Excerpt from Leonid Ilyich Brezhnev's speech at the April (1968) CC CPSU Plenum.

"On the current problems of the international situation and on the struggle of the CPSU for the unity of the international communist movement" (9 April 1968)

Remarks concerning the Pueblo crisis

[RGANI: fond 2, opis 3, delo 95, listy 50-58. Obtained and translated for CWIHP by Sergey Radchenko]

[...] In the international life of the last several months, the events in the Far East draw [particular] attention in connection with the incursion in the Korean [territorial] waters of an American military vessel "Pueblo". Despite the limited scale of these events, they had principal importance, both from the point of view of rebuffing aggressive actions of the USA and in terms of our attitude towards certain peculiarities of the policy of the Korean friends. The Politburo has reported many times to the CC Plenum regarding our line in relations with the KWP [Korean Workers' Party] and the DPRK. The essence of this line is to consistently strengthen friendly relations with the KWP and the DPRK despite the existence of different approaches between us and the Korean comrades on a series of questions of the international communist movement and other [problems].

On the whole, the situation in the course of the entire preceding [in the text, mistakenly, "subsequent"] period developed precisely along these lines. We developed contacts with the Koreans in various spheres and above all in the economic [sphere]. Trade was developing; we concluded a series of agreements on co-operation in timber clearing, on building an oil refinery, etc. We continued to provide aid in defending the DPRK. The Korean press stopped publishing unfriendly statements addressed to the CPSU and the Soviet Union.

One should remark in particular that during his meetings with us, comrade Kim Il Sung assured that the friends do not intend to solve the problem of uniting North and South Koreas by military means, and in this connection [do not intend] to unleash a war with the Americans, whose forces, as one knows, are stationed in South Korea.

However several indications appeared in the recent time, which, seemingly, suggested that the leaders of the DPRK began to take a more militant road. This became particularly noticeable at the time of the incident with the American vessel "Pueblo".

You know, comrades, the factual side of things. I am talking about the incursion of the American military vessel "Pueblo" in Korean territorial waters. On 23 January of this year this vessel was detained by the military naval forces of the DPRK (as the friends assert, detained in their territorial waters) and, after a fire fight, taken to a port, where it came under arrest. One should say that the measures taken in this case by the government

of the DPRK appear unusually harsh: as a rule in the practice of international relations, in case of incursion on a foreign military vessel in the territorial waters of any state, it is simply advised to leave these waters or forced to do so.

Washington's reaction was fierce, rude and aggressive. The government of the USA made accusations and threats addressed to the DPRK; considerable military naval forces and air forces were deployed near North Korea's shores, including the flag carrier of the 7th fleet, the atomic aircraft carrier "Enterprise". Calls for the bombardment of Korean ports, forced return of "Pueblo", etc were heard in the USA. The Americans clearly counted on forcing DPRK's retreat before the cannon barrows of their ships. Besides this, President Johnson used this incident in order to further increase military preparations and heat up military hysteria on the international scale. New categories of the reserves were mobilised into the army in the USA, demonstrative measures were taken to increase military preparedness in Europe.

Under these circumstances, the CC CPSU and the Soviet government found it necessary to voice public support for the DPRK, a socialist country, with which we are moreover tied by a treaty of friendship and mutual help. We did it, supporting the right of the DPRK to defend its security and censuring the aggressive behaviour of the USA.

Besides this, the Politburo and the Soviet government considered it useful to exert direct pressure on the leadership of the USA in order to lessen its urge and desire to inflame provocations in the immediate proximity of the borders of the USSR and in relation to countries allied with us. In this connection, a decision was made to send a communication to President Johnson on behalf of the Soviet government.

The 3 February [1968] letter to Johnson drew attention to the fact that the USA is conducting a concentration of military fleet and aviation on an unprecedented scale in the immediate proximity of the Far Eastern regions of the Soviet Union. The American President was told that "in our actions we must take into consideration what is happening near our borders and touches on the interests of the security of the Soviet Union." At the same time it was stressed that efforts to act with regard to the DPRK by means of threats and pressure can only lead to a dead end and to further complications, fraught with far reaching consequences.

At the same time, we took certain measures to increase the military preparedness of the Soviet military forces in the Far East in order to protect the country in case of complications and to let the Americans understand that we are not joking, but approach this matter seriously. The adopted measures worked. On 6 February [1968] Johnson sent a reply, in which he tried to explain the amassment of military forces of the USA in the Sea of Japan area by references to militant statements and actions of the DPRK and assured that "prompt settlement [of the crisis] serves our common interests". The President's message said in the end that he "gave an order to stop any further amassment of our naval and air forces at the present time" and decreed to pull out one of the aircraft carriers with accompanying vessels from the region of the incident. Indeed, aircraft carrier "Enterprise" was pulled out from the DPRK's shores. At the same time we insistently advised the Korean comrades, with whom we maintained systematic contact throughout this period, to show reserve, not to give the Americans an excuse for widening provocations, to settle the incident by political means. When it became clear to the entire world that the attempts of the USA to make the DPRK retreat before blackmail and military threats failed, when the government of the USA was forced to conduct talks with the representatives of the DPRK in Panmunjom regarding "Pueblo", we expressed an opinion to the Korean leadership, that now, without any harm and even with political advantage for the DPRK, one could finish this affair by disgraceful deportation of the crew of the USA spy vessel from the territory of North Korea.

But the Korean comrades maintained fairly extreme position and did not show any inclination towards the settlement of the incident. The DPRK propaganda took on a fairly militant character, the population was told that a war could begin any day, that the military forces of the DPRK are "ready to smash the American imperialism". In effect, a full mobilisation was declared in the country; life, especially in the cities, was changed in a military fashion; there began an evacuation of the population, administrative institutions, industries and factories of P'yongyang.

At the same time the leadership of the DPRK took one more step which made us alarmed. On 31 January [1968] Kim II Sung addressed an official letter to comrade Kosygin, as the head of the Council of Ministers of the DPRK. This letter said that "Johnson's clique could at any time engage in a military adventure in Korea", that the policy of the American imperialists "is a rude challenge to the DPRK and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, bound together by allied relations according to the treaty of friendship, cooperation and mutual help between the DPRK and the USSR; [it is] a serious threat to the security of all socialist countries and to peace in the entire world".

Further this message officially informed the Soviet government on behalf of the government of the DPRK that they are "forced to conduct preparations in order to give the aggression an appropriate rebuff" and [the letter] expressed confidence that "in case of the creation of the state of war in Korea as a result of a military attack of the American imperialists, the Soviet government and the fraternal Soviet people will fight together with us against the aggressors..."

Kim Il Sung's letter ended with a proposal: in case such situation materialised, "[you should] provide us without delay with military and other aid and support, to mobilize all means available".

The matters took a serious turn.

An official communication along the government lines, bypassing usual in such cases comradely consultations along the party lines, spoke to the intentions [of the Korean leadership] to bind the Soviet Union somehow, using the existence of the treaty between the USSR and the DPRK [as a pretext to] involve us in supporting such plans of the Koreans friends, about which we knew nothing. Politburo of the CC believed that the time had come to state our attitude clearly to the Korean comrades regarding these questions and certain peculiarities of their policies, which touch on our country.

We, without giving an official reply to Kim Il Sung's message, addressed a communication to him to come to Moscow for a comprehensive exchange of opinions regarding the situation which has emerged. Comrade Kim Il Sung replied that at the present time circumstances do not permit him to leave the country. Member of the KWP CC Politburo, deputy premier and minister of defence Kim Ch'ang Bong was [instead] despatched to Moscow for the celebrations of the 50th anniversary of the Soviet Army.

On the Politburo's instructions I received Kim Ch'ang Bong on 26 February [1968] and had a long discussion with him, in the course of which [I] expressed in all earnestness our position on a series of important questions.

He was told that we still depart from the assumption that the Korean comrades maintain the course of a peaceful unification of Korea, for we are not aware of [any] changes [to this course]. In any case, under the current circumstances we are against taking the matter towards unleashing a war, though we fully understand the desire of the DPRK to strengthen its own defence and we actively support this. We do not understand the meaning of the information that reached us regarding the evacuation of P'yongyang. We have no information from friends regarding their talks with the Americans, and regarding aims, which these talks pursue.

As far as the question of the Soviet-Korean treaty, and Kim Il Sung's letter regarding this question are concerned, Kim Ch'ang Bong was told literally the following: "Indeed, we have a treaty. Its essence is known to both you and us. We would like to stress that it has a defensive character and is an instrument of defending peace loving position of North Korea. Since comrade Kim Il Sung did not put the circumstances and the details of the current situation in a concrete form, we consider it very important to conduct serious consultations with him on this question. The problem of military actions is a very difficult question, especially under the current circumstances, when the entire world struggles against the war. It is impossible to talk about a military situation, much less about some kind of military actions, by means of short letters. This is a very serious question and it demands serious consultations."

At the same time, an opinion was expressed again that the question of the "Pueblo" crew, this whole incident, should be settled by political means without much delay, because otherwise the DPRK could lose a serious political gain, obtained at the early stage of the incident.

There are reasons to think that the measures taken by the Politburo bore fruit. In any case, one could note the following facts:

1. Soon after the conversation with Kim Ch'ang Bong the Foreign Ministry of the DPRK published a statement, which stressed that "the government of the DPRK both in the past and now has not changed its policy directed at the preservation of

peace in Korea and the peaceful solution of the question of the unification of Korea".

- 2. The Koreans informed our ambassador regarding the progress of talks with the Americans. One should say that these talks have taken on a fairly protracted character. The Koreans are demanding official apologies from the USA, the Americans are offering various compromises, but an agreement has not yet been reached.
- 3. The Korean comrades made it known to the United States through neutral countries that they are prepared to exchange the "Pueblo" crew for patriots arrested in South Korea, and that in this case will not demand apologies from the USA.
- 4. On 1 March [1968] Kim II Sung invited the ambassador of the USSR and asked him to pass to Moscow his gratitude for the conversation with Kim Ch'ang Bong, for the sincere exposition of the opinion of the CC CPSU. At the same time Kim II Sung assured that some evacuation activities conducted in P'yongyang did not have an emergency character, that measures have been taken to stop panicky rumours and corrections are being made to the statements of the DPRK press. In conclusion Kim II Sung said: "we have no intention of raising military hysteria". Indeed, the tone of the Korean press has recently become calmer.
- 5. There is also information that the local authorities in the DPRK have been instructed not to overdo various kinds of mobilisation-related activities: evacuation of people, industries and factories. "War is not a question of tomorrow", Kim II Sung declared at one of the closed meetings in P'yongyang at the beginning of March.

This is how the incident with the vessel "Pueblo" is developing. It [the incident], as one knows, is not yet finished, and the situation might deteriorate again. However, the atmosphere has relaxed somewhat, the passions on the Korean and on the American sides have calmed down. On the whole one might say that by pursuing in this affair our consistent [and] principled line, we managed, first of all, to chip away at the American arrogance [sbit spes], to rebuff their blackmail and threats and, secondly, to exert considerable dissuading influence on the leadership of the DPRK, especially in connection with the question of the treaty, which has important meaning for the state interests of the Soviet Union. [...]