioned.

See <http://ufoupdateslist.com/2011/nov/m23-008.shtml>

OK - it might make a fortune for someone (for a short time) and
might also give instant communication, also nearly for free.

But the first use of it could also be known (instantly) across
the Galaxy and maybe across the Universe - with unknown
consequences.

Cheers

Ray D

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced

without the express permission of both parties and
are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [May](#) > [May 31](#)

Re: Kathleen Marden On Coast To Coast

From: Jason Gammon <boyinthemachine.nul>
Date: Fri, 31 May 2013 12:34:12 -0400 (EDT)
Archived: Fri, 31 May 2013 15:37:09 -0400
Subject: Re: Kathleen Marden On Coast To Coast

>From: Kathleen Marde <Kmarden.nul>
>To: [post.nul](#)
>Date: Fri, 31 May 2013 09:42:26 -0400 (EDT)
>Subject: Re: Kathleen Marden On Coast To Coast

>>From: Jason Gammon <boyinthemachine.nul>
>>To: [post.nul](#)
>>Date: Thu, 30 May 2013 20:31:29 -0400 (EDT)
>>Subject: Kathleen Marden On Coast To Coast

>>On the Coast To Coast show on May 29, Kathleen Marden stated
>>that there are certain characteristics with regard to alien
>>abductions which are reported over and over again and which can
>>not be understood or studied using Western science. Marden may
>>not have realized it but she actually nailed her coffin closed
>>with that segment.

<snip>

>I think that you have misunderstood and misinterpreted my
>statements. I am certainly well trained in psychology and the
>propensity of humans to confabulate, especially when under
>hypnosis. You are not giving me credit where credit is due. I
>have discussed many of the more troubling aspects of ET science
>and technology with theoretical physicists- those that we cannot
>understand within the tenets of western scientific materialism.
>Dr. John Mack faced the same dilemma. I'm not referring to magic
>or mysticism. I'm speaking of repeating patterns witnessed and
>reported by thousands and documented by Mack, Hopkins, Jacobs,
>Smith, Hynek, Valee, etc. It was not easy for me to move from
>nuts and bolts ufology to acknowledging these troubling aspects,
>but when the evidence became overwhelming, I had to pull my head
>out of the sand and acknowledge that they have indeed been
>reported by thousands of individuals over a 52 year period.

>With regard to the "Commonalities Among Abduction Experiencers
>Study", I spent more than a year on this exhaustive project,
>communicating with 54 experiencers (4 could not complete the
>project), and a 25 person control group of sorts. There were
>choice statistically significant commonalities on 23 of the 45 multiple
>and fill in questions that were not present on a statistically
>significant level among the non-experiencers. The second part of the
>study as not yet been completed, because I haven't been able to
>find the number of participants needed, even though it has been
>widely publicized. It requires knowledge of ET technology observed
>on the craft. Thus far, I've received only positive comments from
>many highly educated individuals, including several PhDs and MDs.

>Perhaps you didn't hear my opening remarks, but I stated that
>"The Alien Abduction Files" is a departure from my usual nuts
>and bolts investigative style. It is not a repeat of "Captured!
>The Betty and Barney Hill UFO Experience", using more recent
>cases. "The Alien Abduction Files" explores the hearts and minds
>of several experiencers and gives the reader an understanding of
>what it is like to live life as an experiencer. I discuss the
>psychology, the sociology, the characteristics of hypnosis and
>it's propensity toward confabulation, alternative hypotheses,
>etc. This is not a book for the nuts and bolts ufologist, as the

>emphasis is upon social science.

>But I suppose that some people will criticize anyone who departs
>from their own personal belief system. If I feared criticism, I
>wouldn't have engaged in UFO and abduction research and
>investigation at all. Feel free to disagree, but please don't
>rush to criticize my work with only a cursory understanding of
>it or because you don't like my topic.

>Kathleen Marden

Ms. Marden, with all due respect and no offense intended, but at the end of the show you were discussing "the astral plane", "astral projection", and "raising one's vibrations". You were discussing it because that's what your abductees told you, so therefore it must be right, correct? You have left science behind and have entered New-Age "woo-hoo" land. However, you can make a u-turn and come back. I suggest you do so immediately.

I'm sure you are familiar with the phrase, 'cutting off one's nose to spite their face'. Well, I see this happen over and over again with regard to researchers who keep tacking extra things on to the UFO phenomenon. So it's not just that we want mainstream science to recognize the reality of UFOs. They also must accept the reality of ESP, Out of Body Experiences, After Life Communications, and all the additional stuff people tack on to it. All this does is to make sure mainstream science never takes the UFO phenomenon seriously.

"Any sufficiently advanced technology is indistinguishable from magic." --Arthur C. Clarke

So therefore, if the following are true then they are a result of technology.

1. Alien telepathy = technology
2. Alien walking through walls, transporting people through "solid matter" = technology
3. Alien/UFO invisibility = technology
4. Alien mind control, warping of human perceptions = technology
5. Alien/UFO interference with machinery, power-loss, etc., = technology

There's no need to throw "Western science", as you put it, out the window. It only appears like magic but it's technology. Anytime someone talks about a naturally-evolved ability they are unknowingly discussing magic. Anytime someone talks about science being wrong, not just our current comprehension, but science being wrong, they are unknowingly discussing magic. Because if it does not fall into the category of science then it's by definition supernatural, magic.

Be very cautious of what you abductees tell you. They will fool you if you let them. One of their tactics will be to come to you en mass. You will be more inclined to believe what they are saying if multiple abductees are reporting it.

However, multiple abductees reporting something does not make that something real. If it walks like a duck, quacks like a duck, then it's a duck. If it sounds like woo-hoo, it probably is woo-hoo, despite how many abductees are saying it.

Finally I want to point you in the right direction with regard to something. In the show you mentioned the abductees report some kind of tragedy that will effect the earth in the future.

This is an extremely common report from abductees. Usually abductees are made to watch a screen that shows various scenarios. The key thing to recognize is that abductees are all shown different scenarios. Some are shown earthquakes. Some are shown fires and explosions, some are shown asteroids or comets, some are shown nuclear disasters, some are shown environmental disaster caused by man.

The key thing to note is that they are all different. Many

abductees fell as if they were "downloaded" with information concerning this event. So my advice to you is not to take abductees or even the aliens at face value. Because the scenarios are all different I would suggest that what is occurring may be not what we think. The scenarios may simply be a cover for mind-control of some form, with the "downloading" of information being like a Manchurian candidate of sorts that when triggered they will respond as they were programmed to. The programming could be for anything, really, but is more than likely for something the abductee will not know of.

If you attempted to press them for answers you will likely get confabulation. I would just like you to realize this is far more complex than many think. One more thing, the aliens are control freaks who want complete control over the abductee during an abduction. Is there anything to suggest that they are not being controlled or at least influenced in their normal lives as well? What would that imply for the relationship between abductee and researcher?

-Jason Gammon

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2013](#) > [May](#) > [May 31](#)

Re: Kathleen Marden On Coast To Coast

From: **Kathleen Marden** <Kmarden.nul>
Date: Fri, 31 May 2013 15:14:36 -0400 (EDT)
Archived: Fri, 31 May 2013 15:39:57 -0400
Subject: Re: Kathleen Marden On Coast To Coast

>From: Kathleen Marden <Kmarden.nul>
>To: post.nul
>Date: Fri, 31 May 2013 09:42:26 -0400 (EDT)
>Subject: Re: Kathleen Marden On Coast To Coast

>>From: Jason Gammon <boyinthemachine.nul>
>>To: post.nul
>>Date: Thu, 30 May 2013 20:31:29 -0400 (EDT)
>>Subject: Kathleen Marden On Coast To Coast

In addition to what I wrote earlier today, I want to add that it is apparent you haven't bothered to read my bibliography for "The Alien Abduction Files". Had you read it, you'd have seen my four references for David Jacob's books. The bibliography is readily available at Amazon's site. As my friend and colleague Stanton Friedman would say, "Why bother me with the facts. My mind is made up". If you're going to express criticism of someone's work, you should do it with facts in hand.

Kathleen Marden

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[[Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com